1

2

5

6 7

3 MARJORY STONEMAN DOUGLAS HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC SAFETY
4 COMMISSION METING

BB&T CENTER CHAIRMAN'S CLUB

PANTHER PARKWAY

SUNRISE, FLORIDA 33323

8 August 15, 2019

9

10 COMMISSION MEMBERS/ATTENDEES:

SHERIFF BOB GUALTIERI - CHAIR

11 JASON JONES - PSC GENERAL COUNSEL

CHRIS NELSON - CHIEF OF POLICE, CITY OF AUBURNDALE

12 | BRUCE BARTLETT - CHIEF ASSISTANT

STATE ATTORNEY, SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

13 RICHARD SWEARINGEN - COMMISSIONER FLORIDA DEPARTMENT
OF LAW ENFORCEMENT

14 MAX SCHACHTER - VICTIM PARENT

LARRY ASHLEY - SHERIFF, OKALOOSA COUNTY

15 MELISSA LARKIN SKINNER - CEO, CENTERSTONE OF FLORIDA

MARY MAYHEW - SECRETARY AHCA

16 DAVID MICA - DCF CHIEF OF STAFF

SIMONE MARSTILLER - SECRETARY

17 DJJ PATRICIA BABCOCK - DEPUTY SECRETARY DCF

MIKE CARROLL - FORMER SECRETARY DCF

18 JAMES HARPRING - UNDERSHERIFF/GC, INDIAN RIVER

COUNTY

19 PAM STEWART - COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION

GRADY JUDD - SHERIFF, POLK COUNTY

20 DOUGLAS DODD - SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, CITRUS COUNTY

LAUREN BOOK - SENATOR, DISTRICT 32

21 RYAN PETTY - VICTIM PARENT

MARSHA POWERS - SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, MARTIN COUNTY

22 KEVIN LYSTAD - PRESIDENT, FLORIDA POLICE CHIEF ASSOC

CHRISTINA LINTON - COMMISSION STAFF, FDLE

23

24

1 (Thereupon, the meeting is called to order:)

CHAIR: We'll call the meeting to order.

As we begin, and before Superintendent Runcie testifies this morning, I just want to have some discussion about where we are on the issue of coverage of the schools here in Broward County. I think the necessary approach to this at this juncture is to hit the pause button on this, and to get definitive information, which we are not going to get today, about exactly where things are.

As we have heard as of last week, and again I'll stress it again, and I'm going to keep saying this, because it's against this backdrop that we have this uncertainty, and to the degree this chaos going on, is that this law took effect March 9, 2018, and we're sitting here in the middle of this chaos on August 15, 2019. This is stuff that should have been handled a long, long time ago, and we should not be in this position, we should not be in this situation, and we shouldn't even be having this discussion.

The very simple is, is that everybody should have been in compliance a long time ago.

2.2

2.4

The charters should have been in compliance a long time ago, and in my view every school district should have ensured that their charters, because they are their charters, were in compliance. And that this is not the first or the second survey that we've done, this is at least the third. We know that when we received the report back a couple months ago we had well over two hundred that were not in compliance, so this isn't new, and this is an ongoing issue.

2.2

2.3

2.4

As we came into this meeting last week trying to scramble, and trying to figure out exactly where this is, with the hope and the desire that what we were going to report was a hundred percent compliance, because that's what we want -- this isn't about calling people out, this isn't about holding people's feet to the fire. This is about wanting compliance with the law, it's very simple, and what we wanted to report is, no problems, we've got it, and let's move on from it, but we didn't have that.

And what we got last week was that we had, and they're varying numbers of non-compliance by charter schools here in Broward County. And

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

that number was nineteen, it was fourteen, it was nine, it was all over the board. And the criteria, and the correct criteria is not whether somebody put a band-aid on it so that they could check the box on the first day of school, and there was coverage. The criteria was, and should be, whether there was sustained coverage, not whether there was somebody there for the first day, or the second day.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So as we went into Tuesday we were under the impression that that number was somewhere in that teen number someplace, somewhere around fourteen, nineteen, somewhere in that range.

Tuesday evening we received information that the number was twenty nine, that the Broward County School District could not provide us with one hundred percent assurances that there was sustained adequate coverage on every single charter school campus in Broward County.

That's the criteria we asked them to apply, because that's the right criteria.

In discussions with Sheriff Tony, he was covering those schools, as I told you he testified to that, the discussions that we had all last week, through the weekend, into this

week, and that he was going to cover those schools until Friday, and if he didn't have signed contracts by Friday he was going to pull out. That's not sustained. That's not fixing the problem. That's putting a band-aid on it so the box is checked for the first forty eight hours.

When he was here yesterday morning I had a discussion with him, and asked him to reconsider that, to give them more time, and to perhaps give it into another week or so so that this could get resolved, then all of the sudden we see people scrambling, and coming out of the woodwork, oh, my God, no, we're in compliance, no, we're in compliance.

Then last night I get an email, and we're working on this until 11:00 last night, and we're getting copies of contracts, oh, my God, we're in the compliance. Well, the contracts that I saw were signed in the last forty eight to seventy two hours. The contracts I saw, one in Hallandale Beach that was signed on the 13th, it isn't even signed yet by the City. And you know what, that contract is only for thirteen days; that's not compliance.

2.2

2.3

So everybody running around -- and I understand that the Broward Sheriff's Office now has contracts with the charters in their primary service area. They are also covering the charters in Hollywood, because Hollywood can't do it, but they have agreements, but those agreements too have only been signed in the last forty eight hours or so. So what we need to do is at it relates to the twenty nine that the district provided to us that they cannot give us a hundred percent assurances, and we need to hit the pause button, and the reset button on that.

And I had a discussion with the Superintendent about it this morning, I had a discussion with the Sheriff about it this morning, is, and with Director Kelly, is it isn't going to happen today, because we can't keep going back and forth about where it is, where it's not, and then people scrambling and reacting, oh, my God, here's a document, here's a contract, and here's this. You can't do business that way. This is — this is not right.

Again, this should have been done a long

time ago. We hit the pause button on this, and we ask them to come up with a list laying out all twenty nine of those, exactly what the coverage model is, and there may be -- and Superintendent Runcie can speak, is there may even be some of these schools, yeah, they got somebody there, but they haven't been through the hundred forty four hours of training. So I don't know, do not know, and cannot tell you definitively where it is.

So we come up with a list of these schools, what their proposed solution is, short term, long term, and get verification from the Sheriff, and every affected police chief, and we come up with this definitively, signed off on by the school district, signed off on by the police departments and the sheriff's office, and then we can put something out, where we know what it is, and where it is, and what the status is, because right now everything is running around here flying by the seat of its pants, and it is not the way to do business, it's not good, and we need to definitively know, and stop this rubber banding, and flying emails, and contracts, and people showing up

2.2

here in the back of the room with folders and contracts in them, and all this other stuff that's been going on.

And then seeing contracts that I saw that are not even signed, if it's not signed it's not an agreement, and it's certainly not a solution if it's only for thirteen days. So we hit the pause button with this, and the superintendent is going to testify this morning, and as soon as we know definitively then we'll get it out to everybody, as to what the status is, because I don't know of any other way to do this. But I know what has to stop, is all this running around, information flying back and forth, and people signing contracts just to say that they're in compliance, and we don't even know exactly where it is with -- all -- all this has got to just slow down.

So those are my thoughts and comments on this. We can have some discussion, if anybody wants to, before Superintendent Runcie comes up. Chief Lystad has some comments that he wants to make, and I'll recognize him for those comments.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHF LYSTAD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just want to take a kind of similar path that our Chair did about discussion of things that were going out there. Yesterday we had a discussion to some degree about the Palm Beach District's School Chief, and their school situation up there, and so I wanted to set that against the backdrop to say that, or my comments against the backdrop, to say that I know that particular Chief, I know him very well, and I've known him for eight years, including the time that was president of the Florida Police Chiefs, and he is an extremely knowledgeable dedicated professional, and in my discussions after, or after the meeting yesterday I had some discussions with him, I got him on the phone and talked to him about some of things that I heard, and so I want to assure the members of the, of the Commission here, number one, that there is a sworn law enforcement officer on every campus in Palm Beach County.

I also want to take a couple comments to talk about the, the legal interpretation. I was there in the beginning with the Governor when he indicated he wanted one person

2.4

responsible. We all know that, that some, at some point what the Governor wants and what the legislature approves can be different, however in my discussion with him, and pointing me to the areas that his, the legal team of Palm Beach District looked at, I can see, as the Sheriff acknowledged yesterday, there is some degree of ambiguity, and interpretation that could be taken that way as it relates to the guardian program, and who, who is responsible for the training, and I look forward to discussions when we get to that part to talk about that.

He also presented to me that the guardian option in his county was not a viable option until one week before school starts, and while that's mathematically possible to get the training done, we all know that's not realistic to get it done. The other thing that came up yesterday was, is at least in my belief, there was some discussion about how the guardian program was, was purchased, and he assured me that he didn't have any significant involvement in the procurement of that, that they have a procurement division that does purchasing, as

2.2

2.3

2.4

far as who was providing the training, and how they did that, that it was done according to Palm Beach County School District's purchasing procedure.

And just jumping back to the legal team, they had about twenty lawyers who looked at the law, and provided that information, their interpretation, and so in my experience, and I'm sure the Sheriff can support that, I can get lawyers to say what I want them to say depending on who I pay. In the sheriff's office the sheriff kind of can overrule, if I'm not mistaken, your legal team. In a school district, or in a local, that doesn't work that way, they're as equal level.

The last thing that I would say is, is that in speaking with him, and my knowledge of him, he's an extremely professional dedicated individual, one that I consider a good friend, and in my discussions with him, he cares deeply about the safety of the students, and some of the programs that he expressed to me that he is doing up there are ahead of many school districts, including mine in Miami-Dade County, and so I would just caution about rushing to

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 judgment.

2.2

2.4

We have -- we have allowed everyone an opportunity here to come and present to us, and if we still have concerns over Palm Beach, and the school district, I'm sure he'd be happy to come here and present to us directly. And that concludes my remarks, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR: Okay. All right, thank you, Chief Lystad. Anybody else? Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHRF JUDD: Thank you. I agree, Mr.

Chair, that we need facts, definitive facts,
but let's frame all of this as excuses. On

February 14, 2018 a massacre occurred at

Marjory Stoneman Douglas, and the entire

Florida legislature came together and crafted a

piece of legislation of over one hundred pages

that was signed on March 9, 2018, and it went
into effect that date.

And now we got all kinds of staff, and all kinds of people here panicked, because yesterday we started squeezing the balloon.

This was signed eighteen months ago. What happened to a sense of urgency? I don't know what happened in the leadership schools, and practices that folks went to. In my world we

800-726-7007

would have been repairing whatever went horribly wrong. We would have started that repair on February 15, 2018.

So we're here eighteen months later, after the kids have already been denied a safe school officer on their campus for an entire year, and people are freaked out because we're going to call them out. Where were you the last eighteen months? When school started last August 13th in Polk County, Florida, we had trained a hundred guardians, and had a school resource officer or a guardian on ever public school campus, and every charter school campus in the county, with the exception of one. And they had excuses, but the next day after I got involved in it they had a, a police officer on their campus.

My point is, my frustration is, and I think we all share that today, and I agree, until we have the absolute data we don't need to say a school isn't in compliance if they are, but to me the headlines are it's a little late. School started down here yesterday. We still don't have people, signed contracts. We have a sheriff that prepares to train forty

2.2

2.3

guardians down here in Broward County, and eight show up? In a school vernacular that's called an F, a failure, a total absolute failure, and you know who's in the position to be injured, our children. Shame on those -- shame on whoever did not act with a sense of urgency.

But, that was then, and this is now, and all this commission wants, and I'm speaking for I think the hearts of all of us, we just want them safe. We just want them to comply with the law. And that's what I've seen, I have seen school districts disobey the law since March 9, 2018.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Sheriff, briefly?
CHAIR: Go ahead.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Chief, I appreciate your comments, and thank you for those. I will say, however, that legal recommendations should not drive decision makers. I know they don't drive the sheriffs. I know that they shouldn't be driving school superintendents, and other decision makers. And while in a vacuum, having read the statute again last night, and before our meetings, in a vacuum you might be able to

2.2

2.3

say that that language suggests something other than the sheriff being the responsible party. When read in its entirety under no circumstances can any reasonable interpretation be made of that provision other than it's the sheriff's responsibility.

Lawyers can debate it all the time, that's why we have appellate courts, but I think it is a complete abdication of the responsibility of the decision makers, and I look forward to speaking to Superintendent Runcie about what has happened down here. I think the most important thing for us to do moving forward is to gather the definitive information, and then take those actions that will result in accountability, because in our world when somebody doesn't comply with the law we take them to jail, that's, that's what we do. And I think that an important definitive fact finding statement needs to come from the commission, be recognized by the state wide grand jury, and people should govern themselves accordingly once we do that. It's just unconscionable.

CHAIR: Commissioner Petty, go ahead.

COMM PETTY: Mr. Chair, I appreciate your

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

thoughtfulness on this, because I agree, I think our hearts are, in trying to comply with the law our hearts are trying to protect our, our students, and our teachers at school, but I think those, those families that put their kids on a bus this morning, and yesterday, those spouses that kissed their, their spouse goodbye, expect them to come home. They expect the leaders in this county to do their jobs, and when they don't there's a heavy price to pay.

As a commission if we don't stand up for those that can't stand up for themselves I, I don't know who will, because I haven't seen the will, or the sense of urgency in Broward County to protect, to learn the lessons of what happened on February 14th, and protect our most vulnerable, our students and our teachers. So as we decide to give a reprieve for those that aren't in compliance with the law, if that's the quickest path to get them into compliance I can support that. What I can't support are anymore children, innocent children and teachers murdered in our schools because somebody didn't feel like, or didn't have the

2.2

2.4

sense of urgency to do their job.

2.

2.2

CHAIR: So -- and I agree with you. And it is, it is, in some respects it's two separate issues, where are we, and what is the compliance level, and those that are not in compliance. But it's the lack of the, the process, the lack of knowing, and why we are sitting here even having this discussion, that's even more problematic, because somebody needs to own it, and this problem, it should be very simple. Whatever the number is, and I believe it's forty eight, I'm sorry, eighty, eighty nine, I'm sorry, eighty seven or eighty nine, put them on a list, here are the eighty nine charter schools in Broward County.

And that should have been done last year, here are the eighty nine, contact the chair of the board of the charter, contact the principle of the charter, what do you have, do you have a cop, yes, do you have a contract with the city or the sheriff, yes, give me a copy of it, how long is it for, good, sustained, box checked, we're done, and you've got a sustained solution. Next one, I don't have anything, but I'm looking at the guardian program, okay, have

800-726-7007

you contacted the sheriff.

2.2

2.4

You know, and the sheriff said he talked to the police chiefs here in Broward County as of last Friday, and the police chiefs had not been contacted by the charters, so the police chiefs are, I can't solve problems I don't know about, I didn't know that you even needed one of my officers. I mean there's such a disconnect going on, and that step process, and that methodology of let's send it out, the solutions, that should have been done. It should have been done a long time ago.

So, seriously, as we're sitting here we don't know. You know, as best I can tell there is some good guy with a gun, good person with a gun sitting on every campus. Whether they're there for today, or tomorrow, or whether they're there for the next week, or two weeks, and whether they're a permanent solution, and if they're not a cop, you know, whether they have been through the hundred and forty four hours of guardian training or not, I don't know, I have no clue, can't tell you, no idea.

And that's what's sad. That -- that is the saddest part of it, is we're just, sitting

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

here, is we just cannot make that 1 determination. Against the backdrop of what everybody said is, is solely just making sure these kids are as safe as they can be, and 4 against the backdrop, because I'm a firm, a firm believer in the rule of law, you have a 6 hundred and sixty one people in this state that make decisions, and set the policy for the 8 twenty one million of us, you got a hundred and 10 twenty people sitting in the Florida house, and 11 you got forty people sitting in the Florida 12 senate, you got a governor, we'll all get to 13 weigh in on the process. Some things they do 14 we weigh in on, and then we don't agree with 15 the outcome, but we don't get to substitute our 16 judgment for theirs, that's not the way our 17 system works, they make the law and we follow 18 it.

5

9

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Just like yesterday, and I don't understand it, although they, they seem to be doing a lot of good things, and the right thing up there, but I don't understand, as Director Kelly said yesterday in Bay County, where they said that they're just going to drills every nine weeks. The legislature passed some laws

800-726-7007 305-376-8800 this year that said that we can't in our jails have deputies doing cross gender searches. I don't agree with that. I don't think it's a good law, and I think it has an adverse operational impact on us for a whole bunch of reasons, but you know what, and I opposed it, and strongly opposed it, but you know what, the day that law took effect our general orders were changed, and we forbid that practice because that's what the law is in Florida.

2.2

And this whole practice that's been going on since March 9, 2018, of people selectively choosing the laws they're going to follow, and what they're going to do or not do because they like it or don't like it, and they're coming up with excuses for it, is the most wholly unacceptable response to all of this that I see, and, and that we are, again, having this discussion, we're wasting this oxygen on this, because we shouldn't be.

It's really, really simple, everybody,
follow the law. Now, when you run into
situations where there is arguable ambiguity,
okay, that happens, nothing's perfect, we need
to work through that, and we will in this case,

and I think the consensus from this commission is, and I know that my advocacy is going to be, is to, is to eliminate that ambiguity, and get it very clear as to what the intent was, and is.

You know, just like that there was ambiguity arguably, because the lawyers found the ambiguity in 7026 about whether the provisions of 7026 applied to the charters, so earlier this year we went to the legislature and said close the gap. And you can't be any clearer than in 7030, that Governor DeSantis signed a couple months ago, is it expressly says all of these provisions apply to the charters, okay, everybody happy now, okay, we removed the ambiguity. You know, we dealt with last year, what, on the issue of safe school officers. When the legislature acted they thought that it could just use plain language, use simple words, assign a safe school officer to every charter, elementary, middle and high school, but, oh, no, the lawyers want to sit and manipulate that, and assign doesn't mean you actually have to be there. Assign is you can have them assigned on paper, and they can

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

rotate among a half a dozen different schools, because assigned doesn't mean they actually have to be there. Okay, so now we're going to go back in and fix that. No, you need to be there bell to bell, that's what the intent is, and stop playing games.

2.

2.2

2.3

This is the same situation that we're in there. We -- we will figure this out. We will get the facts definitively, and when we have the facts definitively we're going to lay out on a piece of paper all twenty nine of these, and go through in a column, and then it's going to be presented to the police chiefs of all the police departments that are affected, and to the sheriff, and to the superintendent, and have everybody concur that these are the facts, and then we'll know whether we have coverage or not.

And I just hope that in the meantime, that not for twenty four hours, forty eight hours, or seventy two hours, but until this is figured out that there is somebody, and I don't know today, there is somebody that's on those school campuses protecting those kids in the event that the unthinkable happens. So I think

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

that's where we are. Senator Book, go ahead.

SEN BOOK: Mr. Chair, number one, I want to thank you for your leadership here in Broward County, because without it it would seem that we're a bunch of chickens with our heads cut off, and not knowing which way is up and which way is down, so want to thank you, because I know this has consumed you for a long, for, since Friday afternoon.

And you're right, we don't have the facts. We don't know who we have, what we have, but here's what we do know. As we were leaving yesterday a Hollywood student was arrested for a video, having a gun in a classroom. That's what we do know, and so for those schools, however many they may be, we'll get to the bottom of that.

But the other thing that we do know is we have a man who is coming before us shortly who is the ultimate arbiter of the things that happen here, and should have those answers.

And if he doesn't have those answers -- for me a charter school is still a public school, the way that I understand the law, and he has the ability to pull those charters if they aren't

2.2

2.3

applying to, and following the law, and so I hope that while we get to the bottom of the facts, of how many we have, and what that looks like, the deadly reality is there's a kid in a classroom with a gun yesterday.

2.2

2.3

And we have schools that, by the grace of your hard work, the director, the sheriff, we have coverage, but that may not be the case, and we need to stop playing games. Because there are people here that understand that, and you're right, they can't stand up, because as we've talked about before they're six feet under. It's not acceptable.

CHAIR: Okay, Sheriff Judd, and then we'll get Superintendent Runcie up here.

SHRF JUDD: If I had a student in one of these schools that signed a contract within the last forty eight hours, eighteen months after this became law, after my child didn't have a safe school officer on campus to protect him or her last year, I would want to know the leadership at that school that just now signed the contract when school like started yesterday. I would want to know that.

I think it's worthy of the commissions

putting in the public record this is the data we have provided by the school board, provided by the charter, that we know at this point, and put a disclaimer down there, the leadership ignored this until the, at whatever point in time this commission in our last meeting started putting pressure on, and saying, hey, we're going to name names August 1st, and then they started scrambling.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I think it's important to understand what real leadership is, and I'll tell you. When the legislature signed this law on March 9th I went to my superintendent, and I said we've got to have a school resource officer, a school resource deputy, or a guardian on every campus, last August 13th when school started. And we sat down together, and we crafted a plan, and we selected, evaluated, trained, and had a guardian or school resource officer, or a school resource deputy on every campus.

When that ball came to my court from the superintendent's court I looked at my staff, and I said August 13th you'll have fully vetted, well trained people ready to turn over to the superintendent, and then assigned to a

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

campus on August 13th. On August 13th, if my edict hadn't of been followed I would have fired them on August 13th for failure to perform. That's leadership.

2.2

2.3

2.4

What we've seen is the antithesis of leadership, and I guess I got -- that's my mental health statement for the day.

CHAIR: All right, let's get started.

Superintendent Runcie, if you'd come up,

please. And he's going to make some opening

remarks, and then we'll begin with questions

that commissioner members have. Good morning,

Superintendent, thank you for being here.

PRESENTATION SUPERINTENDENT RUNCIE

SUP RUNCIE: Good morning, Sheriff,
Sheriff Gualtieri. Good morning commission
members. I thank you for giving me the
opportunity to meet with you today. I'm going
to actually start off the conversation today
with some comments on issues that were raised
here at your, at your meeting yesterday.
First, as for the discussion of charter schools
being in compliance, I wholeheartedly agree
with the commission that the responsibility for
compliance with SB7030 is on the charters, not

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1 on law enforcement to provide the coverage.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

And I agree that the authority to hold the charters accountable lies, and rests with the school board. That's why I have communicated repeatedly that we will move to shut down any school that is non-compliant. In fact we sent one letter informing a charter school that they are not in compliance, and that they, and that we will move to revoke their charter because of not having a safe school officer on site yesterday. But I do not have the authority to revoke a charter because a school does not have a long term sustainable plan. We must monitor, and if there is not compliance then we will sanction.

The discussion of the twenty nine charter schools that occurred yesterday, about those lacking a long-term sustainable plan, that, that is a different question than who is compliant presently. A review of the timeline on this matter is important. SB7030 went into effect on May 8th, and clarified that charter schools must have a safe school officer. was the result of some charters questioning whether SB7026 applied to them or not.

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007

305-376-8800

On May 15th we made a presentation to charter schools on these requirements. On May 31st the Department of Education sent a letter to me, and other superintendents around this state, on the charter school requirements. On July 9th the charters received a letter from the Department of Education on this matter. On July 9th we also emailed a letter to charters on the expectations, including sanctioning them, and revoking their charter for non-compliance. On July 29th we did a preliminary poll of the yet to be complaint schools from our charter tools database, and provided a list of sixteen schools to the Department of Education.

On August 1st Broward County Public
Schools again presented before the charter
principals, and reminded them that that day was
the deadline for proof of compliance into the
charter schools. On August 7th Broward County
Public Schools submitted a new list to the
Department of Education, and engaged in
subsequent conversations with DOE, and was
informed that other districts were reporting
having charters use their sheriff for an

interim coverage on an interim basis, even if it was in overlapping jurisdiction.

On August 13th the Department of Education asked us for a list of charters that do not have a long-term sustainable plan for compliance. Again, that was a different question than any that was previously asked, and the number of schools on that list was twenty nine. As for -- as for whether Broward County Public Schools has intentionally and thoroughly recruited guardians, we have, and continued to recruit them relentlessly. multiple classes of guardians that the sheriff's office has trained have demonstrated that. We've even changed the job requirements to expand the pool. We have never been asked by any charters to recruit guardians for them.

I also want to comment on the question of law enforcement involvement in threat assessments, and consequences for staff who fail to follow our policy. There's accountability for failure to accurately report data. In February I brought a discipline of an assistant principal for inaccurate reporting of discipline data. That individual was given a

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

suspension. On March 5th our new policy on behavior threat assessment went into effect.

In April I brought a demotion and two suspensions for failure to properly follow the policy. These actions weren't brought to us by any outside agency, or law enforcement. I know this because I have yet to receive any specific cases from outside entities about non-compliance. I'm not saying they haven't occurred, but I cannot take action against general anecdote. We hear these stories, but nobody ever gives us details.

You know, we're constantly telling our community, and everyone, if you see something say something, well, we need somebody to send us something. I need information. I need facts, not allegations. I need the names of parties, the schools, details, something to let me tie the assertion of inappropriateness, or violation of the school board policy to a person. The first formal notification on this topic around threat assessment concerns from Sheriff Tony was the July 15th letter I received. I met with him on July 24th, and asked for specific cases to be presented to me.

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 I have yet to receive those details.

This community has my commitment to take disciplinary action when the threat assessment policy is not followed, and we have done so. But I can only do that when I have the facts, and not simply general references to times from the past, or what someone may have heard may have happened. And I'll have some more to say on these items later on.

But let me move into talking about some of the things that we've done since we, we last There is significant amount of effort met. that has continued, or has commenced since I appeared before you nine months ago. Many of our actions were a direct result of questions and recommendations that came from this commission. Let me walk you through the most significant actions we're taken to ensure the security and safety of our community. And we are by no means done. This is a continuous improvement process for us. As we learn more, and identify opportunities to enhance our commitment to a safe learning environment we will do so.

We continue to take a multi-layered

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

approach to ensure that our schools are protected. We are protecting students and staff on school property by ensuring that there's perimeter fencing around the entire campus with limited points of entry, or a single point of entry. And these points of access are locked during school operation unless there's a staff member present to monitor anyone entering the school property. Procedures have been established, and are being reinforced, to monitor parking lots, drop-off, pick-up points, and exteriors of our campuses throughout the school day.

Human eyes and ears are a foundational component of ensuring safety. Technology can enhance, but can never replace having human beings take care of each other, as we have backed up this philosophy with significant commitments and budgetary resources. We have increased our spending to improve our ability to both prevent and respond to school based incidents. We have increased our funding for safety and security. As I discussed with you in November, we went to the voters of Broward County, and they passed a referendum that

2.2

2.4

dedicates funding for safety and security which we are utilizing to supplement that State funding.

The district has allocated the additional safe schools allocation funding for the 2019/2020 school year, and the new millage gained through the August 2018 referendum, to increase the district's reimbursement to school resource officers, to also increase the number of guardians, and to source over five hundred new security related positions. When combined with existing resources there will be over twelve hundred SROs, guardians, and security positions deployed throughout the district for the 2019/2020 school year, the current school year. This represents an investment of about \$53 million in security personnel dedicated to enhancing the security of our schools.

By accelerating the expenditure of capital funding we have also moved to have single point of entry functionality at every school.

Yesterday every school in Broward County opened with a functional single point of entry.

Visitors, including contractors and vendors, must access schools through a single point of

2.2

2.3

2.4

entry, and must be escorted if entering from a loading dock or alternative door. However, events held on campus after regular school hours continue to be a concern as far as providing safety coverage. The reality is that there's inadequate funding, or available personnel, to extend the full security program, and matrix that we have, at schools beyond the normal school hours.

The district has extended SRO agreements with select municipalities, or assigned quardians to cover all district run academic programs during the summer. All before and after care programs are now required to develop a security plan, provide for an un-armed security position, and adhere to similar safety and security protocols during operation, for example, maintaining live perimeter fencing unless a gate is staffed. A plan for securing and monitoring perimeter fences after hours while students and staff are still on campus must be developed by all principals, and implemented, and communicated to all stakeholders, and routinely inspected and monitored.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Again, the physical security of our school 1 2. campuses is achieved through a multi-layered 3 approach. These layers include fences, single point of entry, visitor badging and protocols, 4 5 having staff present in the hallways during transition periods, and having classroom doors 6 These are supported with signage to locked. quide community members and visitors, mobile 8 staff, and also staff that are static, who are 10 monitoring video feeds.

> And when people see something they need to know how to say something. Community members, students, teachers, administrators and visitors, must know and use tools that are available to them. To report a tip Broward County Public Schools provide multiple tools to do so. Let me describe a couple of them, and how they actually fit together.

> We are in the process of complying with the statutory requirement to have FortifyFl available on all district issued electronic devices. We have placed FortifyFl on district and school website landing pages. We have installed this app on existing devices, and are moving to include it on the initial images

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

placed on the device at the point of procurement.

We are also supporting SaferWatch, another mobile application which is actually being used by a number of law enforcement agencies and municipalities in Broward County. Both of these apps provide a way for community members to report. Each has some strengths, and we are ensuring that both are promoted on our websites, on signage, and in communication. Additional, Broward County Public Schools has text messaging, anonymous tip lines, and email reporting mechanisms, under our Silence Hurts Campaign. All this information is available on the district's website.

As I shared previously the school board approved \$3.2 million in September of 2018 to staff a new safety and security and emergency preparedness division to implement what we call an enterprise risk management framework across the entire organization. The sourcing of that new division began in February 2019 with the appointment of a new Chief of Safety, Security, and Emergency Preparedness. We have been fortunate to hire Brian Katz for this position.

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

He's here with me today. He reports directly to me. He comes to us with law enforcement experience from the United States Department of State, and he has conducted security reviews of facilities, procedures, and personnel, for diplomats and families in locations around the globe.

Additionally, Brian was a security director for Google, establishing global programs to help protect physical locations, staff, and their families. His experience in serving the residents of Broward County is, is serving the residents of Broward County well, and is enhancing the district's culture of safety and security. He's already made a significant impact in how I, the school board members, our cabinet and staff, think and act about how we address safety and security challenges that are before us.

The Office of Safety, Security, and

Emergency Preparedness is integrating the

policies, staffing, management, and monitoring

of all aspects of school safety operations,

district policies for safety and fire drills,

and preparations for hurricanes and other

800-726-7007

2.2

2.4

2.5

perils. A significant change in our operational approach has already occurred, and that is eliminating the decentralization of school safety personnel. These resources no longer report to the school principal. order to ensure consistency in operational execution campus monitors and security specialists now report to an area manager, that reports into the Office of School Safety, Security, and Emergency Preparedness.

This is a significant change from the Broward school's culture of decentralization. There is extensive collaboration between staff and schools, between principals, area supervisors, and between our Office of School Accountability headed by Dr. Wanza and Chief Brian Katz. We must, and will, ensure that safety and security procedures are implemented in a consistent way at every school in this district.

A significant improvement that this division has established based on this commission's interim report is in the training and operational procedures for campus monitors. In addition to changing the reporting structure

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

we have extended the contract year for these employees to provide three days of training. There are now standard training, accountability, and expectations for these employees, far beyond the position description that we had in November. Coordinated through Brian, the Broward County Public Schools has worked to enhance its coordination with law enforcement. He has met repeatedly with representatives of the Broward Sheriff's Office, municipal police departments, and the Broward Chief's Association, where he regularly attends meetings. These meetings are focused on ensuring that there's a smooth operations and communications, a collaborative approach, if you will, between education and law enforcement.

I want to highlight some of the areas of this collaboration, and what we focused on over in the past six months. One area is ensuring that law enforcement has real time access to school security cameras. All SROs have access to cameras for their respective schools. The Broward Sheriff's Office in its real-time crime center has access to the district's entire

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

inventory of over 10,000 surveillance cameras. All municipalities that provide SROs have been provided the contract for access, with appropriate data sharing agreements, for approval by their respective governing bodies, basically the same type of agreement that we have with the Sheriff's Office.

2.2

2.3

2.4

In the interim municipal police forces can go through the Sheriff's Office and, and work through their integrated command center as well, as another source for video access. This was a significant accomplishment, as it required policy agreements, technical integration, and the establishment of a standard naming convention for all of our cameras. The naming convention must serve the purpose of school operation for those who know the facility well, as well as for law enforcement and other first responders, who may not know the facility as well, but need to navigate it during a crisis.

Additionally, law enforcement and municipal emergency responders, with the inspection of facilities, and the development of emergency response plans, is coordinated

through our new Office of Safety, Security, and Emergency Preparedness. This includes a completion of the review -- this -- this includes a completion and review of the Florida Safe Schools Assessment Tool. There has not been a substantial, or a submittal of updated, what we call FSSATs, the assessment tool, since my testimony in November. That will occur in the coming weeks. While we are waiting access to the final version of the 2019 took from the Department of Education we have been active in preparing staff, both district and principals, to complete the assessment tool with fidelity.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

There will be complete -- these will be completed with high quality responses, and they will be reviewed by leadership, and specifically by me. I will be reviewing a representative sample with Brian Katz, as his staff will be working with our principals, and our supervisors, to ensure that all of these responses are accurate, complete, and the actions are taken to address any issues that arise.

State statute requires that every school has the presence of a trained armed safe school

officer, either a school resource officer or a Coach Aaron Feis quardian. You have been examining the compliance of public schools, district schools and charter schools with this mandate, in your commission meetings. Broward County Public Schools has maintained the compliance that I testified to you about in November. We have, and will ensure compliance in each of our schools by utilizing SRO contract and guardians, and having protocols to provide substitutes with contracted overtime, as well as utilizing our own school investigative unit detectives as needed. Yesterday on our first day of school we had approximately two hundred and one SROs at a hundred and seventy nine schools, and forty seven quardians.

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

I want to spend a minute on the issue of charter school compliance. While school boards issue the operational agreement for charter schools there's actually very little a school board can do to impact the compliance of charter schools with statutes or best practices, they have their own governing body. The only real lever that we have to pull is to

shut them down. We sent repeated notices to charters that they need to be in compliance, they must have a safe school officer on campus during all normal school operating hours.

Again, we have informed the charters that failure to comply will result in my calling for the School Board of Broward County to convene, and immediate revoke the charter school, the charter school operating license.

This will be extremely disruptive, as we know, to the lives of students, families, and staff of the charter schools, but it's the only thing that we, we have. It's the only action that we can take. And we continue to work closely with the Department of Education's Office of School Safety.

The training on behavioral threat assessment procedures provided to the district, and district personnel, is the same training provided to our law enforcement partners. This is most important at the level of the SROs, for being matched with principals, campus security specialists, and campus monitors. And those schools which have guardians need to partner with appropriate law enforcement agencies to

2.2

2.3

2.4

provide law enforcement agents on demand.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The training is consistent for how to conduct investigations on discipline measures, and when those discipline measures may cross the line into criminal conduct. All personnel have been trained, they've been trained that law enforcement always, always maintains the discretion to decide whether to undertake law enforcement actions.

Significant effort has been focused on training for all administrative staff, the creation of a behavioral threat assessment policy, and the procurement of a centralized digital documentation system. This system is required for all district schools, and has been provided for Broward County charter schools as well. All of these improvements have been completed.

Intensive and ongoing training for all school administrators on behavioral threat assessment procedures has been paramount.

Training commenced prior to the 2018/2019 school year, and additional three hour training using behavioral threat assessment case studies occurred during the school year, guided by the

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

new School Board policy 2130 on behavioral threat assessments, and an additional round of training on the new digital centralized behavioral threat assessment tool occurred just this August.

In the past three weeks we have trained three hundred and twelve elementary schools, a hundred and sixty four middle schools, two hundred and ten high schools, and fifty five charter school administrators, and we have trained a hundred and sixty five law enforcement officers. There will be additional training in the coming weeks, and the training, our policy, and practice, is that law enforcement, again, must be involved in all threat assessment teams.

A second component was the elevation of the threat assessment accountability from the level of operational procedure to School Board policy. Policy 2130 was finalized through the statutorily defined rule making process in the district on March 5, 2019. This policy establishes definitions of threat levels, roles and responsibilities of people, teams, and departments, and establishes an auditing

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

calendar for ensuring fidelity of implementation and compliance. Expectations for building a level, for building level threat assessment teams, administrator, supervisors, and the audit process, have been clarified. The system of accountability is also outlined. The policy was an elevation of behavioral threat assessment expectations, again to the highest level in the organization.

2.2

2.3

2.4

A third component was the selection, procurement, and implementation of a digital centralized threat assessment system to replace the previous paper based processes and school side documentation that was used. On February 20, 2019 the School Board approved the contract with Public Consulting Group to develop and implement the system. The design of the system was informed by state law, School District policy, and end-users. The system was finalized in June. A training on the new system commenced in July with school principals, and commenced with law enforcement personnel on May, on Monday August 12th.

Broward County Public Schools and law enforcement continue to be active, be in active

communication to ensure that all parties understand the procedures, how they work around threat assessments. Another area where the district has undertaken a significant effort and impact is around safer spaces. On February 20, 2019 the School Board adopted policy 2150. We are defining a safer space, also referred to as a hard corner, as a designated general location where students and staff can more effectively position themselves where they are not visible from a hallway or outside door or window in an effort to reduce risks associated with an active shooter.

The policy stipulates the manner in which safer spaces will be identified when appropriate in classrooms. These spaces are marked with standardized visual designators, which mandate the designated spaces will remain free from clutter, furniture, and objects interfering with their use in emergencies, and requires periodic inspection of the spaces to ensure compliance with policy.

We are implementing the safer spaces policy in two phases. The first phase was to have school based staff identify and mark the

2.2

2.3

2.4

safer space within all classrooms where there's a single point, and the absence of windows on the first floor. This phase yielded the identification of nearly three thousand safer spaces within classrooms throughout the district. The second phase involves teams of our special investigative unit detectives and the district fire inspectors visiting each school to assess the remaining classrooms, and identify any additional safer spaces within classrooms.

This two phase approach became necessary because additional expertise was needed to evaluate more complex classrooms, classrooms where there may be multiple entry points, or first floor windows, in order to determine if there is even an opportunity to identify a safer space. Security experts have advised us that more important than just a line or graphic image identifying a safer space is the need for situational awareness of the occupants in the room on how to respond to a potential threat.

The district issued guidance to all principals to share with their staff on March 8, 2018. This guidance advised that while all

2.2

2.3

2.4

classrooms may not have a safer space every classroom has a safest space to utilize in a given situation, and all teachers are encouraged to consider various entry points, and develop personal options for response in those situations. Teachers and staff were advised to practice their planned response during our emergency code drills, and adapt those responses based on the results of the drills.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Additionally, a training video was developed providing guidance on safer spaces, which actually featured footage from Sheriff Gualtieri's discussion with the School Board on February 26th, so I just want to thank you, Sheriff, for your visit last Spring, and allow us to utilize that footage as part of the professional development process for staff.

Another area where policy and training have been enhanced is around emergency codes, and we've elevated the importance of our practice to the level of policy there as well. The School Board adopted policy 2120 on February 20, 2019 to affirm its existing protocols, protocols that were already in place

800-726-7007

on emergency codes and, and procedures. The policy specifically mandates any staff member must take appropriate actions, including initiating a code red lockdown on a school campus, should they see, hear, or smell anything that may immediately impact the safety and security of any staff, students, or visitors on campus. Should a staff member initiate a code red which does not materialize into an actual threat there will be no adverse employment action on that individual.

Additionally, the policy requires all Broward employees, volunteers, and contractors, that they are required to report any expressed threats or behaviors that may represent a threat to the community, school, or any individual. Staff has been trained that anyone, anyone can call a code red, and how at their specific location they can call a code red. And we practice our code red drills every month, per statute. During the past school year we conducted two thousand three hundred and fifty code red drills, and we'll begin conducting code red drills again in our schools this week.

2.2

2.3

2.4

All of these trainings are intended to 1 develop the capacity of individuals, and the 3 community, to respond in an emergency situation, a situation that will never match 4 the exact conditions of the training. emergency is unique. Our effort is to educate 6 people on how to think, how to think in an emergency based on unique conditions they find 8 themselves in during that emergency. Even at 10 one school people in different locations may 11 need to act in different ways, depending on the 12 nature of the crisis, and the source or 13 direction of the threat. This is called situational awareness, and that is what Broward 14 15 County is cultivating in our culture around 16 safety and security initiatives. 17

I want to update you on some changes in leadership we've made at Stoneman Douglas High School, but before that I just want to actually update you on some of the progress that we've made in some areas, some that have not been totally completed. One of the commitments I made to you in November was to initiate investigations into the actions of Broward County Public Schools personnel who were

5

9

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

present during the tragedy. Shortly after receiving the commission's investigatory materials, and just days after my November testimony to you, I directed that four staff members be reassigned, and investigations commenced.

We contracted with an external firm to conduct these investigations. Two of these investigations are complete, and have been provided to the effective individuals for their thirty day review in accordance with district policy. Two more are moving to this stage in coming weeks. In March based on the commission's interim report I directed the principal at the time also be investigated. That investigation will also be completed in the coming weeks, and ultimately referred to the professional standards committee.

Our intention is to get this investigation process correct, for the best interests of the community, and for the individuals under investigation. Being thorough, respecting the due process, and determining the final outcomes, has taken more time than we would like, but we choose to take the time to get it

2.2

2.3

2.4

right.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

I want to update you on some changes in leadership we've made at Stoneman Douglas High School. The 2019 Florida principal of the year, Ms. Michelle Kefford, has assumed the leadership role of principal at Stoneman Douglas this past July. In addition I've made changes in the administrative and security teams at the school. We have an exceptional leader in Ms. Kefford. She came to us as a principal from Flanagan, she lives in the community, and has a vested interest, with her children there as well. She's a Parkland resident, a former biology teacher at MSD earlier on in her career, and I can tell you she's receiving all necessary support to respond, recover, and heal, while ensuring the academic excellence that characterizes Stoneman Douglas continues moving forward.

The focus on having sufficient trained personnel, strong policy, and procedural guidelines, and monitoring and accountability systems, are not the only domains which we've taken action. There are a number of ways in which we are also leveraging technology to meet

800-726-7007

305-376-8800

our needs. I've committed to you that Broward County Public Schools staff would remove the school bus radios off the public safety channels by the start of this school year. I want to remind you that in September, September 5, 2018 the School Board of Broward County approved \$4.5 million in capital funding to initiate a radio system migration and enhancement project. This project included two distinct work streams.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The first phase of the project included the migration of the district's bus and non-emergency radio traffic off of Broward County's existing public radio system, and onto the newly developed local government radio system. We completed this migration prior to the deadline. Additional key milestones in this project included the purchase, programming, and installation of radios for one thousand three hundred and sixty seven school buses, four dispatch control stations, fourteen fleet maintenance vehicles, and three hundred and fourteen two-way handheld portable radios in our schools.

We are ensuring there are multiple

800-726-7007

communication methods, including sufficient radios, and radio coverage available to all school personnel as well. We are moving to install a new intercom and communications systems. In September 2018 the School Board approved allocating \$17 million to upgrade the intercom systems at all high schools, technical colleges, centers, and secondary combination schools.

This project will improve the emergency communications within schools, it will upgrade the intercom system to a digital platform, allowing school administration to pre-record emergency messages, and initiate them remotely anywhere on campus. It also incorporates additional speakers throughout the campus, particularly in areas outside of buildings. The district identified a business partner to accomplish this work in April of 2019, and initial rollout of the upgrades has begun.

Additionally the district has funded approximately twenty five hundred additional analytic cameras to expand coverage and enhance detection. The technology, the policies, the staffing, the training, the entire enterprise

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

of safety and security must work as an integrated whole within Broward County Schools, and with our partners in law enforcement and community agencies. All of us need to maintain situational awareness, to know how to respond in particular circumstances, through learning, through training and repeated practice, we will get better.

2.3

2.4

Additionally we are in the process of examining language which we use to define our security codes. We have heard from local and national experts that using color codes is not an effective, is not as effective as plain language. This will be a significant change, and we will not undertake it lightly. We are ensuring that all of our community knows what to do using our current protocols before moving towards situation awareness option based responses, and other language.

This has not been a comprehensive list of all the actions by the district, or our partners in law enforcement, community health services, or other governmental agencies, because there's, we would need more time, and I'm going to try to conclude shortly. There

have been many actions beyond safety and security that we've undertaken. These include the operation of our wellness center in Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School, the operation of the Broward County Resiliency Center in the City of Parkland, our partnership with the National Center for School Crisis and Bereavement to serve victims, their families, and the Parkland/Coral Springs community, our partnership with the Center For Mind, Body, Medicine, to build resiliency through comprehensive wellness strategies, and our deepening partnership with local community health providers.

These, and other initiatives, have been important parts of a holistic response, recovery, and resiliency effort. All of this work is about changing the culture of school operations. Safety and security must be successfully addressed in order to enable great instruction in our classrooms, and safety and security are the responsibility of all school community members. While much has been done, we must continue to learn, and adapt, and improve.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Yesterday was the first day of school for the district. I spent a lot of time visiting with our students, teachers, met a lot of parents, got a lot of calls and messages about the day, and, and one of them stuck out to me, which I'll read to you because I think it's pertinent to our focus, and our time here.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I just dropped off my kids at elementary, and so very thankful to see all the improvements from last year, such a noticeable difference, and I feel at peace leaving them today. It is the first time I have felt that peace in a long time, so thank you for that. There is no price tag for the peace in a mother's heart.

Our goal is to make sure every parent feels that way. Broward County Public Schools is committed to providing a world class education, and safe and secure learning environments. Thank you for inviting me to update you on our work. Mr. Chair, I welcome dialog with you and other commission members.

CHAIR: All right, thank you,

Superintendent. Before I turn it over to

commission members just a quick follow up

question on -- you mentioned in there that the ongoing investigation, and it is taking longer than we had hoped regarding Morford Thompson and others, that the district is conducting.

Do you -- do you have any time frame at all as to when that is reasonably expected to be concluded, or is it just a complete unknown?

SUP RUNCIE: Yes, the -- the last update I received from the investigators was that they would conclude sometime within ninety days, so roughly around the end of September, early October.

CHAIR: All right. Another quick, quick question, follow up, make sure that we're, we understand this, as far as the cameras are concerned, you mentioned that the contracts with the Broward Sheriff's Office, you have an agreement with them for live real time monitoring in their real time crime center, and that the SROs on the campuses have access to the cameras, and the contracts have been provided to the police departments. Is there a hold-up as to why those contracts, do you understand, because those contracts have been out for a while, as I understand it? What's

2.2

2.3

2.4

the hold-up in the police departments being
able to sign those contracts; is there still a
disagreement over the language in those
contracts, do you know?

SUP RUNCIE: Yeah, so this week we were
able to resolve one significant area of
concern. I think there's two, but first was to
allow law enforcement individuals to leave --

CHAIR: I'm sorry, Superintendent, I'm talking about the cameras, not, not the SRO contracts, the cameras themselves that you mentioned in your remarks, the cameras.

SUP RUNCIE: Oh, no, the -- the cameras, that's resolved. The law enforcement agencies have them. They -- they have to send those to their commission to, to --

CHAIR: Okay, so all those issues are resolved right now, it's just in the process.

SUP RUNCIE: -- to approve -- so there's -- there's --

CHAIR: Because there's been a delay, so I wanted to find out why, but everything is resolved.

SUP RUNCIE: Yeah, everything is resolved, there, there are no issues at this point. We

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.2

1 | need --

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR: Okay. Okay.

SUP RUNCIE: -- their commissions to approve it.

All right, last thing before I CHAIR: turn it over to commission members, what I'm going to ask you to do is, I'm just going to put this on the record, and then I'll get with whoever you want afterward, what I'm going to ask you to do as it relates to these twenty nine charters that are the big question mark that we've had all this discussion about, is it's better that we get this right, with finality, that it be done fast, and I'm going to ask you by no later, and if it can be done sooner than this, by next Friday, August 23rd, and if it can be done sooner, then great, but that you provide a list to us with the name of all twenty nine of those schools, and whether that school, that charter school has a law enforcement officer, a police officer or a deputy sheriff on it, yes or no.

If they do, which agency, is there a contract with that agency, yes or no, the date that that contract was signed with that agency,

because I understand some of them have been signed in the last couple of days, whether that, the term of the contract, and if the contract is not for the term covering at least this entire school year what is the plan once that contract expires, whether it's in a week, a month, whatever that may be.

2.2

2.4

If they don't have a law enforcement officer assigned to that school, as it relates to the guardian, who is that person, with their name, when was the person employed, the term of engagement with that person, and is it for the entire school year, and if it's not what is their plan once that term of engagement with that person ceases, and is there one hundred percent compliance with the requirement that that person had received the one hundred and forty four hours of training required by the statute, and that the person according to the statute have a full background check, a drug screen, and a psychological evaluation as it relates to that person.

And what's what we're talking about as far as compliance with the law, and sustained commitment, so that we don't have any gaps in

coverage. So if you could provide those, I think by a week from Friday is plenty of time to put that together.

2.2

2.3

2.5

SUP RUNCIE: Absolutely. In fact the items that you mentioned are part of the due diligence process that we have been exercising around this. We didn't just go ask charters for a list, and they check a box off, and we take their word for it. We asked for evidence. We examined some of these things, and they didn't meet what we boulevard to be requirements. And I would suggest that this is not some limited challenge for Broward County, if we're concerned about how charters throughout the state are doing that should be asked for every single charter in every district in Florida.

CHAIR: Right. And I'll work with whoever you want, with Mr. Boll, or with Chief Katz, or whoever, if you can get those to us, and also to the Department of Education no later, no later than a week from Friday. So I open it up to commission members. Commissioner Harpring, go ahead.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Thank you, Sheriff.

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

Good morning, Superintendent. Are you familiar with Sheriff Tony's testimony yesterday? Did you have an opportunity to review that?

SUP RUNCIE: I haven't had an opportunity to review all of it. I saw maybe a few minutes, but I really was spending most of the day yesterday with the opening of schools, and haven't had a chance to see it.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Okay. It's our understanding from testimony yesterday that, regarding threat assessment teams, that the schools do not have specific assigned law enforcement officer assigned to the threat assessment teams, and that quite often there is an officer, or a deputy being called out of the zone, or off the street, to come in to either sit on a threat assessment team meeting, or after the meeting has already occurred to sign off on the threat assessment form. That would be contrary to the provisions of the statute of Florida law.

Are you aware of -- first, are you aware of that testimony, has anyone talked to you about that? Are you aware, secondly, if that is occurring?

800-726-7007

2.2

about that. And what I will say to you is, one, our policy, and what we do is consistent with what you said the statute is, and again, if we find that there are any issues with compliance to that we will take appropriate disciplinary action to ensure accountability around that. School resource officers that are on our campus absolutely are involved in the threat assessment process; no exceptions around that.

We do have situations where there's a guardian at a school. That guardian is not, you know, a law enforcement officer, per the statute, so we will have to reach out to local law enforcement entities to be able to come to the campus and respond to threat assessments.

I will tell you once a threat is identified at a school that process needs to occur on a timely basis, basically that day, and if we reach out to a law enforcement entity, and they are unable to send someone, we are not going to sit by and not conduct the threat. If they come a day later, or later in that day, certainly they will be pulled into the process.

2.

2.2

So there's some of that that's going on that we need to continue to work through, but I can tell you we've made it clear that there should be absolutely no impediment or deviation from that policy whatsoever, that law enforcement are to be involved in the threat assessment process whenever possible.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Well, first in that regard, I would be extremely surprised if any of my brothers or sisters in law enforcement got a call and said that there was threat assessment meeting, and they couldn't come until the next day. Take that for what it's worth. I would be very surprised. If that's the case, then certainly I'm sure that the heads of the agencies would like, the heads of the agencies would like to know that.

SUP RUNCIE: So what we are going to do going forward, and we talked about this, especially with the automated system, we are going to document everything around the timing, the calls, the communication, on every single threat assessment that we have. So when is law enforcement notified, you know, around the process, we'll, we'll track all of that, and

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

we'll report that back to the commission, or anybody that wants to see it. But, if there are cases that individuals know of out there, send them to us, and we will go and address it immediately.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

UND SHRF HAPRRING: So you're saying that you're not presently aware of --

SUP RUNCIE: I have not been -- no.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: -- any scenarios where a threat assessment document has been formulated, occurred, and then after the fact presented to a law enforcement officer to sign off on.

SUP RUNCIE: No, there are -- there are three or four situations where, that I mentioned earlier in my opening remarks today, where we enacted suspension and demotion related to inappropriately conducting threat assessment.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: When were you aware -or let me ask it this way. Were you aware at
any time during the '18/19 school year that
charters did not have coverage in Broward
County? And when I say coverage I mean
coverage by a law enforcement officer.

SUP RUNCIE: In the '18/19 school year, 1 In fact we had conversations around it, 3 and I think that's why you saw in SB7030 it provided clarification to that. That's why you 4 5 saw the commissioner issue the correspondence 6 that came out in May, and in July, because they had a difference of opinion on it. Now, look, all our kids need to, and, and the teachers, 8 9 and staff, need to be in safe and secure 10 learning environments, so I'm not sure why 11 we're even having that debate of whether the 12 law applied to them or not, the public schools, 13 and every school needs to ensure the safety of 14 everyone that's there.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Did you and your personnel last year believe that it applied to the charters?

SUP RUNCIE: Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Then why didn't you do anything to ensure that?

SUP RUNCIE: Again, we don't run charters, right? I have no jurisdiction over what a charter does, the decisions that they make.

All we can do is use the tools that are given to us by the State to close them if they don't

do what they're supposed to do, so --

2.2

2.5

UND SHRF HAPRRING: But you didn't -- but you didn't do that last year. The -- the School Board, or, or you, did not initiate that process to do that last year when you believed that the law applied to the charters.

SUP RUNCIE: Because we -- yeah, but -- but there wasn't clarity on that. We asked to get clarity on that law, which happened in 7030. And as soon as that was -- as soon as that was done we made it clear to the charters what we would do.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: So you did not believe that the statute spoke for itself that charters had to be covered.

SUP RUNCIE: We did not get clarifying -- we did not get clarifying correspondence that it did or it did not.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: But what did you believe?

SUP RUNCIE: I believe that it did, and we took action once we got the clarification that allowed us to utilize the tools that we had to be able to action, and hold them accountable for that.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Did you speak with Sheriff Tony last school year in this regard, after he was appointed Sheriff?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SUP RUNCIE: I don't recall having any specific discussion around this topic.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Regarding the camera access, at this point in time individual municipalities to not have access to the cameras in the schools; is that correct?

SUP RUNCIE: That is correct, only the Sheriff's Office. We have given, and worked through the agreements with the other municipalities. I believe we have another one that has been delivered to us that's approved. We're going to be bringing that to the Board in short order. My understanding with the rest of them, they have to bring that to their governing bodies for approval, and they will also have the same type of access. So there's nothing on our end that is holding that up, or preventing that from occurring, we're just waiting for the, the agencies, and their commissions, to move forward with the approval. All the details and changes that were requested in the contracts have been made, and we are

Veritext Legal Solutions

1 ready to go.

2.2

2.3

2.4

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Why did it take until November to initiate the administrative investigation regarding personnel at Stoneman Douglas?

SUP RUNCIE: Well, I -- you know, we waited until, you know, what we saw going through the, the investigations that were conducted by the commission. And -- and we -- and we used that information and evidence to be able to support that.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: So our initial report didn't come out until January of this year --

SUP RUNCIE: We had enough by the Fall, though.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Right. But you didn't -- you didn't even start an internal investigation into those actions independently of anything that, that we were doing, until November; is that correct?

SUP RUNCIE: A formal noticed investigation to those employees, no, but we've, we've done different types of internal reviews that, that weren't formal investigations where employees were noticed

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1 through the, the process.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

UND SHRF HAPRRING: And why was that?

SUP RUNCIE: Again, we were waiting, and working with the commission.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Well, our work here is independent of anything that, that you're going to do; is that correct? Your investigation isn't contingent on what we do, right?

UNKOWN: The commission asked we suspend the -- we didn't get the --

SUP RUNCIE: So let me -- so there -there was a point that year, some point in the Spring where we, you know, attempted to -first of all, I didn't know how long this commission was going to continue to do its work before you produced any information, so we waited a handful of months, and then we tried to initiate our own investigation. I think that was some point in maybe May or so of, of 2018. When we started that process I had a commission, I mean a conversation with the Chair that the commission and FDLE would actually be out doing investigations and interviews with the same folks that we were actually going to meeting with, so that would

effectively be redundant, so we were going to rely on the sworn testimony and the documentation that would come from the investigations and the interviews that are being done by the FDLE's staff, which is far more comprehensive than anything that we would be able to do within the district.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: But the questions themselves, you don't, you didn't know what the scope or the course of the FDLE investigation or this commission investigation would be, the course, the scope, the questioning, the reliance on policy, on practice, on actual operational procedures at Stoneman Douglas --

SUP RUNCIE: But it was going to be the same process.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: -- on a day to day basis --

SUP RUNCIE: But it was going to interview the same folks, the, the staff, the personnel, at the, at the school site. Those are the same things that we were going to do, and we wouldn't even have the same type of authority and subpoena power that the commission's investigators would have. So since the

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

investigators were going to do that, my conversation with the Sheriff is that that material would be made available to us to be able to conduct our --

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

So Commissioner Harpring, he's correct, is, is that in the Spring of last year they were going to retain Steve Wexler, who's a retired secret service agent, to do an investigation. I asked Superintendent Runcie to hold off. I had that discussion with you all. Some of you can disagree with that, and it's my decision, I own it, and I stand by it, because what we were faced with at the time was just a tremendous, tremendous amount of information, data, records, and witness interviews, and what we were finding is, is that some people were reluctant, and some people were refusing to be interviewed because of the multiple interviews that were being conducted.

Remember you had the National Police

Foundation on behalf of Broward County, you had
the FDLE EI investigation, you had our
investigation, you had others that were
interviewing the same people, and as you know

from an investigative standpoint is you start getting all those hands in the pot, and too many spoons in the soup, so to speak, is that it was a very convoluted situation, and the last thing I wanted, because, is we were meeting with our investigators and developing our strategy, is that it wouldn't have been good to have yet somebody else, and then especially coming from the district, and with the unions we were dealing with, and all the administrative input and requirements. So he's correct, Superintendent is correct, is, is that I did ask him to stand down and wait until we were completed.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: And I recall that, Mr. Chair. Regarding the completion, you're talking about ninety days from now; is that correct?

SUP RUNCIE: That's -- well, it's --

UND SHRF HAPRRING: To conclude

everything, roughly?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SUP RUNCIE: Yes, that's the update I received a couple weeks ago.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: What additional, in light of the fact that you were essentially

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800 relying on the substantive work and investigation of this commission, and light of the fact that this commission produced a substantive report in January of this year, what additional investigative work would have had to have occurred given the fact that you were relying on our investigation to draw conclusions, or render conclusions about practice, policy, or procedure violations for personnel at Stoneman Douglas?

2.2

2.3

2.4

SUP RUNCIE: Well, it's not totally everything that's here, so they, the investigators are doing their own additional review of all the documentation and materials, the testimony. They are also interviewing those individuals as well. So that's -- that's the work that's going on. I know they've been in regular communication with the investigators from FDLE, and, and this commission as well, so that's, that's been ongoing since they've been, since they've come on board.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: And while understanding that due process is important, it's important to all of us here, do you understand the perception, especially by the

parents, and the spouses, and the family members of the victims, that there's been some feet dragging regarding a resolution of the internal administrative issues at Stoneman Douglas? Can you understand that perception?

SUP RUNCIE: I absolutely understand it, but I can't just deal with perception, I've got to deal with facts, and the reality of how we need to operate and conduct these investigations so that they're not compromised, so that the outcomes that we get out of them are ones that we can stand by.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: And I --

CHAIR: Commissioner, could you just make this the last one, because we'll come back to you, because we have other commissioners that want to ask him questions.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Yeah, I'll close -I'll close with this. And I -- I mentioned
this last time you were here, and again we
appreciate your, you standing up here, but in,
in my conversations with the family members,
the parents, the spouses of the victims, and
still today, I will articulate my feeling that
there is still a lack of sense of urgency,

2.2

2.3

especially in light of everything that has occurred in this County, in terms of the accountability for the people that were there that day, as well as the overall issues related to addressing school security with the School District schools, as well as with the charters. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR: Commissioner Petty.

COMM PETTY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Runcie, thank you for being here today, and your willingness to take our questions. Just coming back to the charter school question for just a moment, you mentioned you don't believe you have authority to revoke a charter if they don't have a long term sustainable plan. Was that guidance given by the School District legal counsel, or is that what you believe?

SUP RUNCIE: It's a combination of both.

So what I will tell you is that we can take action on a charter school for non-compliance.

Non- compliance means that they don't have a safe school officer on the campus. Whether they have a long term sustainable plan for that, I can't say you're out of compliance when I can show up at your doorstep and there's a

2.2

2.3

2.4

safe school officer there. So I can only take action when you're out of compliance with, with the, with statute, and not having a long term plan is not a, an item in statute, or cause to be able to shut them down, because they're technically in compliance if they have, if they have a safe school officer on their site.

COMM PETTY: I think one of the lessons coming out of February 14, 2018 should be that as the leader of Broward County schools, and I, and I include you in, as the leader of the charter schools. I understand they operate under separate charter, and they have a board of directors, but ultimately they, they get their charter through Broward County Public Schools, and you as the superintendent, I would ask you to rethink that position.

I think as, if we've learned anything from February 14th it's that we should err on the side of caution, and I think you sending a strong message -- and I think it would just take one, just revoking the charter from, from one school --

SUP RUNCIE: We have one coming. We have one coming.

2.2

2.4

strong message. I appreciate the updates to policy, and raising the level of threat assessment to a district policy level, I think those are good steps. I remain concerned -you mentioned that law enforcement retains the right to make the ultimate decisions as to whether or not the criminal justice system will be invoked, but I want to point out that's only if law enforcement becomes aware of an incident, and I still believe there are, there are too many incidents in our schools, and I'll, and I'll come to some questions in a moment, too many incidents in our schools where law enforcement is not made aware of, of those threats, and, and therefore are not part of the

I want to continue a question that Commission Harpring was, was asking about. This goes to the letter from Sheriff Tony. In your testimony a few moments ago you stated that you did not have specific information, and you can only act on specific information, on instances where law enforcement was asked to participate in a threat assessment after the

800-726-7007

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

fact. Did -- did I understand your testimony
correctly?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SUP RUNCIE: What I asked for was, you know, detailed information on the situations that were described to me, which is I think where law enforcement was not engaged in a threat assessment process and they found out after the fact, and that, that's not acceptable, so I just want those specific cases so we can follow up on them, just as we did in the four cases that I mentioned to you today.

COMM PETTY: I think that's a fair ask.

So I re-read the letter from Sheriff Tony to

you, and he indicated in there -- well, I guess

my first question was did you call Sheriff Tony

and ask him for specific instances?

SUP RUNCIE: Yeah, we actually had a meeting on the 29th, which I mentioned.

COMM PETTY: Was he unable to produce this --

SUP RUNCIE: I'm sorry it was the 24th.
I'm sorry.

COMM PETTY: Was he unable to provide specific instances?

SUP RUNCIE: They didn't have the specific

instances there at that time, so I asked for follow up, and I would, I would address it.

And specifically, you know, we even wanted to know what specific schools so we could have conversations with those school leaders to make it very clear that that's not acceptable at all.

2.2

COMM PETTY: Understood, and I agree. And I appreciate your sentiments. In the letter, though, it indicates that, and I remember testimony from, Mr. Gohl, who is here today, he raised the two specific instances that he was aware of, so my question is, your staff was aware of the instances, did you speak to Mr. Gohl about these? Apparently you staff had specific information.

SUP RUNCIE: Let -- let me -- let me have Mr. Gohl speak to that, since you referenced.

MR. GOHL: Yeah, hello. I don't think the mic is on.

COMM PETTY: I think they're turning it on.

MR. GOHL: Thank you, Mr. Petty for the question. Good morning, my name is Dan Gohl.

I am the Chief Academic Officer. I testified

here in June. The Sheriff's letter specifically begins with reference to my testimony to this commission.

COMM PETTY: Right.

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. GOHL: I want to talk, and repeat what you heard from BSO yesterday, which reinforced exactly what I testified to this commission on. In May of 2019 in communication, actually as a result of a meeting that Mr. Katz had arranged with law enforcement, a topic of discussion was compliance with threat assessments. General assertions were made at that time that again not all of us are involved, it's not timely, this, this general speculative ghost of non-compliance.

I followed up with Captain DeMarco and said please give us, he gave me his number, we talked later. He provided me with two instances at my request. I have subsequently followed up as a result of yesterday's testimony, and have been informed that BSO has never developed a specific list. But that's not to say that the instances that they're referring to may not be happening. The Superintendent made very clear today that we

can't chase a general anecdote, or a sense of delayed involvement, or non-involvement.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

We stand ready. Give us any specific incident as soon as it happens, and we will move on it.

COMM PETTY: Okay. I think that's fair. I'm just trying to find out why that didn't happen.

MR. GOHL: We -- I heard at the meeting that there was a concern. I followed up. I was given two specifics. That was in May. I testified before you in June, which is why it was so fresh on my mind. I believe that my testimony at this commission caused concern that resulted in the letter, but again, we need the specifics. Those kinds of conversations directly enforce the design of the new digitalized centralized system which time stamps when law enforcement is involved should the SRO not be present.

Superintendent has already testified on the guardian complication, because they are not law enforcement, and cannot do threat assessments, we must reach out to the local jurisdiction. That's true throughout the

state. We're going to document exactly when and how, and to whom that outreach occurred, so that the clock starts ticking. To what Commissioner Harpring raised earlier, we can't have a long term gap, we've got to ask for law enforcement involvement immediately, and hopefully receive it, if they're not already on campus.

COMM PETTY: Perfectly reasonable, and I understand. I'm just trying to figure out, it was May that this was raised, you testified in June, I'm just trying to figure out, I'm trying get to a sense of urgency. And -- and, Superintendent, I know you don't have specifics, but can you, can you speak to what you're doing to get the specifics, and get to the bottom of this, because two law enforcement officers were asked to sign a document that they did not participate in. I don't have to remind any of you that I think that's not only, not only does it not honor the spirit of the law, it in fact is breaking the law. Are we taking it seriously?

SUP RUNCIE: Of course we're taking it seriously. We've -- before we start a school

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

year we sat down with every principal and administrator, that is part of the training, and the responsibilities that we have, and we've made it clear how threat assessments are to be conducted. Our administrators have had to go through three hours' worth of training on this in addition to that, but it's constantly reinforced. We have also made it clear that we are auditing the, this process around threat assessments, and we're doing that on an annual basis as well, so yes.

And if anyone identifies any deviation from that let us know, we'll take action. We have done that. I've cited four cases where we've done disciplinary action on it. You find me another one, we'll take disciplinary action on that as well.

COMM PETTY: Well, speaking of -- speaking of that, there was an audit conducted in December 2018 of the threat assessments, and in just the high risk category it was noted that over ninety percent of those sampled as being medium to high risk had errors or exceptions. So ninety percent are exceptions.

SUP RUNCIE: That is correct, and I asked

2.2

2.3

2.4

for that audit to be done because we are taking threat assessments seriously. So I hired an outside firm -- I didn't ask our own staff to do it, I hired an outside auditor, RSM, to come in, review our entire threat assessments, look at the data, and give us feedback on the quality of that. That -- those findings helped us to put the type of controls in that we have in an automated system so we're able to minimize and eliminate those type of, of errors, and hold folks accountable around that.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM PETTY: So in December we were at ninety percent. Where do you think we are today?

SUP RUNCIE: Ninety percent --

COMM PETTY: Ninety percent exceptions.

SUP RUNCIE: We -- we just -- we just started the school year today, but I will tell you I expect a very different number than that. We'll go and do a, an audit sometime later in this year to see how those numbers, and how that's changed. And I will tell you it's going to be significantly different from that.

COMM PETTY: On the topic of disciplines and threats that are present in our school,

800-726-7007

again on the topic of specific information you need to act upon, I read a recent BTU survey which indicated dozens of specific instances.

I did a quick search a few minutes ago and found dozens of specific instances on Pages 19, 20, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27 and 28. They include a student at my school poisoned a teacher's water with hand sanitizer, what the school indicated. An altercation escalated between a teacher and student, with two of them pulling hair, hitting each other, and choking each other to the point that there were bruises around each of their necks. Again school was noted, very specific instance.

2.2

Have you -- let me go on. The BTU survey also indicates 76.2% of teachers believe behavior is more of a problem than it used to be. Over 50% of our teachers fear for their personal safety. 71.1% do not believe discipline is fairly enforced in our schools. I'm assuming you're aware of the results of this, of this survey.

CHAIR: So I think -- just a second -- just for clarification, Mr. Petty, just for everybody out there, is, is that the BTU is the

Broward Teacher Union survey that was recently conducted. I just want to make sure, because not everybody is familiar with that acronym. The Broward Teacher Union survey, and it was recently done by the union, and we provided a copy of that to all commission members as read ahead material before the meeting. So that's what you're referring to. I just want the record to reflect the document. So go ahead, I'm sorry, I just wanted to clarify that.

COMM PETTY: Thank you. Yeah, just would love to get a reaction. Are you aware of these specific instances cited in the survey, and has there been any follow up done to understand the veracity of, of these allegations, and what's being done to address them?

SUP RUNCIE: Yes, so I'm aware of the survey. I've talked to the, the BTU on this, and, one, I've committed to following up on all the specific cases, where we can certainly get some more detailed information. Number two is, I've agreed to put together a working task force on student behavior, classroom management, and teacher safety, in collaboration with the teacher's union, and

2.2

other stakeholders, to review this issue. And, you know, we'll find out what we need to do to, to improve in this area. But we -- we take those things very seriously.

I can't comment on, you know, the quality of the survey. It doesn't necessarily match, you know, my sense, and other surveys that we've seen, but I think when we see something like that we absolutely need to take that seriously, where there's things that we can learn to improve and change the culture in our system, and maybe inform policies and procedures that we need to take in the district, then absolutely there's, there's a lot to be gained from that.

COMM PETTY: I mean it's, it's a look at the ground level, to really understand kind of what's going on in our classrooms. I think it's --

SUP RUNCIE: Yeah, I -- I talk to teachers all the time. They got my cell phone number. They send me emails. I mean, they call me when the air condition is not working. So I -- I've -- and I actually call teachers around the system, including union stewards, and others,

2.2

305-376-8800

after I received that survey, and there may be, you may have -- I'm not saying you may not have an incident out there, you may not have some, those situations. Is it some widespread systemic thing; I have not had a sense that it is, but that's besides the point.

2.2

2.3

2.4

We need to sit down -- I think there's value in going over these valid concerns that have been raised, and we'll go through it.

We'll identify some specific cases, and we'll figure how to move forward and, and make adjustments in what we're doing in the district.

COMM PETTY: I think when, when an overwhelming majority, this is 72.6% of teachers believe behavior is becoming more of a problem, I think, I think that's an indicator, and over half of them fear for their personal safety.

On -- on threat assessment, who on your staff is overseeing threat assessments, and that they're done accurately?

SUP RUNCIE: Go ahead, Mr. Gohl.

MR. GOHL: That role falls under the Office of Academics, falls under the Division

800-726-7007

of Exceptional Student Education, and then 1 psychological services, but it reports to me. 3 COMM PETTY: It's under you. MR. GOHL: Yes. 4 5 COMM PETTY: Okay. And, Mr. Runcie, have you attended any threat assessment trainings? 6 Since the audit report have you personally attended? 8 9 MR. GOHL: I've scheduled the 10 Superintendent to attend in the coming weeks. 11 I was working with his calendar. He put it on 12 me as soon as it was approved --13 SUP RUNCIE: So -- so the new training 14 that we've, we've started, you know, this 15 summer, yes, I'm scheduled for that. 16 COMM PETTY: Okay. I think, you know, 17 organizations, this has been my experience, 18 organizations respond to what their leaders are 19 spending time on, what they think is important, 20 and I think your participation --21 SUP RUNCIE: Right, which is why --2.2 COMM PETTY: -- in these activities is 23 important to send the right message. 24 SUP RUNCIE: Absolutely. Absolutely,

2.5

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

which is why I'm participating in the training.

1 COMM PETTY: I want to -- I want to finish my questions, Mr. Chair, with a, with a note. 3 Some of the improvements that the School District had indicated were in process, or had 5 been made, it was a letter that, that you 6 wrote, Superintendent Runcie, it says; the School Board has requested our municipal and county law enforcement to increase their 8 presence at our schools, required each school to review its school safety plan, this review 10 11 is in addition to the annual review that 12 schools are already required to perform, 13 initiated a survey of each school site to 14 determine the needs and costs to provide 15 further hardening, retrofitting windows, doors, 16 installing different locks, assigned our 17 limited core of school district police officers 18 to maintain an increased presence at schools 19 that do not have full time dedicated SROs, 20 instructed all of our schools to engage in mock 21 emergency drills and practice lockdowns, 2.2 institute additional training for our school 2.3 security specialists and campus, campus security monitors. 2.4

Do you remember writing this,

800-726-7007

Superintendent?

2.2

2.5

SUP RUNCIE: I don't remember, remember any, the exact date of the memo, but I think I would stand by what you just stated.

COMM PETTY: This is a letter that you wrote to Senator Sobel in 2013 indicating that, indicating that the School Board, and you specifically would, were taking steps and measures to improve the school safety and security of Broward County schools back in 2013. Many of these items read like the list of improvements that have been discussed post February 14, 2018. I'm wondering if you care to comment as to why these weren't addressed in 2013, shortly after the Sandy Hook tragedy.

SUP RUNCIE: Well, let -- let me put the total Sandy Hook piece in perspective for you. So since Sandy Hook this district has invested well in excess of \$100 million, more than \$100 million above and beyond the safe school allocation that we received from the state. So this Board has made significant investments above anything else, and we, and we spent that out of, you know, our general fund.

Since Sandy Hook there was no increase at

800-726-7007

all in the state allocation for school safety. We made an increase, and we've been spending as we can to add different components to ensure that we increase school resource officers wherever possible, invest in projects like single point of entry. Prior to the tragedy we had allocated about \$5 million to upgrade our entire campus security system in the district, so we've been making progress, and we've been making investments.

I think since, since the tragedy we've, we've stepped that up, and we've allocated even more. The state's now started allocating more money for school hardening, for school resource officers, guardians, and mental health, funds that were not available to us and other districts previously, so we're, we're making investments, and getting better at putting those things in place.

COMM PETTY: Many of the items on this list, including retrofitting windows, doors, and installing different locks, practicing mock emergency drills, and instituting additional training, would have made a difference on February 14th at Marjory Stoneman Douglas.

2.2

2.3

2.4

SUP RUNCIE: We -- well, we --

COMM PETTY: There was five years from the time you wrote this letter to Senator Sobel to implement those things, and they weren't done. Retrofitting windows, doors, and installing different locks would have made a, would have made a huge difference at Marjory Stoneman Douglas. Can you -- I'm trying to understand why, Mr. Runcie, you would write a letter indicating you were doing all of these things, or had done all of these things, when they clearly hadn't been done, and, and you didn't have a plan to actually implement them.

SUP RUNCIE: I don't think that's a fair characterization of what we've -- we have been doing drills, emergency code drills in the district since I've been here. We may not have codified that in a policy, but we have been doing that. We have worked with law enforcement agencies to conduct and develop a training program around active shooter, and we've been doing that throughout our schools. So we have been doing the work in this district, so I don't think it's a fair assessment to say that we've doing, we've just

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

been sitting on our, on our hands in, in this district.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM PETTY: Well, I understand you don't, you don't believe it's a fair characterization, but we had five years to get these things done, and we either took our eye off the ball or we never intended to do them, and I can't figure out which it was. Thank you.

CHAIR: Commissioner Bartlett, and then
Senator Book. But -- but before Commissioner
Bartlett asks his question I got to ask this
question. You said that drills are being done,
but, you know, the facts are -- and -- and
who's responsible for the fact that in the one
year preceding February 14th that there was no
drill, not a single drill done at Stoneman
Douglas, not a single training of the students,
and the only staff training that was done by Al
Butler was on January 17th during a one hour
and fifteen minute code training, of which only
a segment was on code red?

So who is going to be -- who is -- if your position is that you guys were doing it right, and you were doing drills, the facts don't bear that out. That question has got to be asked,

Superintendent. Who is responsible for the fact that no drills had been on NTNs?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

SUP RUNCIE: The administration at our schools are responsible for conducting drills, and participating in training.

CHAIR: Well, I hope that the internal review that you have underway now --

SUP RUNCIE: Yes, that is part of the review.

CHAIR: -- is going to address that issue, and somebody, somebody is going to be, if that's the case, and it's the district policy, and it's your edict that these have been done, they simply weren't being done, and if that's the case somebody needs to be held responsible for that. Commissioner Bartlett, go ahead.

COMM PETTY: Before -- before you go
there, and it's important to note that with,
with a five year warning, after Sandy Hook
these issues not only weren't being done, they
weren't in policy.

CHAIR: Well, we know that, there was no policy, written policy.

COMM PETTY: And -- and while I believe there are those that bear responsibility to

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

MSD, Superintendent, it was in fact your responsibility to implement and institute the policies at the district level, and that didn't happen.

CHAIR: Commissioner Bartlett, go ahead.

ASA BARTLETT: Superintendent Runcie, I'd kind of like to change speeds here a little bit, and move on back to the issue that's facing us here today that we discussed prior to your testifying concerning the charter schools not being in compliance. Our Chairman indicated as of last night one of the schools had a contract that wasn't even signed, that wasn't binding.

Now, Sheriff Tony told us yesterday that he was not going to let these kids be endangered, regardless of their compliance or not they were going to provide guards there for them during the school day. If you're saying just because there's an SRO on there now that they're in compliance that is not accurate based on the facts that are presented here to us today.

The idea that a contract is signed for two weeks is absurd, it's just a matter of

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

305-376-8800

semantics, and they're playing games with you. I think we should encourage our law enforcement not to sign any contracts with the schools for any period that's shorter than the school year, or at a least a significant amount of more time than we have before us here today on some of

these schools.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

You said twice today in your presentation that if they weren't in compliance the only authority you had was to convene the School Board and revoke their charters, and it's time to take action. I guarantee you if you convened your School Board and revoked somebody's charter everybody else is going to come to attention real fast, and they're going to be compliant. Unfortunately this body does not have the authority to act in that manner. We can't do anything. We can criticize, we can do reports, make recommendations, but we have no authority to act against these people.

We're telling you they're not in compliance. It's fairly easy to see that. They're playing games with you. You talk about having sent emails, correspondence to them over all this period of time since 7030 came into

800-726-7007

law, and they still have not complied, here we are into the school year. If you had an employee that you told to do something, and every day that person came to work and didn't do it, how many emails would you do? How many — how many correspondence would you send with that person before you finally took action?

2.2

2.5

We're just asking that you, you do something about it. And this -- we keep shuffling back and forth. We're going to leave here today --

SUP RUNCIE: We're -- we're -- we're not shuffling. We --

ASA BARTLETT: The pressure is going to be off today after we leave, we're done for the day, and we're going to have a report coming now in the future that we're going to have to go to, and those people are going to just sit back and go, whew, we made it so far now. And we -- we all look stupid because we're not taking action, and those kids are danger.

Sheriff Tony said he's there because he's concerned for their safety, and I understand that, and I commend him for that, but it's your job as the Superintendent of Schools, with the

School Board, to take action and do something.

You have made significant improvements since we first talked last year, and I think that's to be, to your credit, however this still stands out there. And sixty five other counties seems to have gotten it straight, and I don't understand why in the home base of what happened, and why we're here, they're not in compliance, and, and we're still dealing with this.

SUP RUNCIE: A couple things. One, as I indicated before we, we are taking action.

There was a charter, we know yesterday that didn't have an officer there. We're -- we're moving to close, and recommend that that charter be shut down.

ASA BARTLETT: Why can't we -- why can't you do that now, take action now. I mean to say you're moving forward is great, you're playing semantics, but you're not really doing anything. Do it now, as quick as possible. Do an emergency of them, get the School Board in as soon as possible, and do something, and you'll get results.

SUP RUNCIE: I got to operate based on

2.2

2.3

2.5

policy, direction I get from the School Board.

The School Board meets in three, four days.

That will be an item on their agenda. Again,
and we've notified the charters. If it's -- if
it's the sense of this body that, and the

Florida Department of Education's
interpretation that if a charter has a safe
school officer on site, but they don't have any
type of sustainable long term plan, that we
should recommend that they be shut down, well,
we'll add a bunch of others to the list.

2.2

2.3

2.4

ASA BARTLETT: Well, but you -- Sheriff
Tony said regardless of their contract he's
going to be there until they get it
straightened out, so that's probably --

SUP RUNCIE: Right, so -- so if they're going to put a plan together to straighten it out we ought to give them the opportunity to go and do that, but --

ASA BARTLETT: What -- what happened in the last six, eight months since this thing -- I mean, that's what I'm saying. Push it forward. Disciplinary action against the teachers and the administrators of the school at Parkland, still pending, still pending all

this time. And I understand you've got procedures in place that you have to do, and all this kind of stuff, but it does seem a little odd that in eighteen months we can't resolve these things.

2.2

2.4

2.5

SUP RUNCIE: But -- but you're not out of compliance until school starts. School started yesterday. I can't shut you down when schools all right not even in session over the summer. It started yesterday. We're holding them to -- they're -- we're holding them to the, the law of what I need to do.

ASA BARTLETT: Of last what, Friday, was all, the twenty nine schools weren't compliant, the contracts, everybody is running around, and it's very obvious, at least to me, to see what they're doing, is they're just temporarily putting a band-aid on it, and as soon as the, all the smoke clears, they're going to go back to business as usual, and we've accomplished nothing.

SUP RUNCIE: They're not going to -they're not going to be allowed to go back to
business as usual. They need to have a
sustainable safe school officer on their site

800-726-7007

or they will be closed. 1 ASA BARTLETT: One other question. Is Mr. Morford still a principal in the school system? SUP RUNCIE: No, he's not. 4 5 ASA BARLETT: Is he still employed by --6 SUP RUNCIE: Yes, he is. CHAIR: Before you go ahead, Senator Book. What's his role, Superintendent, what's 8 9 Morford's role? I understand that he wasn't --10 he's an AP, not a principal, so let's make sure 11 -- and he's back being an AP this year, I 12 believe; is that correct? 13 SUP RUNCIE: Yeah, I believe, yeah, 14 pending the outcome of the investigation. 15 CHAIR: Right, so he was -- so let's be 16 clear with this. So he was the assistant 17 principal, not the principal, so but he -- your 18 question was the principal, and he was the 19 assistant principal at Stoneman Douglas, you 20 removed his temporarily --21 SUP RUNCIE: Yes. 2.2 CHAIR: -- and now this school year, while 23 this investigation is pending he is back in a 24 school as an assistant principal; is that

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

25

correct?

SUP RUNCIE: I believe that's the case,
yes.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: Yeah, so that -- I think that's the core of your question. So Senator Book, and then Chief Lystad.

SEN BOOK: Thank you so much, Mr. Chair.

Thank you, Superintendent for being here today.

And I think that -- I'm glad that we're talking about specifics, and so I'm asking, you've, you've said at the beginning of your testimony that a letter has already gone out, that a charter is going to be revoked. What is the name of that charter school?

SUP RUNCIE: You know, given -- I'm just saying given the concerns around security of our schools I'll give it to you afterwards.

I'd rather not do that in a public forum.

SEN BOOK: Okay, thank you very much. And I think you had, you had said that you don't think it's a fair characterization about some of the comments that my fellow commissioner made, that you don't think that the problem of safety in classrooms is widespread, and so I'm going to refer back to the BTUs.

SUP RUNCIE: You're -- you're talking

about the BTU survey. 1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SEN BOOK: Yes, the BTU survey.

SUP RUNCIE: Yes.

SEN BOOK: Because I think that, you know, this, they have incredible data here, but most importantly words from teachers that they spent the time to write out, and so I want to talk through first of all the widespread issue. Flanagan, Margate, Pompano, Nova Middle, Oriole, Piper, Coconut Creek High, Plantation, Parkway Middle, I think it's a fair kind of look at, at the district, so to, to go to the widespread issue, but Commissioner Petty brought up pages, I want to bring up specific quotes. He did some of that, but if we turn to Page 26 A-36 -- you said several times today, and I appreciate that, we need names and specific information.

If you turn to A-36, we have a student, and this was Oriole Elementary, we have a student that was covered somehow, some way on the news several years ago, he constantly threatens students and staff several times a week, and he gets to stay in school and class. To my knowledge the threat assessment team has

never been called, per district protocol.

Now, you've had this, I'm sure that your folks have had it. What happened at Oriole Elementary to administration to address this issue?

SUP RUNCIE: I don't know the specifics of what's come out of that, but we are following up on those issues that we've identified in there. And we're working with -- we're going to be working with the teacher's union on it as well, as I indicated.

SEN BOOK: Thank you for that. I have another question, and it relates to something larger that we discussed yesterday. On Page 20 S-133.

A student stalked and threatened my life several times this year. The student was put through a threat assessment, suspended for three days without the Promise Program, and placed in the classroom next to me. I have to attend work every single day in fear that this child will fulfill his promise of killing me by shooting me in the back of my head. I have personally spent my own money in order to pursue a restraining order when the union

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

refused to file a grievance.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Now, I want to talk through (A) the fact that there are teachers that are fearful of their life in the district. Okay, that's number one. But number two, as it relates to the Promise, much has been talked about the Promise Program, we have talked a lot about it, and talked about it yesterday.

Knowing the things that we know today what would, what if anything would you do differently, because we've got chance after chance, after chance, I mean there are --

SUP RUNCIE: So -- so let me just -- this whole matter of chance after chance, no, so that's not the case. We've had those conversations here. We've heard those, and we've made significant changes in the policy. At this point in time it's only eligible for students 6- 12, and they're only eligible for three incidents in their entire academic career, that they could be eligible for that type of intervention, depending on the infraction.

Number two, just to be clear, felony criminal offenses are not items that are

305-376-8800

eligible for participation in that program. We continue to go around this. That's not what, what that program provides.

2.2

2.4

SEN BOOK: What would you say to some of the conversations yesterday, as it relates to ensuring that those kids are being tracked and monitored, that there is no real way to find out kind of where kids are within a system, that law enforcement doesn't have access, that we can't, we can't keep track, where are we?

SUP RUNCIE: Where we are is that we spent significant treasure and time working on an expedited schedule to put an automated system in so we could get away from this paper piece, so that information can flow to law enforcement, other agencies, so that we can have visibility and insight into it, and that that information is electronically pushed down to every teacher that interacts with a student. That's the process that we have in place this year.

SEN BOOK: Okay, I'm glad that there's a process in place. I also want to talk through again some of the things that you talked about as it related to meeting with principals and

800-726-7007

staff, to ensuring that we're creating a safe environment, and people know where to go, what to do. I think this goes to some of the things, that the laws have changed, but I think this is really important. It's Page 16 S-91.

A student wrote on the mirror in our bathroom, quote, I will kill you all. And I was told by administration that I was in the wrong in accusing a student. I was asked if I was a handwriting expert, and ridiculed in front of my class. The student confessed to me that he had done it, it was him. No referral, or discipline action was ever taken.

That was at Tamarac Elementary School.

What do you say about situations like this that occurred in -- how do we know that this isn't going to still happen?

SUP RUNCIE: That specific case we -again, we have to -- we're looking into the,
the details of that, and I will tell you that,
that my understanding is those type of threats
are now a felony offense, right, so that's how
it should be handled. So we will follow up and
see exactly what occurred there, and we'll take
action as necessary to ensure that it's

2.2

2.3

followed up on correctly. But we've got to identify the teacher and the students. We don't have those details there. It's -- it's going to take some time. We have to wait until people come back to school.

SEN BOOK: And I appreciate that. And I think that one of the things I want to just make clear is that you've had conversations with principals, administration, schools, and faculty --

SUP RUNCIE: Yes, correct.

SEN BOOK: -- that that is not something that's going to happen. And I only have two more. Thank you, Mr. Chair for your indulgence. On Page 18 S-112, again, and I'm going through these because I think that these teachers spent the time to write these responses, and I think it's important when we get emails into our office teachers talk about not being able to attend, and so I think this is coming from them, and it's important. This is from Plantation Elementary School, and it's a long, a long piece, but I want to go to a specific part of it.

The matrix needs to be taken into

2.2

2.3

considering, in consideration when considering discipline. Students are not, not fazed by the matrix, and it gets in the way of keeping people safe.

What do you say in an upcoming school year about the matrix, and real concerns about safety still in classrooms?

SUP RUNCIE: I think that's fair. The matrix is something that's developed by a team of teachers, parents, some other administrators, the teacher's union is involved in it. That's who develops the matrix in the district. The recommendation for changes to the matrix by those stakeholders, including teachers like that, are submitted to me and the School Board for consideration and adoption.

So the -- this process of, and this committee that we're putting there to go through those, should advise, and help inform any additional changes that may need to be made to the matrix.

SEN BOOK: And thank you for --

SUP RUNCIE: And -- and again, the input for that comes from teachers and, and parents, and the union. They -- they help drive the

2.2

design of that matrix, and the consequences for the, the infractions.

SEN BOOK: And -- and thank you for that, and I hope that we continue to look at that, in light of some of the things that teachers are talking about. And you shared that quote about, you know, mom being excited, and feeling peace in her heart that, that she can bring her kids to school, and I am so glad that that mom feels that way, but I've got to tell you I get calls and emails into my office that that is not the sentiment.

I shared yesterday, I have a, a little one in my life who said I'm afraid I'm going to get shot going to school. And that is a very real reality, and so I hope that as we continue on, and you talk about some of the things that we're talking about, in terms of ensuring that, that there's somebody there to keep our kids safe, that we're keeping kids safe in their classrooms, when we're considering these types of things that it, that there is a greater sense of urgency, because I agree that the lack of sense of urgency, and a, and an unwillingness, perhaps, to move within the

2.2

bureaucracy of the system, is a little bit frustrating to I know not just myself.

Yeah. SUP RUNCIE: There's -- there's another side to that as well that I hear from parents and students all the time, is that the, they're overwhelmed by the amount of security measures and practices that are in place. example we'll take doing these drills every month. It's causing significant issues. heard from at least more than one parent about how it's affecting their young child, and how they're having nightmares, yes, about getting shot, et cetera. It's because of some of these things that we're putting in, and how we continue to have these type of conversations.

So there's multiple facets of this, it's not -- and I'll leave it at that.

SEN BOOK: Well, no, I think, Mr. Chair, and thank you so much, you bring it up, we talked about it yesterday, and I think that there is some very real valid issues that we talked through, and people that are doing some incredible work to ensure that children aren't feeling that, that fear, that we're looking at the number of drills, and that they're done

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

with purpose, so I, we agree with that, and we understand that, and so thank you for that comment.

CHAIR: Just a couple things. One is, is that for everybody's benefit, and I just received it, and we'll get it out to everybody, is the Superintendent in response to the Broward Teacher's Union survey, is the Superintendent did prepare a response to that, I've got it now, and I'll send it out to everybody. So there is a written response from the Superintendent, so you can have that. The second is, is that we're pushing up now on the two hour mark the Superintendent has been here.

I have four commission members left that have asked to make statements, so please just keep that in mind, now five, that have that, keep that in mind, because we have a heavy agenda, and we need to get through this morning, and we've got to go into closed session here at just after lunch. So please keep that in mind as we go forward. Chief Lystad, go ahead.

CHF LYSTAD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Superintendent, I'm going to keep my remarks

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

brief, in consideration of the other members.

And I'm just going to stress threat assessment,
so I do have a couple questions, and a couple
remarks. As I understood it, Mr. Gohl, you're
the one that has a report, that the threat
assessments come through you through a
subordinate?

MR. GOHL: Correct.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHF LYSTAD: Is that a direct report subordinate, or is it a subordinate and one more time?

MR. GOHL: So the -- there is a direct, or psychological services who does training, the actual implementation of threat assessment that occurs at the schools, so that reports up to through principal structure. But the training, the module, the policies, all report up through me to an executive director for exceptional student education, so there is one layer between me, the direct oversight of the expectations, and myself.

CHF LYSTAD: But I think there's another piece that, Mr. Gohl, we need to stress, and that's the protocols around oversight and accountability of threat assessments, and how

they're not elevated to the principal, and then further reviewed by the school supervisor, so

MR. GOHL: That is correct. The principal supervisor oversees the principal implementation, and the principal as the site commander, to use that kind of language, oversees the teams. And the principal must, and this was true, in post assessment, must sign on off on every threat assessment whether or not they were the administrative designee on it.

CHF LYSTAD: Okay. And after it came to light that there were potential cases out there, you waited for a Broward Sheriff's Office to report those issues where threat assessments didn't have a law enforcement partner. Was there anything else done? Did you go and ask the schools, hey, are you aware of any of these issues out there?

MR. GOHL: So we at the Superintendent's request began this auditing process with an external firm prior to the last, beginning of last school year. And Commissioner Petty has already referenced the number of incidents.

2.2

2.4

The vast majority of those were determined not to change the outcome. Somebody did not check a box, right? Somebody did not do this. But we counted every violation as something which was unacceptable, so --

CHF LYSTAD: Okay, that's -- that's not my question. My question is this. During your testimony the last time you said were aware, that you had been made aware by Broward Sheriffs that there were two incidents out there where a law enforcement officer was asked to sign after. My question is, is after that, waiting for Broward Sheriffs to report those incidents, did you do anything proactive, to reach out to your schools and ask, hey, I'm hearing there is an issue where a law enforcement wasn't there; did you go and ask that information or not?

MR. GOHL: Yes. So what we do is we -from my department coordinated with what our
principal supervisors on, because every
principal needs to report up to their
supervisor, we called them cadre directors, on
every threat assessment. Those cadre directors
review them for completion, including the

2.2

2.3

2.4

presence of law enforcement signature. So we

-- we -- we are manually auditing. This was

why it was so important to move away from

paper, move away from decentralization. Now we

can do the supervision, the monitoring, the

auditing, from any point in the district on all

threat assessments throughout it.

CHF LYSTAD: Okay, so then based on that there would seem to be a disconnect. Broward says there were two incidents that occurred, and you polled principals, and said there's no such incidents that occurred, I'm not sure. And I don't ask -- I'm not going to ask you to answer that because I want to, I want to save time for the other commissioners, but I do see there's a disconnect. And if the disconnect was that we, and once we learn, or suspect a problem, we didn't go and ask our principals or, or our staff, and say, hey, there's a problem out there, I kind of equate in the law enforcement field to when someone says, hey, look, we don't have a specific location but we think there's cars being broken over here, I would be negligent if I didn't send someone over there to go check and see.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

And so when you hear about an incident I would hope that you would check with your principals, when you hear from an outside party, and try and determine whether or not there's a true incident or not.

With that said I want to focus on the threat assessments again, and I want to stress that, that in my opinion, hopefully some of the other commissioners share that, this is probably the most important area that I think you should concentrate efforts on where you can make a difference. In the incident that happened at Marjory Stoneman Douglas there was leakage, there was information that got there, it wasn't properly assessed in my opinion.

I'm pleased that you're going to go to the threat assessment training. I think that you'll, you'll find that valuable, and I would ask that you elevate the priority as to how you deal with threat assessments. I think this is extremely important with the right people. I heard mention about not having them in guardian schools. I don't know how big your investigative unit is with BSO. I would strive to ask you to make sure you have consistency,

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 to a degree.

2.2

2.3

2.5

SUP RUNCIE: Yes, and so --

CHF LYSTAD: But not the point where it creates complacency. So you want to have the right people, the same team members for a certain period of time so they have familiarity with the schools, with the issues with the students, but not such to the existence that it becomes, oh, that's just Johnny, where we're just passing the buck, passing the kid.

And that's the end of my comments, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR: Commissioner Swearingen, go ahead.

COMM SWEARINGEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Superintendent Runcie, I want to talk about a couple of themes that, that the Chair specifically, and this, this body as whole has been addressing for the last eighteen months, and those are accountability and a sense of urgency. A sitting Sheriff was removed from office based on what were recognized as systemic issues. As Commissioner Petty brought up earlier we're aware now there's a 2013 letter that was sent by you to a senator addressing some of the things that, that led up

1 to the tragedy at Marjory Stoneman Douglas.

The Chair very early on, at one of our first meetings, started addressing issues that cost no money, that were very simple fixes, such as the code red policy and hard corners. He brought this up to you at, at your first appearance here, and I have don't have the dates, but at your next appearance many months later there was still no code red policy, there was still no hard corner in, in all the classrooms, and your testimony today says those policies were just put in place February of '19.

There were also issues with submission of FSSATs as required by law, by meeting of the schools in Broward School District. We now know there were issues with, this year with charter school compliance with officers on campus, lack of compliance with BTM protocols, to include law enforcement, and the BTU survey results, which appear pretty damning. So in light of all of that, a Broward Sheriff was removed from office, he was not afforded eighteen months to fix those issues, many of those issues were by folks well below him in

2.2

2.3

2.4

the food chain, or the chain of command, but it impacted his, his agency's response to MSD.

2.2

2.5

I would argue there were just as many failures in the school system that led to that. Why should you be held to a different standard than the Sheriff?

SUP RUNCIE: All I can do is respond to your points. First about the code red policy, regardless of whether there's a policy or not it was state law, and in August of 2018 we were conducting code red drills. We did over two thousand of them last year. The policy just codified with what we were already doing, so --

COMM SWEARINGEN: We now -- we now know, sir, that in the prior year there were no drills held at Marjory Stoneman Douglas, so I don't -- that's -- that's -- that's disingenuous to say that.

SUP RUNCIE: That's -- no -- no -- no, it's not. You asked what we were doing since the, the tragedy, and how did we respond to that. Well, we responded by requiring everybody to do code red drills, as was done throughout the entire district and the state, and in compliance with state law. So we were

doing that, notwithstanding whether there was an actual policy that's, that's developed.

2.2

2.5

You can have all the policies in the world that you want, but actually need to execute those, and practice those, and I advised the School Board that we were going to move forward and execute, and do our code red drills and training as we needed to do them, notwithstanding that we didn't have an active formal policy adopted in the district.

As far as where hard corners are concerned, you know, we can't just simplify, just run and do hard corners, because there are places that don't necessarily have an easy hard corner, right, they've got multiple doors to a classrooms, there's windows that are there, so we actually had to go through this in a fairly thoughtful way. So again, the assertion that, you know, we're just sitting there and doing nothing, I, and, and we don't have a policy so we're, we're not taking action, I don't think that's fair.

COMM SWEARINGEN: Chair, I think the facts speak for themselves. I'm not going to debate it, so I'm going to yield.

CHAIR: All right. Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHRF JUDD: Mr. Runcie, thank you for being here with us today. I want to start with the interim report from the grand jury, and I'm going to paraphrase through, through the report, which is two page. We have heard and seen evidence of non-compliance with the Marjory Stoneman Douglas School Safety Act. I'll step down. Whatever it takes to bring these school districts into full compliance should occur.

They go on to say that law enforcement and school district officials have sufficient time to bring their districts into compliance, and they haven't. They go on to talk about troubling evidence. They end up by saying the responsibility of securing our schools is not a matter to be passed from agency to agency, it's not a budget item to haggled over, and it's not an agenda issue to be whittled down by negotiation, and to minimum legal, legally sufficient actions.

What the charter schools did after the implementation of 7026 is they got their

2.2

2.3

lawyers on board, and they said, hey, we don't want to do this, so let's admit nothing, deny everything, and raise counter-claims. So they obfuscated for an entire school year, while the children were at risk, while their teachers were at risk, and then the legislature came back and said, well, let us clarify this, that you are included.

They had from -- if you give them the year, which I'm not because they lawyered the process while their children were at risk, but they still had from then until yesterday to have a program in place, contracts in place, and school safety officers or quardians on campus with sustainable contracts. What I'm asking you today is, based on the fact that some charters in your county haven't, and if there are others are in the same circumstance across the state this statement will go to them, will you commit to bring them to your School Board with a recommendation of canceling their charter because they have failed to comply with 7026, and subsequently 7030, and do not have a sustaining contract for some security on campus for this next year?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

SUP RUNCIE: So let me make sure I understand your question. You -- you're not referring to the fact that they have a interim solution now. You're saying if they don't actually have a long term plan for the year that we would bring them forward for closure; is that correct?

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

SHRF JUDD: Yes. And I'll underscore the fact, specifically the charters that have a contract that's not signed, contracts that have been executed within the last forty eight hours. That is absolutely totally ridiculous when they've had eighteen months. And even if they hid under the, the shirttail, or the skirt tail of their lawyers, it was made abundantly clear to them by the last legislative session, and the Governor's signature, and they had from then to now to get it done, and you still have those leaders of those charters that have not complied with the law, and they've got window dressing there.

Will you bring each of those charters before your School Board and say, look, here's the law, here's the modified law, we think that the leadership of these charters has failed the

students, failed the parents, and the School Board needs to have this brought before them, put on their doorstep, and let them vote the charter up or down?

SUP RUNCIE: Yeah, I -- so let -- let me

-- let me just say this. I -- I absolutely
agree with that position. The Commissioner
needs to agree that that's the case, because
that's not exactly what the law says compliance
is. As I said earlier today if the Department
of Education and the Commissioner agrees, we
will ask them today if they will support that
position, and if they will we will bring that
forward to the School Board.

SHRF JUDD: Mr. Runcie, you're -- you're pushing off your responsibility.

SUP RUNCIE: No, I'm not, because if we do that it's going to be -- the charters have the opportunity to appeal any recommendation we have to close them, and they would appeal to the State Department of Education, and the majority of time it's overruled, so I'm not going to go through an exercise just for show. I want to make sure that we can fully execute it, so I am going to ask the Commissioner if

2.2

2.3

2.4

they will support that action, and I agree with you, that we should take.

SHRF JUDD: I believe that as the Superintendent, and the School Board of Broward County, if you take the facts and circumstances that we're aware of, and that you are aware of, and you articulate those, and take them before the School Board, even if they appeal, which is great, it puts everyone on notice about how serious we are. And that's not just an -- that's not an exercise of show, that's an exercise of seriousness, and that's what I'm asking.

And certainly if the Commissioner or DOE wants to weigh in at this point, I'm not speaking for him, that's his business, but my point is let them appeal to the DOE, which I would encourage them to do, but the point is that it's clear to all of us on this commission that the best they've done is played games here in the last day or two before school started, even though the first law passed eighteen months ago, and 7030 passed with the last session. So our point is, I question their ability to run a charter system, and educate

2.2

2.3

2.4

children, and keep them safe, when this is the evidence we have before us, that they're scurrying around on the Friday before school starts next Wednesday going what do we do, what do we do.

And that needs to come to a head, and the School Board needs to have a complete report, and you all didn't get the information, you as a Superintendent didn't get the appropriate information, you were providing direction to them, so I want a commitment that you'll take a leadership role and bring them to be held accountable before the School Board, and if they want to appeal to DOE that's what DOE is for.

SUP RUNCIE: I'll take your comments and suggestions under advisement, and then we'll make a decision on that today.

SHRF JUDD: Right now that's a, no, I won't do it.

SUP RUNCIE: No, it's not. It's I'm going to figure out what the best approach is for us to take on it.

SHRF JUDD: So you have no problem with them being held accountable.

2.2

2.3

SUP RUNCIE: I'd like to hold them accountable, but we also have laws around how charter schools are managed and governed, and it's, it's done very differently here in Florida than some other places. So I'll leave it at that.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.5

SHRF JUDD: And had we been as concerned with the laws concerning 7026 and 7030 as those charter school laws we wouldn't be having this conversation today.

The -- the next question I have for you, the charter school that you're, that you are recommending that it, that their charter be revoked, is there a officer on that campus today?

SUP RUNCIE: I don't know about today. I know what the status was yesterday, which is why I'm moving them to be revoked.

SHRF JUDD: So yesterday there was not.

SUP RUNCIE: That's why we're moving to revoke their charter.

SHRF JUDD: Coaching point; I had one charter that took that same position. I listed their name publicly after sending two command staff, one from the city police and one from

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

agency, there to ask them to put a officer on the campus, and Damien Kelly from DOE graciously came all the way down, knocked on their door, and asked them to put a officer on their campus, and they played that lawyer game. I played the Sheriff game, the leadership game. I called out that school. I not only called out that school, I called the news media together, and you know what, magical things happened.

The very next morning after the standup that afternoon that charter school found a way to hire that police officer to be on that campus, and the children were safe. But until I took definitive action, despite them saying you're putting the children at risk -- no, I'm not, I'm making sure the children are safe.

So let me ask you again today, and maybe that charter school will by tomorrow, or maybe by an hour from now, and if they have no one there I bet I can make a phone call to Sheriff Tony and he will have someone there this afternoon, what's the name of the charter school?

SUP RUNCIE: Yeah, so my -- my

2.2

2.3

2.4

understanding is that charter has some contract with their local police department, however they did not have an officer there because it's a, it's a contract for detail, and you can't guarantee a hundred percent coverage with that. And I'll provide the committee with, with that list. My -- my opinion on that is, is different. I'm not assuming that every charter is going to respond the way the one that you dealt with. If they decide that they're still not going to do anything than, yeah, we have put the school, and children in that school at risk, so I can't predict what action they'll take, so I will, as I said before I'll provide the name to this body, and you can discuss it in your closed door.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

SHRF JUDD: I don't want to discuss it in closed door. I want to discuss it in open door. I want the name of the charter, and I believe the Colonel is here from the Sheriff's Office, and I just bet that he'll make sure that there's a deputy on that campus.

SUP RUNCIE: All right, let's --

CHAIR: So I think the Superintendent said he's not going to give us that information,

1 Sheriff.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SHRF JUDD: Is it public record? I want to go on record asking for a copy of your public records concerning that.

SUP RUNCIE: I'm going to give you the name as soon as I complete this session here with you.

SHRF JUDD: When do you plan to bring this before the School Board, this week?

SUP RUNCIE: The School Board meets on Tuesday.

SHRF JUDD: This next Tuesday.

SUP RUNCIE: The charter school is going to be notified, it's today or tomorrow, that an item will be posted on the agenda for the School Board to consider at its next meeting.

SHRF JUDD: Be sure, Mr. Runcie, when you present that to me, since you've not presented it publicly, I will have a conversation with Sheriff Tony, and ensure the safety and security of those children, and I will call out that you're saying that that charter school will be brought before the School Board next week for a charter revocation, and you can watch what real leadership is.

800-726-7007

CHAIR: So -- Commissioner Dodd, and then Mr. Schachter, then we need to wrap this up and move on. And I say, this is part of where we are, and my understanding, okay, the school you're talking about is the one in Hallandale Beach, and -- no, is that not it, okay? So there's -- see, this is where the confusion is. And these things are literally all over the board. So if it's not that one then it's another one. Okay, Commissioner Dodd, go ahead.

COMM DODD: Yes, and I'll try to keep this short, as some of these comments have been shared about the School Board. But I'm really curious, how well informed is the Broward County School Board? I mean you work at the pleasure of the School Board, you're hired by the School Board, how well informed is the School Board about this issue with the charter schools, about behavioral threat assessments, I mean has, has the charter school issue that we're dealing with now been discussed at all before the School Board in a public meeting, or a workshop meeting?

SUP RUNCIE: Yes, the -- the issue with

2.

2.2

2.3

charters, the School Board members are aware of it. This particular situation here, no, they have not. They will be discussing that on Tuesday. But the issue around charter schools, and the need for them to be compliant, and the challenges they're having, yes, the School Board members are aware of that.

COMM DODD: But it is the elected School Board members that have the authority to grant, deny, or revoke a charter.

SUP RUNCIE: Correct.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

COMM DODD: And it is your job as the Superintendent to bring recommendations to the Board, as far as those charters; is that correct?

SUP RUNCIE: Right. And that's what I'll -- that's what I will do.

COMM DODD: Okay. Has there been discussions on, before the Board on behavioral threat assessments, has that been a topic of discussion?

SUP RUNCIE: Yes, many discussions, including the Board drafting a policy governing how these threat assessments are conducted, and what the accountability is around it.

COMM DODD: Okay. You've mentioned that you have twelve hundred SROs, guardians, and school security personnel, that was in your opening statement, and then you said you had two hundred and forty, two hundred and one SROs, and I think forty seven guardians, so that's about two hundred and forty eight total, but you have twelve hundred, so these school security personnel, which is in the neighborhood of nine hundred, nine hundred and fifty two, they're unarmed security personnel; is that correct?

SUP RUNCIE: Yes. Yes, that's correct.

There -- there are a significant number of folks that we're hiring, campus monitors and security specialists, which perform a different layer of security on, on campuses, that we are hiring, yes.

COMM DODD: And so are those monitors, how are they being trained and equipped differently today than they were prior to the tragedy at Stoneman Douglas?

SUP RUNCIE: I'll ask Mr. Katz, who is overseeing that training, to provide some specific details on the elements of that.

800-726-7007

2.2

1 MR. KATZ: Good morning.

halfway through the year.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM DODD: Good morning.

MR. KATZ: Good morning. My name is Brian Katz. I'm the District's Chief Safety,
Security, and Emergency Preparedness Officer.
So historically the campus monitors had received what was the equivalent of eight hours of training per school year built into their contracts, four in the early part of the year, four in the later part of the year. Our security specialists received about eight hours of training at the beginning of the year, and about eight hours of training, you know, about

The School Board approved us adding three full days onto their calendar going into this new school year, so for this year before any of our campus monitors and security specialists showed up to school they received two full days of training. The training focused on intervention techniques, so that now our campus monitors can help to break up fights within schools, which is something that the administrators have been asking for some time, that the campus monitors have been asking for

800-726-7007

for some time, so we changed, we updated their job description to be able to allow them to do that, so that they could be more effective within the schools.

So that training is pretty much a full day of one of those two days, which focuses on verbal de-escalation, and also physical de-escalation of those types of incidents. And then the other points of training that we focused on for this year were pre-attack indicators, the threat process, so that they are able to spot things, and know what they need to do with it. We talked to them about the coming standard operating procedures that we're rolling out for this year, that we've been working on with various internal and external stakeholders, including Safe Havens International, who has been advising us on those issues.

And then really we -- and we focused on professionalism, and the interaction with, with school personnel, and students as well. And -- and lastly we focused on -- there's on more topic. Those are -- those are the main topics that we covered this time around. Oh, I'm

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

sorry, we covered diversity training, and we also covered working with our ESE students, and particularly with autistic children, recognizing the, the signs of, of having to interact with students differently.

So those were significant trainings that were given going into the school year to try to have a, a major impact on --

COMM DODD: Now, I know you said you've taken a centralized approach, where they answer to you, I take it; is that correct?

MR. KATZ: Going into the school year --

COMM DODD: So I would -- I would pose this question to the School Board. If you were to take five percent of those people that you already have in positions of monitors, and make those, make those positions quardians, you would double your number of guardians. could put another person on a school campus as an immediate backup to a school resource officer in a quardian position.

And in my district what we've done this year to, at our schools to add another position as a quardian to our school resource officer, the response has been overwhelmingly positive,

800-726-7007

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

and I will tell you I think that is what you would find if you had that opportunity, and I wish the School Board would look at that closely. And that's five percent. If you did ten percent of nine hundred ninety you'd more than double.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

MR. KATZ: So one of the challenges that we experienced as it relates to having that be a collateral duty, the quardian program, I've had a lot of conversations with teachers, I've had a lot of conversations with students, about their feelings on campus as it relates to safety and security, once we have some portion of the population who the students are concerned may be armed, these are individuals who they're going to, who they trust, who they're willing to go to with their problems, or they're willing to raise concerns to, even when they're not willing to raise them with law enforcement, and one of our concerns is not wanting to change that dynamic between the, between the students and that personnel who are, who are unarmed.

The other element to it is, again, we want to make sure that at the end of the day, that

we're not introducing more guns into dangerous situations, into fights, into situations that they're dealing with that are just normal discipline situations. And again, we're carefully weighing that within the district, but our expectation, our qualifications for our guardians as it exits right now is that they have prior law enforcement experience, they have prior military experience, or they have prior licensing through the state, through D&G licensing as a, as a guard, where they have actually carried a weapon before. We are not taking individuals who don't meet that criteria at this time.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM DODD: But it could be an option to take to the School Board to see if the School Board would be willing to that. We're talking about five percent. But I'm -- that's just the numbers. Thank you.

CHAIR: As I said, they just don't like it. That's the problem. You don't understand, they don't like that option, and that's the problem, they don't like it, so that's why they're not doing it, so -- and -- but -- and that requirement here in Broward County is a

self- imposed requirement, that they have prior law enforcement, prior military, or prior DG license.

That's -- that's correct. MR KAT7:

CHATR: There is no other district in the state that has the guardian program that has imposed that requirement that I'm aware of, and that's where the problems, and the challenges in recruiting quardians is, is that you have significantly limited the pool by doing that. That's the School Board decision, it's not your decision, or the Superintendent's decision, that's the School Board's decision, but that -that's what -- and I had that discussion with them when I appeared before them in February, and so when they say they have trouble recruiting, well, if you limit the pool, and you narrow the pool, and you only have a pool that big, well, yeah, you're going to have more problems than anybody else.

There is no problem in other districts, mine, Polk, Pascoe, there's no problem in recruiting quardians. And we don't have a problem with it, and so that's just, you know, let's call it what it is, and you know, but it

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

is -- but that issue about not liking it isn't unique to Broward. There's a whole bunch of districts around the state that just don't like it, so they're just not going to do it, and, you know, that's what, that's their prerogative, so that's the way it is.

All right. Mr. Schachter, are you going to wrap this up for us, because we got to move on. You're the last one.

COMM SCHACHTER: Yes, sir.

Superintendent, you said, I just want to clarify, you knew about this problem with the charters. When would you say that, that was, because we heard testimony from the Sheriff that it was, you called him on Friday. Is that when you became aware of, of this problem?

SUP RUNCIE: So we -- we have been working with the charters since the bill was passed, 7030. We've had conversations starting back in May, presentations to them about the need for them to be compliant. The Commissioner also issued clarification on this a couple of times, so we've been working with them up to the August 1st deadline. And then -- yeah -- yeah. And so shortly after we got the list of where

800-726-7007

2.2

these folks were I reached out to that Sheriff to see what his position is.

So what we've been doing is directing the charters to go out, to contact their local law enforcement entities, and to get some type of agreement, get some type of coverage to be in compliance. And if that doesn't work, I asked the Sheriff would you also cover those schools, to the extent that the municipality didn't have the capacity, given what we heard from DOE about other sheriffs working in different jurisdictions, because the, the larger issue here is the fact that there's vacancies of about three hundred law enforcement positions in Broward County alone, and I hear that number could be in the thousands statewide, so that's a larger issue we're all dealing with.

So, yes, I contacted the Sheriff probably, I think maybe a little over a week ago. I forget the exact date.

COMM SCHACHTER: No, I'm -- the only point I'm trying to get at is you knew on Friday that they weren't going to be in compliance. I'm a little unclear if you're saying you also knew

2.2

SUP RUNCIE: Wait -- wait, this past Friday?

COMM SCHACHTER: Yeah.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

SUP RUNCIE: I think -- no, I think -- I think this Friday we confirmed that they would actually coverage, and that there would be somebody on campuses, and they would have a safe school officer. Based on what we saw on the first day of school there was one school that did not meet that requirement.

COMM SCHACHTER: Oh, because it was -- it was the testimony yesterday from the Sheriff that you, you, you telephoned him that they would not be in compliance, and he said, well, I'm not going to let that happen, I will put a safe school officer on their campus.

SUP RUNCIE: He -- he'll put an officer on their campus, to the extent that they were not able to secure --

COMM SCHACHTER: No, I understand that.

SUP RUNCIE: Right. And in fact his office reached out as well to some of the local entities that those charters resided in to see what their position is.

COMM SCHACHTER: Right, but then --

1 | SUP RUNCIE: So that -- that, yeah.

COMM SCHACHTER: They weren't going to be in compliance, and he saved the day, right?

SUP RUNCIE: I -- go ahead, Brian. I don't know if I'd characterize it that.

MR. KATZ: So what I would say is, you know, the position of the School District going into the school year was we understood the situation as it related to them potentially not being in compliance. I agree with what the Superintendent stated, which is we could not judge them as being out of compliance during the summer when they were not in session.

What we could judge is that they didn't have a plan in place for first day of school, and that the expectation was that any school that did not have coverage for first day of school, we were going to go, and move forward, and close those schools. When they -- when the state reached out to us on top of that, and said some districts have had the sheriffs step in in those cases and help with the support, and it was specifically until they had time to get someone through the guardian training, was what the expectation was.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

1

COMM SCHACHTER: My question is, obviously there's a lot of, you know, conversation about this issue, and we knew that there was, there was a problem, why didn't you tell the School Board about this problem on Tuesday when you had workshop and then a, and a School Board meeting, because the School Board had no idea that this was a problem? When I reached out to them they didn't even know you were coming to testify today, they didn't know that there was MSD Commission meetings.

SUP RUNCIE: That I -- that's hard to believe, because I've seen --

COMM SCHACHTER: I'm telling you.

SUP RUNCIE: -- communication going directly to School Board members, emails even from our office about this meeting, and advising School Board members, so I, that's not accurate.

COMM SCHACHTER: I've spoken to many
School Board members, and they did not know
there was a problem with the charter schools.

Moving on, it was noted in recent deposition by a former Marjory Stoneman Douglas security specialist, Mr. Greenleaf, that he was

asked by MSD administrators to conduct daily pat-downs, or frisk him, frisk the Parkland shooter while he was still a student on campus. Why was that the case? Why was he being frisked?

MR. KATZ: So, first of all, thank you, Commissioner, for the question. Secondly, we are aware through the media reports of the testimony that was taken as part of a deposition in that civil lawsuit. When we cross referenced it, and I'm skating right up against the edge that I established in my last testimony, that I do not want to talk about anything having to do with the shooter because of the ongoing prosecution, but since this was referenced in the media I will discuss up to that point, so excuse me if, I'm not trying to be coy.

In looking at the documentation on the monitoring plan it called for him to check in with school security on a daily basis. Part of that check in, by the testimony reported in the media from the deposition, apparently was a bag check. But the -- the monitoring plan that was called for called for the daily check in.

2.2

2.3

COMM SCHACHTER: Are you -- are you

testifying today that you did not know about

this prior to this testimony, to the

deposition?

MR. KATZ: I am talking about what a

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

MR. KATZ: I am talking about what a review of records reveals, that the recommendation for the monitoring plan for the student had a daily check in with school security.

COMM SCHACHTER: Why was that?

MR. KATZ: We -- this commission looked at the September 2016 threat assessment that was conducted on the individual. This daily check in was part of the follow-up of that process.

COMM SCHACHTER: How many other kids in Broward County are being frisked every day?

MR. KATZ: I do not have those numbers at this time.

COMM SCHACHTER: Okay. In light of the recent arrest of the Hollywood student who had a gun on campus yesterday at MacArthur High School, he said should I bring my pistol to school again, he was caught with ammo last year, this picture shows that he had a gun on campus at the time; why is it that you have

metal detectors at the entrances to the district offices in which you work but the children and teachers, and administrators, do not have metal detectors at the entrances to their schools in which they work?

SUP RUNCIE: We don't have metal detectors. We have a process on, I think School Board meeting days, that there are, on some days they actually do some wanding for individuals that come in, but as a general rule we don't actually have metal detectors at the district.

COMM SCHACHTER: What's your plan to prevent guns from entering into, onto campus, because it sounds like, you know, this, this individual was able to bring a gun with complete ease, and obviously --

SUP RUNCIE: Again, there's a multi-layered approach. There's not one piece of that. I think it's all of the various pieces that we continue to work on, everything from adhering to the protocols, improving communications, putting in, you know, single point of entry, improving our surveillance, ensuring that we have adequate security staff

2.2

2.3

2.4

on campuses, investing in mental health, upgrading our threat assessment systems so that there's greater accountability and oversight around that. I think it's a plethora of things that we put in place, it's not one specific thing that's going to help us.

And -- oh, and then finally, it is about the community getting engaged as well, and when they see actions, they see things that are of concern, they report them, as was done in this case.

COMM SCHACHTER: This -- this kid posted a picture online, so --

MR. GOHL: I'm sorry, may I -- so this -the case that your referring to is a former student, not current student, and that the incident in the video took place last year, it's not from this school year. I have received that confirmation from Hollywood Police Department.

COMM SCHACHTER: Okay. Okay. So he was able to get a gun on campus last year.

SUP RUNCIE: They were expelled.

MR. GOHL: Potentially, that's when the video was filmed. They are still looking into

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

it. Hollywood PD is looking into it. It was looked into as a social media threat, and that's why the arrest was made.

COMM SCHACHTER: You know, you talked about situational awareness when you're doing your trainings, but I, I have a lot of close connections at, at a lot of schools, and, you know, when I'm talking to teachers they're saying that you're just training for the February 14th training, just to go into the, the safest corner. How do you respond to that?

SUP RUNCIE: I -- I respond to say that they're absolutely correct. I think what happened, and the response that we took, as I believe every district in this state, was to do these code drills on a regular frequency, as frequent as we do fire alarm drills. We absolutely agree that you, you can't just continue to do the same type of drill, you need to actually do drills in anticipation of different scenarios, different circumstances, and that's the training that we're actually working to put together and introduce into the district.

So that's part of the work that Mr. Katz

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

is doing. We've hired, and retained our security risk consultant Safe Havens to actually help us develop those modules and the training program.

COMM SCHACHTER: At Marjory Stoneman Douglas they're showing the same video they've been showing for five years in the training.

Do you feel -- you know, when I, when I talk to the teachers the common complaint I get is that they're involved, they're not involved in the -- we've talked about law enforcement being at the threat assessment meetings, but I haven't been able to find one teacher that's actually been at a threat assessment meeting, and that is involved in the threat assessment process.

We know that in 2016 when, when your, the new assistant principal at some other school, not Marjory Stoneman Douglas Morford that conducted that threat assessment that was completely botched, he got information from teachers, and let it sit on his desk for, for months, but it, it concerns me that teachers are not being involved in these threat assessments. They know more than anyone. They report that they don't have any information of

2.2

2.3

2.4

what, of the discipline of what's happening to these children. If these children get into fights, and are suspended, you don't give that information to the teachers, you know, and the teacher doesn't -- when the kid doesn't show up at the next day of class they have to ask the students what, where's Johnny. Where -- where's the breakdown there?

MR. GOLH: So a couple of pieces of context, one is the teachers who are involved in the threat assessment are the ones who had the student. In terms of providing information to threat assessment team, teacher, or teachers who know the student best are the ones who actually sit reviewing the triangulated information from law enforcement, mental health, and administration, so it's that core, plus relevant teachers, fed by paper documentation, and electronic documentation from everyone.

I -- I don't know your sample size. We have documentation -- even on the case that this commission reviewed there was paperwork from the teachers relevant to it. So I -- I assure you, and I assure the community,

2.2

2.3

2.4

teachers are participating. We will continue to make sure that administration understands the value of teachers in that process, because they are absolutely key.

On the back side it becomes highly problematic, because people want to know more information than may be relevant to them. Every teacher at a school wants to know when a threat assessment is conducted what is the outcome of it. It may not be relevant for every teacher at the school to know. teachers who have that student may need to know certain protocols, in terms of monitoring, treatment, and follow up, if the student remains on the campus at all, but we need to strike this very difficult balance between general safety and security information sharing, transparency values, and the respecting of an individual who was in crises, had a threat assessment done on them, was that a threat to self, was that a threat to other, what's the connection between suicidation and homicidation, I mean these are very difficult

COMM SCHACHTER: I understand. And

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

there's a complete lack of information, you know, between, between administration and teachers. The want to help these children, and they can't help them if you don't give them any information. So do you share information with the teachers about children that are in crisis?

How, you know --

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. GOHL: So our Collaborative problem solving team --

SUP RUNCIE: Yes, we do, and that is done through the new system that we have, so teachers that, and the administrators who would interact with that student, they have access to that inform. And it's actually done now electronically, versus relying on paper, which is not a good reliable way to do that.

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter, can you make the next question the last one? We got to get going.

COMM SCHACHTER: That's going to be difficult. I got just a couple of more. Everybody else had, had a lot of time. I just have a couple more Sheriff.

CHAIR: Two. We got to get going.

COMM SCHACHTER: Can you tell me what

25 COMM SCHACHTER: Can you tell me wha

these cards are used for? What's the -- what's the green card used for?

SUP RUNCIE: I'm not sure. Do you know what that is?

COMM SCHACHTER: You -- you don't know?

So, in Marjory Stoneman Douglas -- is this part of your training? This is what the teachers are being trained to use, this, this card, the yellow card, and the red card; are you not familiar with this?

MR. GOHL: No.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMM SCHACHTER: No?

MR. GOHL: It's not part of any training that we've -- no, I'm not familiar with that.

COMM SCHACHTER: That's -- that's strange. In Marjory Stoneman Douglas all the teachers are being trained to use this, this card, so that if there is a, a code yellow, a code yellow -- can you explain to the, you know, the audience, what a code yellow is? Or whoever?

MR. KATZ: Code -- code red -- code yellow would be an incident that is typically adjacent to the school, and not something that has an immediate impact on the classroom area. And it limits movement on the campus, and, and

encourages bringing kids into the classroom and, and continuing to teach as normal --

COMM SCHACHTER: So if there was an active shooter in the area it would be a code yellow?

MR. KATZ: If there was an -- no. If there was an active shooter adjacent to the campus it'd be code red. If it was police activity, police are chasing somebody who is off campus, and you know, just short of, we don't get a notice of what the direction, or any of that is, it's yellow, again, to try to encourage bringing kids in, versus locking them out.

COMM SCHACHTER: In Marjory Stoneman

Douglas if that's the case, if there's an, you know, they are being instructed to put this green piece of paper in their window to let everybody know that it's a code yellow, and the teachers, many teachers are refusing to use these codes because it just doesn't make any sense. Why would you want to tell everybody that there are people, and kids on the classroom? We know that on the second floor nobody died because they, they covered the window with construction paper, they shut out

1 the lights then went in the corner.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So this is what is being taught. I'm shocked that you're not aware of it. This is in the training, and it just doesn't make any sense. We haven't used codes, you know, in, in year and years and years.

MR. KATZ: What I would say on that topic is it's not a district training. You're highlighting why we need consistency, why we need consistency across the schools as it relates to this process, and that's our big push for this year, is to get that.

COMM SCHACHTER: Okay, so just one quick question about Knox boxes. So you have fire Knox boxes for the fire department that responds to be able to get into the buildings. When a police officer responds, and it's a, you know, a code red, a lock down, and they can't get in the building, how are the police officers supposed to get into building?

MR. KATZ: So that -- that is an identified issue in some jurisdictions. So that relationship of whether police have access to the Knox box are between the fire department and the police department. There are some

police departments who have access to their fire Knox boxes. That -- that is a -- that is a practice. For the ones --

COMM SCHACHTER: What about the other police that don't have --

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. KATZ: -- where that is not the case we have been working closely with BSO to do the equivalent of a law enforcement Knox box.

We're talking through that. There have been several iterations of whether that should be a mechanical, something that requires a key, or whether that should be something that's either code based, electronic, that allows them to get to it, and not feel like they have to have a physical key. And that's something we've been talking through this summer.

COMM SCHACHTER: It's been six months. What happens if there's an active shooter tomorrow and law enforcement can't in the building?

So we talked about the, the discipline data. When -- when you did that survey of your discipline data, and you looked at all of these students, over ten thousand children had eleven disciplinary incidents, over four thousand

students had over twenty disciplinary incidents, thirteen hundred of them had over forty one, and seventy five kids had over a hundred disciplinary incidents.

Superintendent, the murderer had over fifty five. What number of disciplinary incidents would you be concerned about if a student had?

SUP RUNCIE: It's not the number. I think it's, you have took at the particular cases in a, in a context, and the type of interventions, and how those are responded to. I mean there are lots of different circumstances around that. I think we -- I wouldn't want to generalize what we actually do with those cases.

COMM SCHACHTER: It's very, very disturbing, especially, you know, that shows a tremendous amount of violence on campus. And then when you combine that with the VTU survey that, that validates all this violence that we're seeing that the teachers are reporting. This is extremely scary, especially when we combine this with the fact that the murderer had over fifty five incidents. You've got seventy five kids that have massive amounts of

2.2

2.3

2.4

disciplinary issues in your campuses; are those children being mainstreamed with the other kids?

2.2

2.3

2.4

SUP RUNCIE: They're -- they're in a -they're in a variety of environments. As I
said we'd have to go look at the specific
cases, and what interventions were done, where
they're currently placed. We're required by
law to provide an education to very single kid,
whether they're in a traditional classroom
setting, and alternative setting, a
correctional setting. I don't know exactly
where each of those particular cases are.

COMM SCHACHTER: Then you need to have some more investigation, because obviously what you're doing is not working.

CHAIR: All right, we got and -- so -- a couple of housekeeping things we need to deal with, because the presenters that we're well over an hour on, they have to be out of here because of a flight by 1:00. So quick question, Commissioner Harpring raised it, I'm going to ask it, quick question, he -- you mentioned that the agenda item for Tuesday will address this charter that's not in compliance.

SUP RUNCIE: And there may be others that come out --

2.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR: He says it's -- he says it's not on the agenda now, he checked. Will it be on the agenda for Tuesday?

SUP RUNCIE: The agenda is posted on Fridays.

CHAIR: Okay. All right. And just for clarification, so we understands the response to Sheriff Judd's question, Senator Book's question, is, is that offline, we're going to stop now, is that you will provide us the name of the charter offline; is that correct?

SUP RUNCIE: Yes.

CHAIR: Okay. All right, Superintendent, thank you for being here. So here's what we need to do, because we've got now 11:51. The next presenter, which his on FERPA, which we're overdue, they have a flight, so what we're going to do is, and I know this is hard, we've been sitting for, you know, three and a half hours now, but we've got to be respectful of them. The presenter is coming out of Pittsburgh. She's traveled here to do this.

Let's just take a quick, quick, okay,

let's say five minutes, which will really be ten, okay, five minute break. We're going to come back, and then we're going to hear that presentation. After that presentation, then we'll break for lunch. So five minutes, please, then we'll be back for the FERPA presentation.

(Thereupon, the meeting is in recess.)

CHAIR: Let's go ahead and get started.

The next presentation we have is on FERPA, and we have with us Ms. Pamela Hepp, who is outside counsel for the Florida Department of Education from Buchanan, Ingersoll, and Rooney. Welcome, and we appreciate you being here. Thank you.

OVERVIEW OF FERPA APPLICATION AND EXCEPTIONS

MS. HEPP: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, members of the commission. And thank you for inviting me to speak to you today. I am with the law firm of Buchanan, Ingersoll, and Rooney. We are essentially an Eastern based firm with offices from New York down to Florida. I am from our Pittsburgh office. I am co-chair of our data security and privacy group, and I'm happy to speak to you today with

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

respect to FERPA. I have some slides dealing with HIPAA. I probably will not get into those slides. I think the FERPA and law enforcement focus is really the purpose of today's discussion, but do have slides and information on HIPAA and FERPA interaction if folks are interested.

So today we're going to talk about just in very broad strokes an overview of the security, privacy and security landscape, predominantly FERPA, as well as SB7026 and SB7030.

Essentially SB7026 and SB30 allow for a broad disclosure of information in accordance with Florida law, but state, or federal law still applies, so we are going to talk about FERPA.

We are also then going to talk about law enforcement's role in the threat assessment team process, as well as a law enforcement unit at the schools, and how those two different roles may allow them to access education records. And we'll talk through definitions under FERPA, in terms of what that means.

We will also spend a little bit of time talking about video surveillance, because I know there's been questions about what can and

2.2

2.3

cannot be done with respect to video surveillance, or at least perceptions about what can and cannot be done with respect to video surveillance. And then finally, as I mentioned, there's slides on FERPA, and treatment records, and HIPAA, and how those intersect, but we won't really discuss that today.

So as I mentioned SB --

2.2

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: Hang -- hang on. Commissioner Petty, go ahead.

COMM PETTY: I apologize for interrupting you. Thank you for being here. I just wanted to note, you know, we're about to talk about, about FERPA. We had a large contingent from the Broward County School District here. This -- this could have been free training for them, and an opportunity to learn a little bit more about the law, and how to implement the law, and how to, how to use it effectively, and they've all walked out of the building. So I just wanted to note that.

CHAIR: Okay. Go ahead. Go ahead.

MS. HEPP: Thank you. First, again in very broad stroke, Florida law now, with

respect to SB7026 and SB7030 allows for broad spread sharing of information in connection with assessing threats, facilitating coordination of services for students, but having said that, it does not preempt federal law, so we still have to look at federal law, and see what the constraints are with respect to federal law. And possibly is there areas where we may need guidance from the US Department of Education, or there are areas where maybe FERPA needs to be changed. I'm not sure that's the case, but as we walk through this there may be areas where at least additional guidance may be warranted.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Sources of duties of protection include FERPA, as I mentioned, also the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, which essentially mirrors FERPA, so we're really going to focus on FERPA for this presentation, and again, HIPAA is another federal law.

FERPA is the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act. It's been on the books since 1974 with every little amendment, so it is an old law. It does provide parents, or eligible students, and that's a defined term under FERPA

305-376-8800

that we'll talk about, with certain rights under FERPA, including the ability to control disclosure of the student's records, in other words, to limit or restrict how records can be disclosed. They have the right to access those records, and they also have the right to seek an amendment of their records that they've reviewed in certain circumstances, if they believe the records are inaccurate. There's a process for that. There's a process to challenge, and to deny on the part of the schools, but that is one of the rights.

2.2

2.4

It's enforced by the Department of
Education. There is no private cause of action
under FERPA. This also is not unlike HIPAA.
There's no a private cause of action under
HIPAA ether. Florida does provide a private
cause of action for inappropriate disclosures
under FERPA. Having said that, there also is
generally a common-law right to privacy, that
folks can bring a case if they feel that
information was inappropriately disclosed.

Generally speaking, there has to be some harm to the individual as a result of that disclosure, so it might be, for example,

800-726-7007

identity theft if there's a social security number. It could be some discriminatory action that was taken against them because of something that was in a sensitive record. But by and large there is not private cause of action under FERPA, and the only enforcement right is for DOE to withhold funding. To date that has not occurred, though, I want to make that clear.

So what does FERPA apply to? It applies generally to, I'm going to say very broadly to all educational organizations. It's postsecondary, as well as elementary, and secondary education, to the extent they're funded by federal funds. There are very, very, very few exceptions where federal funding does not exist. There's a university in Pennsylvania that is a Catholic University that will not accept any federal funding, it's all private funding, and that is, at least to my knowledge the only example I personally know of that is an institution not subject to FERPA.

It's important to revisit some of the definitions for purposes of this discussion.

First, what is a student. A student is pretty

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

common sense. It's somebody who's attended an educational institution, and that institution maintains records about that student. An eligible student is someone who has either obtained the age of eighteen, or who has attended a post-secondary school. Education records, and this is really going to be a focus for this presentation, and we're going to repeat this definition so you don't have to memorize it, but education records are records maintained by an educational institution that are directly related to a student.

So it requires both components, that it's directly related to a student, and it's maintained by an educational institution. Now, it doesn't say and it's related to academic performance, or something like that, but it is a record maintained by the school directly related to a student. The records can be in any medium, they can be paper, they can be electronic, they can be digital. It can include photographs, it can include video surveillance, but again, provided that they are directly related to a student and maintained by the educational institution.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Educational records contain personally identifiable information, another key definition under FERPA, or PII, as it may be referred to. And PII is something that's going to identify a specific, a specific kid. It's that student's name, it's their parent or family member's name, an address, an email address, some personal identifier, like a social security number or a student ID number. It can include biometric information, date of birth, mother's maiden name.

It can be other things that linked together may help you identify who that student is; so if looking at a record it's redacted of all those things I just mentioned, but there's enough information that you can figure out who that student is, that's personally identifiable. And an example in the guidance of where this has occurred, and in particular with subsection (g), is if for example there's a kid who was suspended on a certain date, and law enforcement knows that that kid was suspended on that certain date, and requests redacted of all identifiers records of all students of that school that were suspended on

2.2

2.3

2.4

that certain date, and then law enforcement knows what the trigger was for that suspension, those records that have been de-identified are identifiable for that kid, so that would constitute PII even when redacted, because enough information is known to be able to connect the dots, if you will, to know who that student was.

So the vast majority of records that are held by an educational institution will constitute educational records. And it's not limited, as I said, to academic performance types of things, although it includes that. Tt. can include immunization records, because that information is necessary to determine that a child is eligible to attend school. It's can be core schedules, for grades. example, my kids, who when they were in college I could not even access their core schedules, couldn't get information about tuition, because they are an eligible student, they control that release, and so unless they consented I didn't have access to that information because it is an educational record.

Disciplinary records, obviously are

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

considered an educational record. A combination request, if the, if the child has an IEP, for example, records may include mental health or treatment records. There could be services being provided through the school, that those would constitute an educational record.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Surveillance cameras recordings and photographs may constitute an educational record. And I say may because it has to be directly related to the student and maintained by the, by the school. But law enforcement unit records, and we're going to talk about this in a little bit, law enforcement records have a different definition, and if they are records of a law enforcement unit those are excluded from the definition of, of an educational record, and are not subject to FERPA redisclosure restrictions. So that's an important distinction, and we'll talk a lot about that.

So what do the regulations say? There's nothing new in the regulations, but we do have some new guidance that came out from the Department of Education in February dealing

800-726-7007

essentially with threat assessment teams, school resource officers, as well as law enforcement units, and this distinguishing factor between educational records and law enforcement records.

The guidance is interesting, in that it talks about FERPA being flexible. And I would agree with that, FERPA is flexible. There are a variety of ways that information may be able to be shared, whether it's an education record or it's a law enforcement unit record, so it is flexible depending on how you structure your arrangements. It acknowledges that FERPA provides flexibility for the sharing of information in the event that there is a threat. It also addressed the difference between educational records and law enforcement unit records.

So, what do the regulations say? Well, as a general rule PII, or personally identifiable information, from an education record can be disclosed if you have the parents or the child's consent. So you always can disclose if there's consent, and that I think is a base line that we need to remember, that you, if you

2.2

2.3

2.4

get consent you can disclose. There are also sixteen other exceptions under FERPA that do permit the disclosure of education records.

For purposes of this discussion I think the three primary ones are disclosures to school officials, school officials is a specific defined term under FERPA, and we'll talk about that, so long as the disclosure to the school official was for a legitimate educational purpose, or they have a legitimate educational interest in that particular record. And we'll talk about what that means. For purposes of health and safety reasons, and we'll talk about that exception. And then also, in response to a valid subpoena or court order.

School -- the school official exception, as I mentioned the school official has to have a legitimate interest in the information that's being disclosed. So what that means, I can give you an example where we see sometimes issues of, not necessarily in the threat assessment team arena, but just so you can kind of conceptualize what this means, if somebody does not, if somebody has a neighbor who they

2.2

2.3

2.4

don't like, and their child is attending the school, and I'm a member of the threat assessment team, or I'm some other school official, I can't look up that student's record just because I'm curious, or if it's my ex, and that ex has a stepson, I can't look up that stepson's record just because I want to get dirt on my ex. There has to be a legitimate educational reason to look at that record. And that applies to any school official. So there is the exception for school officials to have information provided they're looking at it for a specific interest.

In the context of this discussion what we're really talking about is the school resource officers, the threat assessment team members, who can include law enforcement, it can include mental health counselors, they have access to the educational records related to their threat assessment purpose, but they can't look at the records beyond that.

The other scenario, or the other qualifier I would say, is they have the ability to access that record, those records under FERPA exception, but they can't redisclose what they

2.2

2.3

2.4

see in those records unless there is another FERPA exception, because when they look at those records, and when they document what they're doing, that is an educational record. The -- the function that they're fulfilling is an educational record.

And to constitute a school official there are a number of criteria that have to be met. That includes that they're performing a function for the school that otherwise the school would engage employees, they're under the direct control of the school with respect to how they access those records, how they maintain those records, and also cannot, as I said, redisclose those records, absent another FERPA exception.

And finally, the school has to define who is, who is a school official for their purposes, and include that in the school's policy, and include it in their FERPA notice.

And as -- as we talk through this we'll see that FERPA permits certain things, but it may not require certain things. So here's an example where FERPA would permit this structure as long as the school policy reflects this

2.2

2.3

2.4

structure, but if the policy doesn't the school may say, well, we can't do that. So it really is how the school structures these arrangements.

Again, if it's a disclosure to a school official they're subject to the restrictions on redisclosure. Redisclosure, again, as I said at the beginning, can still occur, though, from a threat assessment team, if they have consent, if there is an emergency that necessitates the disclosure of the information, or in connection with a subpoena or a court order. So there can be certain situations where a subpoena or a court order may be a way to get information out of that threat assessment team.

Redisclosure in connection with an emergency: There's -- this is one area, and we'll get into some of the guidance on this, where there's been some confusion, and where some concern about how do you know when there's an emergency. Under FERPA you know the information can be disclosed in connection with an emergency if it's necessary to protect health and safety. There has to have been a reasonable determination that there is an

2.2

2.4

articulable threat, these are all important parts of the scenario, or parts of the analysis, to the health or safety of students or others based on a totality of the circumstances.

They -- the determination typically has to have some time, place, and manner component to it, meaning that it has to be, there has to be some defined period of time over which that threat is going to take place, an articulated place where that threat is going to be carried out, a manner in which that threat is going to be carried out, so it can't just be predictive based upon looking at this record, these records, and we think that this kid is going to pose a threat. There has to be some specific threat that's been mentioned that can be documented, and that the team can reasonably determine does pose a threat.

So a blanket release also is not permissible. If we've identified a threat about this kid we can disclose information to law enforcement related to this specific threat. We can't continue to provide information on an ongoing basis, it's limited

2.2

2.3

2.4

to the timeframe that the threat exists. So once that threat expires you need a new exception again to get information.

CHAIR: I know because you're short on time you're going to move into the director information next. This is a, an area -- and see if anybody's got any questions on this, because this is the area that as it relates to SROs, and schools, and the threat assessment teams, that causes the greatest confusion. And -- and I agree with you, Commissioner Petty, it would be fortunate if others were here to hear this, because this is probably the clearest most concise presentation on FERPA that I've seen ever, the most comprehensive, and, and it spells it out.

And so just if you've got questions ask, because let's try and flush it out to the extent so at least we all understand it, and anybody listening hopefully will have a grasp of it, because this is where it bogs down, is that if you have a law enforcement officer, a police officer that's sitting on a threat assessment team, and let's say that they're reviewing the kid's student record, and there's

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

information in that student record, and one of their buddies who's a burglary detective says I want you to give me all the information you can give me, because I'm investigating a burglary, a burglary that happened three weeks ago, the officer that's sitting on the threat assessment team is prohibited from redisclosing that information because the burglary detective is not a school official, the SRO is a school official who has access to the direct information, but can't redisclose it unless it falls under one of the exceptions.

And this is the hardest part, the hardest part that everybody has a problem with, because one cop can't tell another cop. So if the cop on the threat assessment team is asked by his captain, tell me everything that's in that file because I just want to know, that would be a prohibited redisclosure. If the burglary detective says to the cop on the threat assessment team, or to the SRO generally, not even on a threat assessment team, you've looked at Joey's school records, and I want to know his social security number out of the school records, that would be a prohibited

2.2

2.3

2.4

redisclosure even though the cop because he's a school official has access to it.

But, if the cop has information that Joey is a threat to school safety, he said he's going to shoot up the school, says that he may shoot up his house tonight, says those types of things, and then a detective within the police department, a supervisor within the police department, a super, whatever, says tell me what you know because we need to get a tactical plan, and because there's an imminent threat of Joey going out and doing something, then it's not a permitted redisclosure because he is a school official, it falls within the, the emergency exception, correct? So that's the landscape.

MS. HEPP: Yeah, absolutely. Absolutely.

CHAIR: Okay. So that's the important distinction. And this is where -- this -- I think this area -- and I know this area causes the greatest angst, and the greatest misunderstanding. And this gets into the video problem as well, because there's a whole bunch of different paths to the video. One is whether it is even under FERPA to being with

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

because it's housed as a law enforcement record. But if it's not housed, and she'll get to that, housed as a law enforcement record, than a cop seeing it, and they think, well, the cop seeing it can just automatically disclose it, and it's not true.

And this is why when we've had discussions with the Broward County General Counsel's Office, and these General Counsel's Office for these other districts, and people have said, well, just have a blanket disclosure, it can't, it has to be assessed on a case by case basis. So will you kind of just, you know, flush that out, because this is core of the, the main issue everybody has.

MS. HEPP: Absolutely it does have to be one on a case by case basis. I think everyone wants a black and white answer, and there really isn't one. On the other hand, I will say that a lot of times you will have answers of we just can't do that because of HERPA, or FERPA I should say, and you get similar reactions with HIPAA by providers as well. And sometimes it's because it's extremely complicated, the analysis is complicated, and

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

they don't want to take the time to go through the analysis.

Sometimes it is they don't know the answer, they believe that they're right because it is complicated. And sometimes it's because, as I've said previously, FERPA may permit some of these things, but not necessarily require those disclosures, and a school could decide out of an abundance of caution, or because of the ability to implement something, that it's too unwielding to put in enough safeguards to protect against redisclosure, for example, that they're going to prohibit it.

And directory information is an example of that. I won't go into detail with, with that, other than to say directory information can be disclosed if there's a policy that defines what it is, and sometimes schools will not have a policy, and will just then not allow the provision of directory information.

The only other thing I will say about directory information is it does include photos, depending on the context of the photo. And this goes back then to it really is a facts and circumstances type of analysis, and, and

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

we'll talk about that as we go through. One thing I do want to mention, and this will come up in connection with our video discussion, is personal knowledge, or personal observation, anything that you see is not an educational record. Educational records are tangible records, they're tangible documents, so it's the document based on that observation that becomes the educational record.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But if I see something, and I'm a teacher, and I see somebody make a threat, or I hear somebody make a threat, I can disclose that to law enforcement because that's not an educational record. By the same token, if a member of law enforcement who happens to be a school resource officer, a member of the, the threat assessment team, observes something personally, that doesn't make it an educational record as part of his school official duty, and that can be redisclosed. So that's something else to keep in mind.

And I think going back to one thing,
Sheriff, that you mentioned, in terms of prior
crimes, and the inability to disclose that
under FERPA generally is true, but there are,

again, exceptions, so that if law enforcement knows about a crime on the premises, and is able to get a search warrant, is able to get a court order to get the record, they can do so. But that has to be when, they have to follow one of the exceptions to FERPA to do that.

So we have do have guidance, so mentioned, that came out in February of this year. I'm not going to go through these slides in extreme detail, because I think what the real message is the takeaway. This first slide, it was talking about threat assessment team members, this just makes the point that was just discussed, which is if member of the threat assessment team gets information in their role as a school official they cannot redisclose that information if it relates to something unrelated to a threat. So this just drives that comment home.

The next piece of guidance talks about how you determine if there's an emergency, and in this situation it is a case by case determination, you have to look at all of the facts. I will say as we look through these next couple slides, and this next one in

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

particular, DOE has indicated that they are not going to substitute their judgment for that of the school. As long as the school makes a reasonable, or rational determination that a threat was present DOE is not going to second guess that school's decision.

They -- the school official has to be able to explain how they got to that decision, they have to be able to document how they got to that decision, and why that student posed an articulable and significant threat, but as long as they can do that DOE is not going to second guess them.

CHAIR: We're talking about the US Department of Education here.

MS. HEPP: Yes. Yes.

CHAIR: And -- and again remind everybody, is that FERPA has been around for forty years, so, and how many times in the last forty years has the US Department of Education ever sanctioned a district?

MS. HEPP: It has not. They -- the way for DOE to sanction is to withhold money, and so far that has not happened.

CHAIR: In forty years.

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 MS. HEPP: Yeah. Forty five, yeah.

CHAIR: All right. Okay.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

MS. HEPP: The next two slides talk about who decides, and I think the primary takeaway from that is that it really is a multidisciplinary approach, and the guidance that DOE put out really is looking to threat assessment teams to make that determination, and is indicating that these are multi-disciplinary teams made up of law enforcement, mental health officials, et cetera, that the school doesn't have to rely upon their own employees, or their own staff, it's ultimately the school's decision, but they can allow those threat assessment teams to come to that conclusion of what constitutes a significant and articulable threat.

So here's where we're going to get into really the distinction between education records and law enforcement records. And first we're going to look at the regulations. The regulations are clear that education records do not include records that are maintained by, created by, and used for, created and maintained by a law enforcement unit, and used

for law enforcement purposes. It has to meet all three criteria. It has to be created by a law enforcement, maintained by a law enforcement, and used for law enforcement purposes.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

It doesn't not preclude, however, records from being used for law enforcement purposes as well as educational purposes, and we'll get into that. What it does say, however, is if those records are maintained by a different unit of the school that that precludes from being considered a law enforcement unit, or if that information in those records is maintained exclusively for non-law enforcement purposes. In other words, exclusively for looking at performance, perhaps looking at presentations, and how students are doing in presentations for disciplinary purposes, totally for non-law enforcement purposes, it's not a law enforcement record, but that means it's exclusively for those other non-law enforcement purposes. If it's a law enforcement unit record that information is not subject to FERPA, and it can be redisclosed.

So what is a law enforcement unit? And

this is a critical part of the definition.

It's an individual, an office --

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

CHAIR: Hey, Pam, wait, Commissioner Dodd has a question.

MS. HEPP: Sure.

COMM DODD: You said -- you asked questions, so right here you're talking about so if an officer knows a student to be an ESE student from observation, that officer can tell other officers outside of the school that this is an ESE student; is that correct?

MS. HEPP: If it's from personal observation; is that what you're saying?

COMM DODD: Yes.

MS. HEPP: Yes, if it's from a personal observation, they observed something happen, that can be disclosed. If the way that officer knows it is as a school official on a TAT team, he can if it's an emergency, or there's a search warrant or a subpoena. If he knows it because he's part of a law enforcement unit that is doing, in, in charge of security and safety for the school, he can redisclose it. So it's -- it's very complicated, and it's very confusing.

∠

COMM DODD: So if the officer knew that he was an ESE student, but didn't know what the classification was of an ESE, and then he went and find out what the classification was, that's not sure, that's not --

MS. HEPP: Correct, he's not --

CHAIR: If you knew it from reading the record -- whatever you know from reading a record, that is covered. If -- if -- if somebody talks to the student and says, hey, are you an ESE student, yes, what classification of ESE student are you, are you this, are you that, what's your social security number, what's all this, and they go and broadcast it to the world, that's not FERPA. It's got to be in a record, or a document, and you have to learn it from a record or document. And this is what causes this big stereotypical misapplication, and -- and we've said the same thing with HIPAA.

I can't tell you that, it's HIPAA, and ninety percent of it's not HIPAA. I can't tell you that because I'm precluded by FERPA, yeah, you can, because it didn't come from a record, so you aren't even in this world to begin with.

MS. HEPP: And that -- and that I think at the end of the day, and we'll see this as we get into video surveillance, the personal observation is a critical factor in these discussions, what did somebody personally observe, and that's free game. Law enforcement unit is a defined as a department, office, it can be a person, but it can be a unit, it can be a group of officers that are a component of the school. So they have to be designated as a component of the school, and they're identified, or designated by the school as authorized to enforce laws, and protect student safety.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And how they do that is through their annual FERPA notice, and/or through their FERPA policy. And so it has to be a school designation that I designate this unit, or this person as a law enforcement unit to protect the safety of our campus, and/or to otherwise enforce the law on campus.

They can access educational records if they also are a school official. So here's to add an additional wrinkle. If that -- if that law enforcement unit is comprised of law

enforcement officers who are members of a threat assessment team carrying out a school officer's function they can access those student records, but again, they can't redisclose them then to the greater law, law enforcement department, unless they meet that exception. So they can wear two hats, and one benefit to wearing two hats is there may be situations where that in order to carry out their duties they need educational records.

And here's an example that comes from some of the guidance. I'm a law enforcement officer, I'm tasked with enforcing campus security. A kid was suspended, he's not allowed on campus. I need to know that that kid was suspended and not allowed on campus, and some details around that, to be able to protect the campus. That is information from the threat assessment team, and/or from an educational record. In order for me to get that I either have to be a school official, or it had to have been a threat, or we had to have So you really have to meet one of consent. those exceptions to get that detail to fulfill your job in certain circumstances, so there is

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

some beauty, if you will, or some, some reason, or benefit to having your law, members of your law enforcement unit also be school officials.

The downside to that is there can be confusion, they're wearing two hats, is it difficult for them to figure out, you know, when can I disclose information, when can't I disclose information. It's -- it's not that complicated if you think about the fact of the source of that inform, and the source being that threat assessment team, that educational record, that's where that line is drawn, versus what they have personally observed, or what they see through their other security measures, but it can get complicated, and it's how you implement those dual roles.

CHAIR: Go back to that previous slide in 31 for a minute. Is, is that, because in Florida, and it says here, and this is important with what we're dealing with with guardians, it says that a law enforcement means, means an individual, et cetera, such as the unit of a commissioned police officer, we get that. It also says non-commissioned security guard, so you can have, as an example

2.2

2.3

2.4

-- and is there any place -- in the regulation is there any place that defines what a security guard is?

MS. HEPP: No.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Okay. So -- and it's important, because we have as an example, in a good chunk of our elementary schools, and in the charters, as we had this great discussions about, about guardians, so if you have a guardian who is not a police officer, not a commissioned law enforcement officer, and you wanted to have that guardian who is there in prong, in, in number two, so a non-commissioned security guard, they can't enforce the laws, but they are there to maintain the physical security and safety of the agency, or the institution.

So if you have these guardians, and you task the video to come under the auspices of the guardian who is there for the purposes of maintaining physical security and safety, then you can still have it being maintained by a law enforcement unit, even though it isn't the typical law enforcement officer, correct?

MS. HEPP: Absolutely. And in fact in the guidance it talks about some smaller schools

may designate a vice principal to be the law enforcement unit, and what that means is they may not be authorized to enforce the law, but they are authorized to refer to the matter to law enforcement. So they don't have to be deputized to be able to enforce it, it's merely being able to serve the function of a liaison, if you will, to refer the matter out to law enforcement as a law enforcement matter.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: And let's say there's a whole bunch of stuff happening on campus, and the policy was, is that this person who's designated for school safety and security is going to take things, and they're going to document things for safety and security purpose, and maintain it as that record, then that's not a school record, that doesn't come within FERPA, and if they wanted to take that information, and kept files on it, and then disclose it to law enforcement without having worry about the redisclosure, that would be permissible.

MS. HEPP: Absolutely.

CHAIR: So the point, there's -- there's so many different ways -- once you understand

the dynamics of this there's so many different ways to get to yes, but we've got so many people out there that are back in no world, and they just say no to it without understanding the application, and the exception, so, you know, I really appreciate you, you spelling this out.

MS. HEPP: Sure. And to your point of so many different ways to get to yes, what works for one school may not work for another school, depending on the size, the resources that they have, so there are -- again, it's flexible. There's flexibility to figure out how to get to yes, to be able to get information.

So looking at the guidance, and again this is -- the guidance is here in the slides.

You'll have it. But I just want to fly through some of these. The first being the law enforcement unit can be contracted out, it doesn't have to be an employee of the school, that's clear, and that's consistent with the discussion we just had.

The law enforcement unit does have to be set forth though, defined if you will, in the FERPA notice, and the school's FERPA policy, so

2.2

that it's understood by parents, and/or students, what the law enforcement unit is, so that they know what they're talking about. But again, some small schools can designate just an administrative person to serve in that role.

Ouestions around what school officials mean, vis-a-vie law enforcement officers, it's clear that just because you're a law enforcement officer, of a law enforcement unit I should say, doesn't necessarily mean you're a school official acting as a school official who has access to educational records. The school has to make you a school official, number one, and designate you that way in their school notice, and you have to be fulfilling some other legitimate educational interest. So if your sole, sole goal is campus security, and you're not going to be involved in threat assessment, then you may not be a school official.

But if you want that person to be part of your threat assessment team you can do that, but also, again, they have to be subject to your control in terms of how they maintain their records. So they could maintain a threat

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

assessment team record, and that's subject to your control, and then they have their separate educational records, I mean their separate law enforcement records that relate to their enforcement of security on campus, but that gets complicated.

The guidance does make clear though, and this is where, that they can wear two hats. So members of a law enforcement unit are, if they're school officials they can't disclose records of, of PII they receive as school officials, but it's advisable for law enforcement units to maintain law enforcement unit records separately from education records. So it contemplates the ability for somebody to wear two hats, and have two, two separate sets of records, if you will.

I'm going to flip through to Slide 30.

This also is another slide that contemplates school records. And I don't think we need to go into detail, but the idea being you can have a record that is a law enforcement record, as per this one. So I am a guardian, or I am a security officer, I'm enforcing security, I see a video, or I see something happen, I can refer

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

that matter to the school to take disciplinary action.

My record is an education record. When the school gets a copy of my record they put that into the education record, now there's an education record. So it's not the same person maintaining the two different records, but again, it contemplates the same information can reside in an education record and in a law enforcement record. Now, if the reverse were true -- and -- and I guess what I should say is a law enforcement record is still a law enforcement record, and an education record is still an education record, and the disclosure doesn't change how those two sets of records are treated.

Security videos: This is where I think there's a lot of confusion, in what is a security video, what does it mean under FERPA, is it an education record, is it a law enforcement record. So as a beginning baseline FERPA with respect, takes the view that with respect to photos or video surveillance they can be an educational record as long as they meet the definition, which means it has to be

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

directly related to a student, and maintained by an educational institution.

So a good example of a photo would be a yearbook photo, school activities, photos of school activities, things like that, that would be an educational record. If it's a fight among students that's captured by a parent that's not an educational record. If the parent turns that record over to the school to take disciplinary action that can be, that then would become an educational record, as possessed by the school, but not in the hand of the parent.

Similar thinking, if it's a law enforcement person who took that video, hands a copy over to the school for the school to take disciplinary action, the law enforcement officer's video is still a law enforcement, law enforcement unit video, and this school now has a separate copy as an educational record.

CHAIR: Is there -- is there a case to be made here, as you get into this, about the directly, directly related to a student, so if you're looking at surveillance video, and let's just use what we're familiar with, Building 12

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

at Stoneman Douglas where it had three cameras on each floor, one at the end, one in the middle, and then the stairwells, is, is that, is -- and I'm seriously asking this. Is that is there a good faith argument to be made here that all of that is not directly related to a student, it's directly related to physical site security because it's in the, incidental, whether any student ever comes into the video or not?

2.2

So certainly if a student is coming in, and they're coming into an ingress point, and you've got a camera there at face level, and you're capturing every student, okay, I get that, that's clear, that's directly related to students because the intent is to capture student images. But with a good portion of the surveillance video it's there for physical site security, and it is not directly related. Is that — is that a good faith argument, is that a valid argument, where is that?

MS. HEPP: So that's taking a very broad look at the surveillance video as a whole.

Where the guidance appears to come down is you look at each incident. So you may set it up

taken as a whole, as a law enforcement, law enforcement unit record, because that's the purpose in the first place. And I think that's a valid assumption, and based on what you're describing I think at the very, at the very beginning you need to determine why am I doing this video surveillance in the first place, and that determines where you house it, because again, part of it is who maintains it. So you maintain it as a law enforcement unit, it is a law enforcement unit record, and then if you need to give information to the school for disciplinary action you do so.

If the primary purpose on the front end is really more towards looking at, you know, performance of students, for example, looking at presentations, or if they're -- and I'm -- I'm struggling, quite frankly, to come up with the real original purpose being educational in a surveillance scenario, but if you look, if you think the reason you're setting it up on the front end is for educational purposes, and the school is maintaining it, and the school is using it for disciplinary matters, and again it's the school maintaining it, then it's an

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 educational record.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Where it comes into play, though, is what happened on that video, and there can be scenarios where what is captured on that video is a fight that broke out, or it includes vandalism that's done by a student, and that student, then the school wants to take disciplinary action. If you set it up in the first place as a law enforcement unit record the school can still do that, you give the information to the school, and they can take disciplinary action.

If you set it up in the first place as we're setting this up as a surveillance camera, security system maintained by the school, then now that portion of the video is an education record because it was directly related to kids that you're going to discipline, and you have to meet the exception to disclose it. So it really comes down to how you set it up in the first place, and you need to think ahead why am I doing this, to help you figure out how to set it up in the first place.

And part of -- part of the thinking needs to be does the school need the information to

discipline students, and how do they get it, okay, law enforcement can provide it, are there other reasons the school needs the information. If it's videos in a classroom a bigger argument can be made that that's really more for an education purpose, and that particular surveillance camera is for an educational purpose.

> CHAIR: Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

MS. HEPP: Go ahead.

SHRF JUDD: Under the circumstance we, we have, or the policy we currently have, as our schools come online with cameras our real time crime center is going to be able to flip the button and see the cameras. The policy we currently have says we've got to know there's an emergency afoot before we turn the cameras on, but understanding that time is a sense of urgency, I mean time, there has to be a sense of urgency with time, I clearly understand that's a police emergency.

What if the policy said that you're allowed to periodically turn the cameras on to scan the schools for an emergency, but you couldn't use the footage to discern smokers in

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

the hallway, scuffles in the hallway, discipline, what, what if we said, yes, randomly so that we don't lose the time waiting on somebody to say, oh, turn the cameras on, there's an emergency there, that we are proactively looking, and scanning for an emergency.

MS. HEPP: So one thing we, we haven't focused specifically on is the law enforcement unit definition, and again it has -- if you're defined as a law enforcement unit in the school's FERPA notice, and you maintain that record, and it's your record, then you could do the random checks, it doesn't have to be an emergency. The emergency exception applies if it's maintained by the school, and it's a school record.

The other point I would make to that, and this is where we don't have guidance on this, but as I alluded to before a record is something tangible, which is the recording of that video. If it's a live feed, remember personal observation is not a record, so if it's a live feed are you really observing something first hand through personal

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

observation, that it's not a FERPA record, it's not a law enforcement record, it's not a record until it's stored.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SHRF JUDD: Okay, so the -- the schools are going to buy the cameras, install the cameras, maintain the cameras, but we're going to be able to have the ability, not counting looking at the recording, the recorded data, we're going to have the ability to see a live feed. So -- so -- and like I said we, we don't want to turn a camera on and watch the school for, you know, eight hours a school day, but what we, what I want to know is if, if the policy said rather than wait on the emergency that you are permitted to scan the schools, and based on the fact we don't have a recording in our shop, we are the police unit for the school, the school maintains that, and, and actually in our real time crime center we've got a clear line of distinction between normal data and school data, can the school side of the real time crime center periodically scan if we don't maintain the record?

MS. HEPP: If you're not -- that's where it's complicated based on the personal

observation, where I think guidance might be helpful. If we put aside personal observation, and that potential argument, and consider it a record, then I don't think you can, because it's a record maintained by the school. The system is being maintained by the school, so for you to have access to the information you have to meet one of those exceptions, which is going to be the emergency.

2.2

2.3

2.4

SHRF JUDD: Okay, even though we're the police faction for the school.

MS. HEPP: If you're defined as -- if you're defined as the law enforcement unit you have -- in order for it to be a law enforcement unit record you have to maintain the record, you have to create the record, and you have to use it, not exclusively for law enforcement, it can't be exclusively for the school. But the fact that you don't maintain it I think blows that argument out of the water.

SHRF JUDD: So based on that, as long as that record, we didn't download, or make that record a document in our public records files, or in our, in our police files, that we could watch the real time view for, for scanning for

1 active assailants.

2.2

2.5

MS. HEPP: I think an argument can be made, and the guidance supports this, to have dual feeds. It's a cost issue, you know, and so I think it's up to the schools --

CHAIR: Yeah, because what's the difference whether you --

MS. HEPP: -- but I think an argument can be made for dual feeds for dual reporting.

CHAIR: Yeah, because what's the difference -- if you're live -- if you're watching it live real time, and you're watching it from a real time crime center at the other end of the county twenty five miles away, or you're standing in the hallway watching it, you're still watching it, and it's an observation. Nobody's going into a record, and watching a recording. And if you're not going to the, if you're not watching the recording, you're watching it real time, that's not a record, so you're not even in FERPA. There's no difference.

MS. HEPP: Now, if you need -- if you need recording of that --

CHAIR: Right.

800-726-7007

1 SHRF JUDD: Then you've got to have --

MS. HEPP: -- then it -- then you have to

_

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR: Okay, so -- so let's say you're watching, and you see something happening, and you see somebody engaged in problematic activity, and let's say that they're shooting, let's say as an example, or whatever may, or something, whatever it is, and it's important enough that you're watching it, then arguably it's going to fall within one of the exceptions if you want to go back and record and then re-watch. So you -- you would most likely be covered because it's going to fall within an exception, and once you want to go back in and access again -- but -- but also, importantly, if the person doing the watching the second time of the recording, if that's your SRO then it doesn't matter because they're a school official anyway, correct?

MS. HEPP: Yeah. Yeah.

CHAIR: All right, so -- so -- so if you're -- if it's your major who oversees, what's his name again -- well, it doesn't matter. But your major overseeing all your

school safety stuff, and he's sitting there, 1 and he's a school official, and he oversees all your school safety stuff, and you got an SRO that's sitting there, or you got somebody in 4 your real time crime center watching it, they 6 see live real time, and they see a problem, or whatever, and they call the major, and they say, hey, you know, we saw X, let's say it doesn't even meet one of the exceptions, and 10 it's being recorded, and it's being recorded by 11 the school, and the major goes in and watches 12 it, he's a school official, so it doesn't 13 matter.

5

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

SHRF JUDD: Thank you.

MS. HEPP: Go ahead.

SHRF JUDD: I'm going -- I'm going to modify it, and not wait on an emergency. I'm going to say we can scan for emergencies, we just can't scan records --

> Correct, and -- and -- and --CHAIR:

SHRF JUDD: -- it has to be real time.

CHAIR: -- and then any of your -- any of your SROs who are school officials, they can watch it anyway. And then -- and then if they were to redisclose then they would have to fall

1 within one of the exceptions.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SHRF JUDD: Okay.

CHAIR: Go ahead.

Thank you so much. So one of SEN BOOK: the things that we had in our district was an issue relating to children with exceptionalities, and some parents have, it's an app called Angelsense I think, let me see, cause I have it, it's Angelsense, and this is particularly for children who are non-verbal in a classroom, and it's a device that you can put on your child, and you can automatically from my device log in, listen to what's happening. I don't think there's a video component, but you can track, you can turn it on and hear what's happening in the classroom, because there were some instances where kids were being physically injured, and non-verbal, didn't know what was going on throughout the school day.

Where does that play into any of this?

Does it not, does it, dah, dah, dah, dah, dah, dah, dah.

MS. HEPP: That's being maintained by the parent so that's not an educational record at all. It's --

800-726-7007

SEN BOOK: If they brought it in because
there was an issue, they heard a teacher saying
something ugly to a student, or whatever, that
then would become an educational record, or a
law enforcement record.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MS. HEPP: There could be other issues, and I don't want to get into --

SEN BOOK: I know that. Right. Right.

MS. HEPP: -- the wiretap, and that sort of thing, and, you know, do -- is the classroom, is others in the classroom really aware, et cetera. But beyond that, for purposes of this discussion that's not a FERPA record.

SEN BOOK: Okay. If, though, I brought it to, because you see, or you hear a teacher hitting a child, you brought it to law enforcement, that would be a law enforcement record, maybe.

MS. HEPP: The copy. The copy.

SEN BOOK: The copy of that.

MS. HEPP: Yes. Your record -- your, you know, the copy that you have on your device is still yours personally. You can give it to law enforcement, and the law enforcement copy

Veritext Legal Solutions

becomes a law enforcement record. You can give it to the school --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SEN BOOK: And that would then become -MS. HEPP: -- to take disciplinary action,
or action against a teacher, then that becomes

SEN BOOK: Becomes -- okay.

MS. HEPP: It's not an educational record if it's the teacher, but if it's another student, if it's student on student that would become an educational record. So in that scenario you've got three separate records of the same event housed in three different locations.

CHAIR: And if somebody came and asked you for it you could give it up, but the school couldn't give it up of the same thing.

MS. HEPP: Yeah. And -- and for some of these discussions I think, including, you know, the popping in, there could be other issues raised by that, and, you know, other people, but from a FERPA perspective, that's where I'm focused.

CHAIR: Commissioner Swearingen, go ahead.

COMM SWEARINGEN: Thank you for being here

today. So as far as the threat assessment teams, they're doing the threat assessment for public safety purposes, not for educational purposes, so if the school defines the threat assessment team as a law enforcement unit those would then be law enforcement records?

MS. HEPP: First they're -- they're functioning beyond threat assessment. They're functioning to also coordinate resources that may be needed for a child. In certain circumstances it may not be something that rises to the level of a threat that requires law enforcement engagement. It very well may be a need for that child to have other supports.

It could be an emotional disturbance, that you need more supports in the school, and by definition those teams, those threat assessment teams constitute school officials when they're structured, so it's going to be an educational record. I'm not sure how you can structure that any other way.

COMM SWEARINGEN: So let's narrow that down into the specific instances where it is related to a threat, take out all that other

2.2

2.3

2.4

stuff you just said. We know it's related to a kid who they're assessing whether he is a threat or not, that's a public safety issue, that's not an educational issue, so --

2.2

2.3

2.4

MS. HEPP: They're a school official, so their records by definition are educational records. You can disclose it if there's a threat, though, under an emergency exception. So just because it's an educational record, and you're subject to FERPA, doesn't mean you can't redisclose if you meet the other exceptions, which can include an emergency.

It could include something where you'd need a court order or a subpoena, and you could get consent. It may be a situation where you're able to get consent, but, or, again, personal observation, you can always disclose something that's a personal observation of a threat. But by definition that threat assessment team's record is going to be an educational record.

CHAIR: So I -- and -- and this is great information, and I know we have to be respectful of your time because you have a 1:00 hard stop, which is like in about two minutes,

so is there anything else you want to cover, for sure, but take advantage of the time while we have here, does anybody else have any questions that you want to make sure you get in, because I know that we have to stop, she has a plane to catch? So does anybody have any questions?

MS. HEPP: I think if you look at the last - and I will take some questions. There's some practical considerations as you look at the slides, and part of what you need to be thinking about, again, is why are you setting up this surveillance, and once you figure out why, and what information you need, and for what purpose, that can help you structure it in a way that it's either a law enforcement record, and you can readily share, or it's an educational record and you're going to have to meet some exception, but understanding why you're doing it in the first place can be very, very helpful.

CHAIR: I tell you, one of things that we can consider, one of things I would, you know, or Chancellor Oliva really recommend that DOE do is, I know that she's outside counsel, and

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

retained by your office, is, is that this would be extremely helpful if, with the Florida Association of School Superintendents, the School Boards, the general counsels for all the districts, is, is that -- I'm serious, this is the best presentation I've ever seen that explains it, and gets it right. And it would be extremely helpful if this information -- it would be extremely helpful for all of us as we're trying to work through this and, and just get the information we need, because the obstacle that we constantly have is, no, can't do it, no, can't do it, why, FERPA, but there's no explanation because they just rely on no because they don't understand it. That really, think that it would be helpful if DOE engaged Pam to do some training for these groups.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MS. HEPP: If there's no other questions

CHAIR: Anybody have -- yeah, Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHRF JUDD: I just have a comment. This is -- as the Chair said this is incredibly impressive, and it's refreshing to have somebody stand up there and say you can do

this, you can't do that, so thank you very much for your professionalism, and your research of this body of law that it, it will help us immensely.

MS. HEPP: Thank you. Happy to help.

CHAIR: All right, thank you for being here, we appreciate it. All right, so I've got, I've got some decisions to make here.

I've got -- it's 1:00. The next thing that we're going to -- we need to break for lunch.

The question is how long you all want to break.

According the agenda, and what I've got, I think we have roughly, and it depends upon if it all takes it's time, about three hours' worth of material left. I know we have an absolute hard 5:00 stop, if not a few minutes before, because some people have flights, I know, so we have a hard 5:00 stop.

We need to do the threat assessment piece in a closed session. It's going to take a couple minutes just to make sure we clear everybody out, and the cameras, et cetera, so I would suggest, unless, is that we -- and make it, because it'll take a few minutes anyway, is, is that we start right again at 1:30 in

2.2

2.5

closed session, and then after closed session, then we'll begin with Director Register, who we had to skip over for this morning on the training from FDLE, and then we'll just move into the rest of this, and that should keep us on track.

2.2

2.4

2.5

So if we could just limit lunch to thirty minutes, bring it back, and then we'll do the threat assessment piece. And then we'll -- as soon as that's done we'll reopen it to open session, and then we'll continue on this afternoon, and I think we can work our way through. So is everybody good with that as the plan? If not, propose something else. Okay.

All right, so just before we break I've got to read this because, again because of the Sunshine Law requirements, is when we come back we'll be in closed session until we're finished with the threat assessment piece, and then we'll open it back up again to everybody, which I anticipate will be somewhere around 2:30, or perhaps earlier.

So this meeting requires us to hear or discuss active criminal investigative information, active criminal intelligence

Veritext Legal Solutions

information, and/or other information that is 1 confidential and exempt under Florida law. Because of this under the authority of Florida 3 Statute 943.687(8) the meeting is closed to the 4 5 public, and is exempt from Florida Sunshine Law found at Florida Statute 286.011 and Section 6 24B Article I of the State Constitution. required written declaration of the Commission 8 9 Chair will be entered into the commission 10 minutes. Only authorized commission members, 11 commission support staff, and persons otherwise 12 specifically authorized by the Chair may attend 13 this meeting. We will reconvene today in a 14 public meeting at 2:30 p.m., or earlier. 15 will break for lunch now, and begin the closed 16 portion of the meeting at 1:30 p.m. Thank you 17 for your consideration. So we'll be in 18 adjournment until twenty five minutes from now, 19 at 1:30.

(Thereupon, the meeting is in recess.)

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: All right, so I think we are ready to go. The Court Reporter is ready, back on the record, and we have Shawna Reid, Andre Smith, am I saying that correctly, no?

MS. REID: Well, Andre is not here today.

CHAIR: I didn't think so, no. Okay. So just when you speak, just introduce yourself, okay.

MS. REID: Okay, will do.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: And Shawna, you're going to talk about the Florida Safe Schools, and Safety Portal. And just, because they're calling it something different, maybe they'll explain this, but this is the, what's been referred to as the Integrated Data Repository, and they've renamed it as the Florida Safe Schools Portal, and it was due by August 1st, and the social media monitoring. So go ahead, and we appreciate you all being here.

MS. REID: Thank you.

PRESENTATION FLORIDA SCHOOLS SAFETY PORTAL

MS. REID: Well, good afternoon, everyone. Thank you for having us. We appreciate the opportunity to be here today. My name is Shawna Reid. I'm the Bureau Chief within the Division of Technology and Innovation at the Florida Department of Education, and I'm joined today by Jaime Braun, who is General Counsel, as well within the Department, and today we'll be talking to you all about two tools that are

available to district threat assessment teams.

2.2

2.3

First is the social media monitoring tool, as well as the Florida School Safety Portal.

So we'll capture today some of how those components work. Jamie will provide information around the background, the laws, and the policies, while I'll also, I'll also provide technical point of reference. So we'll go ahead and get started.

MS. BRAUN: Good afternoon, everyone. As
Ms. Reid mentioned my name is Jamie Braun. I'm
the Assistant General Counsel with the
Department of Education. I'm here in place of
Andre Smith today. But as we heard in the, the
last session, the Department has met its August
1st deadline to provide a centralized
integrated data repository, and data analytics
resources to improve access to timely,
complete, and accurate information from --

CHAIR: Can you -- can you talk into microphone a little bit more, it's hard to hear.

MS. BRAUN: I'm sorry.

CHAIR: Harold, can you turn that up a little bit more?

Veritext Legal Solutions

1 MS. BRAUN: Will do. So I'll start over.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIR: It's all right, you're good.

MS. BRAUN: Essentially, to recap what I said a minute ago, is that in SB7030 and E01945 the Department of Education in coordination with FDLE was directed to provide a centralized integrated data repository, and data analytics resources, to improve access to information integrating data from a variety of sources listed in both the executive order and the statute, which includes social media posts, data from the Department of Children and Families, Department of Juvenile Justice, FDLE, local law enforcement, the mobile suspicious activity reporting tool known as FortifyFl, the suspicious, or the School Safety Incident Reporting data, known as SESIR, all of those things were required to be integrated into this repository.

So as Ms. Reid mentioned it has been renamed to the Florida Schools Safety Portal.

And that, along with a secondary too, the social media monitoring tool, are both now available as of August 1st for use by threat assessment teams statewide. And the purpose of

those tools is to assist with the identification and evaluation of threats, and to get individuals help when they need it.

So we do want to recognize that we did not meet this deadline alone. We had the help from a lot of other agencies, many of whom are represented here today. We had the Department of Juvenile Justice, Department of Children and Families, a lot of help from FDLE, in addition to our vendors, Five Point Solutions, which handles the Florida School Safety Portal, and NTD Data Services, which is the vendor for the social media monitoring tool.

So first -- first we're going to talk about the social media monitoring tool, which tends to generate the most questions, and Ms. Reid will talk about the technical aspects of it, and how it works, but in a nutshell, it provides real time monitoring of social media, and other platforms, and pushes alerts to threat assessment teams, or others designated by the district to receive that information if the district chooses to make use of the tool. They do not have to, but it is available, much like FortifyFl, if they want to use it.

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.4

Posts that are flagged as potentially, you know, threatening behavior, or signifying a mental health issue, are also available to be sourced within the Florida School Safety Portal.

MS. REID: Okay, so I'll elaborate on the social media monitoring tool. So there are a number of different features within the social media monitoring tool, but let's first talk about what the social media monitoring tool is actually doing. It's not monitoring individuals, it's monitoring content, so that's essentially what we're doing within the system, and that's how that system is configured. It's based off the content that is found on the web.

So I'll go into a little bit more around the details of how that's done in just a little bit, but let's talk about some of these key features. Ms. Braun mentioned that we have real time monitoring. They have monitoring three hundred sixty five days of the year, twenty four hours a day, seven days a week, so we are actively real time monitoring. There's also customized --

CHAIR: Okay, so -- I know we're short on

time, but let's be clear on that, because we 1 had this, I want to make sure everybody knows 3 this is not -- there's nobody sitting in Tallahassee sitting at a screen monitoring this 4 5 live, real time, 365. 6

MS. REID: Absolutely not.

So what it's doing is it's collecting information --

MS. REID: Sending --

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIR: It's collecting information through certain key words --

MS. REID: Key words.

CHAIR: -- that it's looking for, and then storing it. If a district chooses, okay, chooses to log on and look at the information, then it would see what was captured under the certain key words. So, again, because I -- it

MS. REID: You're -- you're absolutely correct.

CHAIR: -- it's so important that everybody have an understanding, because when you sit there and say this is live real time monitoring 24/7/365 that connotes there is somebody sitting there doing that, and that's

1 not the case.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

MS. REID: Absolutely not, so you're -you're completely correct. And so individuals
within the threat assessment team will have the
opportunity to be able to go into this, this
dashboard, to review the alerts that are
available. So it's two, two different items
that are available through the monitoring tool.
There is a dashboard where they can review the
alerts, or they will receive actual
notifications via email. So you are absolutely
correct, they will have the opportunity to
receive alerts. They are not actively
reviewing it every single day. They will
receive that information via the alert process.

CHAIR: And you could have a district that, that you guys are storing all kinds of information about Joe Smith in X County, and if they never log on and look at it they're never going to get it.

MS. REID: Correct. But they will receive a notification if they're a part of this particular social media monitoring tool.

CHAIR: Right. And that assumes again, and just again I'm just going to cut to it,

that assumes, because it's not identifying people, it's all key word searches, so --

MS. REID: Exactly.

2.2

2.3

CHAIR: So if somebody in X school district identifies as being, you know, BillyBob123, and, and a district has Bill Smith, is that there's no connection between BillyBob123 and Bill Smith because it's a moniker that's being used, and unless somebody connects that with the person --

MS. REID: Correct.

CHAIR: -- then it's not going to necessarily go to that district. And if they were -- let's say that they go to school in Broward County but they live in Palm Beach County, they log onto a social media platform as BillyBob123 --

MS. REID: Right.

CHAIR: -- that's never going to make it down here to Broward because they used it up in Palm Beach County.

MS. REID: Right. That's an -- that's a great point. And I think understanding the capabilities of these tools is hugely important, hugely important. So as you stated

the alerts are, are sent to you based on key words and geo location. So that is the crux, and that is the center of how this system works. And we'll talk about that in the next slide in more detail, but key words and geo fencing is huge for this tool.

There are notifications that are configured for the district, as well as at the school level, and we also receive notifications at the state level, and we'll talk about that as well. But this system is constantly expanding to sites, so we've provided in that middle, middle panel, the actual sites, or examples of some of those sites so you have an idea of the ones, the social media sites that are actively being captured. That's only a handful. We didn't provide all, but those are examples.

Outside of social media monitoring sites, or social media, it's also monitoring things like news sites, blogs, forums, discussion boards, so it's not limited to just social media content, it's all of the content on the web based on the key words and the geo location.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM SCHACHTER: Are we also using 8Chan, or 4Chan, that is multiple schools -- multiple mass murderers have used that platform. Is that also, get information from there?

MS. REID: No, we are not currently getting information from there.

COMM SCHACHTER: That's not good.

MS. REID: So next we'll speak to the keywords in the geo location, and talk to exactly how that works. So if you take a look there are keywords, there are subject areas, and the keywords within those subject areas. So there are five subject areas of keywords that are now looked at within this tool; gun; bomb; bullying; mental health, as well as general. So if they're not captured within the other four subject areas they will fall into the general column.

And so you have an opportunity to -there's up to eighteen hundred keywords that
are available within each of these categories,
so that is utilized as part of the tool's
monitoring. But it's also utilizing the
association with school related terms, so the
name of the school, perhaps the principal of

1 the school.

2.2

2.3

2.5

There's terms that should be associated with the school as well in order to do keyword searching, and the reason for that is if you look at these keywords, you can find millions of pieces of information if you just type in kill, or gun, or shoot, so they also associate it with actual school specific terms, in order to limit what type of content is coming back, because if you get a flood of information that's not as helpful as well as to not getting anything. So, we wanted to be able to provide a filtered amount of information so that you have content, or the threat assessment teams, that is actually useful and related to the school information that's available.

The second set of, of monitoring, is related to geo location, so it's taking those keywords, and it's also trying to find out are, is anyone saying those things within a specific location, and if they are it captures it within that tool. And so those are the two core functionalities of the tool.

CHAIR: And that geo location is based upon schools, right?

800-726-7007

1 MS. REID: Correct, the school's latitude and longitude. 3 CHAIR: Right, so if -- again, if a kid is somewhere in the area, within whatever the 4 5 fence is of Stoneman Douglas, but if they're 6 doing it from a place that is not close to a school, because they're home, or wherever it is 8 MS. REID: You're right, absolutely. 10 CHAIR: -- then it's just not going to be 11 captured because --12 MS. REID: It won't be captured un --13 CHAIR: -- because it's not close enough. 14 MS. REID: They won't capture it unless 15 they specifically say something about the 16 school. 17 CHAIR: Correct. So again, just 18 understanding the parameters, and, you know, I 19 know there's argument to be made we're 20 educating people about how to avoid this, but 21 it's also important that people not have false 2.2 expectations --23 MS. REID: Absolutely. 24 CHAIR: -- we have to manage the

expectations in what this is capable of doing

2.5

so they don't have those assumptions that this is some magic wand. It's not --

MS. REID: It's not.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIR: It's just another tool in the toolbox. Again, it doesn't require use.

You're going to have some districts that might use it, they may not use it.

MS. REID: Correct.

CHAIR: And it is acquiring information.

It's storing the information, that they then have to go in and do some analysis of.

MS. REID: Exactly. That's exactly it.

So here is some examples of what would actually be captured, versus what won't be captured. So it's exactly speaking to what you just mentioned. So if someone said I, I'm going to hurt someone, in this particular example it's at Westfield High, that would be captured, because they're saying some of the keywords, and they're associating it with school specific information. That would be captured.

If they also made a comment using one of the keywords within the geo-location, or the geo-fence of that school, that would be captured. However, if someone made a comment,

and said I would like to hurt someone, but there's no association with the school, and there's no location, that will not be captured.

Okay.

CHAIR: Commissioner Dodd, go ahead.

COMM DODD: So, you know, some of these threats will come up with, you know, WHS, or, you know --

MS. REID: That's a great point.

COMM DODD: So are we capture, does it capture an abbreviation?

MS. REID: Absolutely. So the vendor that we utilize to configure this tool, they work with the district, or the school, to provide nicknames, mascot names, logos. So they try to get any item of information that they can to make these, the keywords limited to school specific information. So they absolutely do capture that.

CHAIR: Again -- again though, depending upon the district, so if you're in Broward you've got two hundred and thirty schools, and then that would be incumbent upon the district being proactive with this, and aggressive with it --

25 | it -

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

MS. REID: Absolutely. 1 2. CHAIR: -- and getting with the vendor, and providing for all these schools, and all these different slangs, and monikers, and all 4 5 this information so it can be loaded, because if it's not in there it's useless. 6 7 MS. REID: Correct. CHAIR: So, again, it's just simply 8 9 another tool. This is -- this isn't magic. 10 MS. REID: Right. And I'm happy that you 11 did mention the slang, I'm sorry, because they 12 can also refine the tool if there's things that 13 are listed as keywords that aren't captured in 14 their particular local, they can also include 15 16 CHAIR: Right. And if the district 17 doesn't --18 MS. REID: -- if they're participating. CHAIR: And if the district doesn't do it 19 20 21 MS. REID: Correct. 2.2 CHAIR: -- it's never going to get there. 23 Go ahead, Mr. Schachter. 24 COMM SCHACHTER: Right, so if -- if we're

25

-- if we're convinced that this is a tool that

they should use, and you have invested a lot of time and energy in producing this, why don't we included this in part of the threat assessment process that they need to analyze this, or, you know, because we know districts are not going to do it unless they're forced to.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Something to look at down the road. Okay, go ahead.

MS. REID: And there are also associated notifications, so as you stated the districts aren't actively looking at these notifications every single day, they are receiving alerts. So if the vendor works with the, the district or the school, to configure the contacts that should be communicated with if there is an actual notification in the system, and so that information is sent. We have it currently configured at every minute, so if anything comes up with an alert they are then notified via email to whoever was specified on the threat assessment team.

Separately we also receive state notifications, so at the state level we receive all the notifications across the state. And that's important because it ties into the

Florida School Safety Portal, which we'll talk about in just a second. So at the state level we receive the notifications, and we essentially match against it, or query against it in order to utilize that information within the Florida School Safety Portal.

2.2

2.3

2.4

MS. BRAUN: So now we're going to move on to talking about the Florida School Safety Portal, which to remind everyone is the previously known as the Centralized Integrated Data Repository that was required by SB7030. And what it does, as Sheriff Gualtieri stated, it is just, it's one more tool in the tool box available to threat assessment teams, that gives them access to data from a variety of sources when they're doing their threat assessment.

It is not a crystal ball. It is not meant to just spit out information about, you know, who, who is going to be the next school shooter. It's not magic, it doesn't do that. What it -- what it does is it provides them access to these, a variety of data sources seen here on this slide.

So before we go into exactly what data is

in there I want to make sure that it's clear what the portal does, and what it does not do. So it does not store information. It does not allow users to download and save information. It does not track students. It is a tool that allows a brief look into certain data sets that are on the portal when certain conditions are met. So if there is a triggering event, such as a threat is made that generates the need to look at this information, then they can look at it.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So, in terms of what is in the portal we have information from the Department of Education, the Department of Children and Families, law enforcement agencies, including FDLE, Juvenile Justice, and local law enforcement, as well as the social media monitoring tool as we just heard, is all of the alerts from that system are fed into the portal.

And just to be clear, the SESIR information that is in the portal is the SESIR information that's collected by the Department of Education statewide, and it's searchable statewide. So if you have a student who has

arrived new in a district, and maybe you don't have all of their records, and you have to do a threat assessment on that student, you can search SESIR records statewide. The same goes for the FortifyFl information, that is searchable statewide through the Florida School Safety Portal.

We also have information from the Baker
Act Reporting Center, which is under the
umbrella of the Department of Children and
Families, and that shows whether or not a Baker
Act proceeding was initiated under the Baker
Act, or the Florida Mental Health Act. And
then we have a special law enforcement section
of the portal that has links to a variety of
law enforcement databases, which someone from
FDLE will explain in detail.

CHAIR: And I'm just going to stop you there, in the interest of time, because I just want -- let's just cut through this, okay? Is that I want to stress to you, and I've had discussions with them so they know what my feelings are about this, I want to stress to you that the Department of Education, and all the other stakeholders that were tasked with

2.2

2.3

2.4

doing this, have done the absolute best possible job they could with what they were asked to do, with what they were asked to accomplish.

What they were asked to accomplish is in essence the impossible. And what they were asked to do is, is to, and this thing, somebody, and I don't know who, somebody named this an Integrated Data Repository. This not an integrated data repository, and it is probably impossible to accomplish what the intention was, is to bring all this together in what would be called a unified query, so that you can in one place plug in a name, and it's going to give you this dashboard without having to go to all these other places.

So they have done everything that they were asked to do with what they had to work with, which is frankly not much, because think about this, this is what has been produced, is that you can query, and it's going to give you SESIR data. Do we really need to have a discussion about SESIR data, and what's in there, and what's not there? One, it only gets reported every three months, and so if you want

2.2

2.3

2.4

to find out if Joe Smith in the Miami-Dade
School District is, that remember for the last
year they reported zero batteries in Miami-Dade
County. So what good is that SESIR data? So
you can -- you can query SESIR data that's up
to three months old, four months old.

You can query FortifyFl. FortifyFl since its inception has only had a thousand tips, and you got all these other platforms out there that aren't being reported in this. And you can query, you can query the social media monitoring tool, which we just went through that can also be queried, that they're getting alerts on that has all this limited information in it. And, yes, you can get Baker Act information to determine if somebody, quote, has been Baker Acted, and that's it.

And so as ASAC Annie White is going to get up there when they're done and tell you about, is they have it up here about law enforcement information, there is no integration. You have -- all it is a checklist. Every single piece of law enforcement information that's in there is only state level information. It has nothing to do with the eight records management

2.2

2.3

2.4

systems here in Broward County, the four in

Pinellas County, the plethora in Orange County.

There's no local law enforcement information.

And when you're talking about the state information, is you have to log onto each and every database separately in order to get that information out of it, so it's not different than what we're going today. And I say that against the backdrop -- these people have done their job. They've done what they were asked to do, but what they've been asked to do can't be accomplished. And I don't want anybody walking away from here, because this is the problem we have, people walk away with false expectations, and assumptions about what is available when it is not.

So again, we're short on time. I don't have the patience this afternoon to, to do anything other than just get to it and say it, because you need, you all need to know what it is, and don't be walking away thinking that, oh, my God, we have this magic wand, because really it's not. So that's the way it is.

MS. BRAUN: Right. Sheriff, you're -you're completely correct that it is not a one-

2.2

2.4

stop shop where you can just query everything that could exist about the individual, whether it's a student or an adult, whoever it may be that you're doing a threat assessment on. You cannot get on this system and have a one-stop query, and get all the pieces of information in one step at your fingertips. That does not exist right now.

And it is important that the Sheriff brought up that locally available information, both in terms of local law enforcement records, locally available education data, mental health records that may be held by the school, those are often the first place that someone should go when doing a threat assessment, and they provide a wealth of information.

That being said, there is a lot of information in the portal that may be useful to threat assessment teams, and to address some of the privacy concerns that a lot of people have raised with respect to this system we want to make it clear that only users who are authorized to see various datasets can see that data. So if you do not have the proper permissions to see law enforcement data you

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

can't get there. If you don't have the proper permissions to see the Baker Act data you can't get there.

2.2

2.5

So the different roles that are on the threat assessment team, each of those people has to be --

CHAIR: So -- so as an example with this, law enforcement logging on wouldn't be able to see the Baker Act data.

MS. BRAUN: Correct. Correct. The Baker Act data is limited to the person on the threat assessment team who is serving in a mental health capacity.

CHAIR: Right. And so just like when, when Annie gets up there and talks about all the law enforcement data, nobody within DOE, nobody within the schools, nobody on, nobody other than law enforcement, that's got the right logons, can see the law enforcement data. So this is not an integration of anything, it's -- the -- the name is extremely misleading. Senator Book, go ahead.

SEN BOOK: I don't -- I don't want to belabor a point. I certainly don't want to make the Chair any more frustrated, but why --

why -- why are we doing it this way? Can we like --

CHAIR: Well, because somebody -- because somebody decided, and I don't know where it came from, I don't know the history. Somebody decided in SB7026 that -- and I do know it was very well intended --

> SEN BOOK: I agree.

CHAIR: Okay, and they -- they do -- is that -- decided that we needed -- and integrated data repository I don't think is the right terminology. I think the right terminology is, is a unified query, and there are ways that you can do that with a variety of different databases, because an integrated data repository assumes that you have the, one, legal authority, and the technological capability of taking the plethora of data sources out there, putting them in one place, and then doing a one-stop shopping.

It can't work that way because of legal issues, and because of technological issues, so what they said in SB7026 was by December 1st of last year, is that all of these state entities were required to stand this up. They couldn't

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

do it. I mean I said that last year. I said it last Spring. I said it last Summer, that it was impossible, and of course they didn't meet it.

And then the Governor earlier this year in this executive order, realizing it hadn't been done, directed them under the EO by August 1st to stand this up. So they did what they were told to do with what they had to work with, but it's in many respects an impossible task that cannot be accomplished, because there is no way to take all of these different sources -- and we're -- and I'll show you here in a minute -- and I'm not going to belabor it, but I'll show you here by example with what we're doing in Pinellas, is where this data is most available, and the richest data is available, is at the local level.

The richest data, the most voluminous data, the most current data, is not at the state level, it's at the local level, and there is no way they can create something, because you have four hundred law enforcement agencies across the state of Florida, and you have, not four hundred, but you have a considerable

2.2

2.3

2.4

number of different diverse records management systems.

2.2

2.3

So look here in Broward, because you have to, in order to see whether somebody has law enforcement contact in Broward you have to query eight different databases. I think what was being envisioned is to eliminate those silos, and to try and create something where there could be this one-stop shopping. And what they quickly found out is that what they were tasked to do is impossible.

SEN BOOK: Is it -- I know it's impossible, but is it impossible? And I know that sound ridiculous but --

CHAIR: I think it can be -- I think it can done -- no, well, I think -- I think to some degree it can be done better, but they've been under the gun to just, to get this out, and so they got it out, but again, SESIR data, useless, okay? Baker Act information, well, the same person that's on the threat assessment team now, they can go into the Baker Act system and get it.

This isn't doing anything, because they're -- so they're -- with all these

different components, even with the stuff that's here, the people who are using the, quote, I'll call it unified query, using this query within this integrated repository, is, is that if, if I were to go in and log onto it I could get the, I guess the FortifyFl stuff, I could get the SESIR stuff maybe, and I might not even be able to get the SESIR stuff, because that's DOE stuff, and it's probably FERPA stuff.

> MS. BRAUN: Well, anyone on the threat assessment team who meets the school officials exception that we talked about earlier --

> > Right, because you could, yes.

MS. BRAUN: -- can get the education --

Right. So -- so if I was not on -- if I wasn't the SRO, and I was not a school official, and on the threat assessment team, but I'm law enforcement, I can't go into this, I can't get the Baker Act stuff, I can't get the SESIR stuff. I don't even know if I can get the Fortify -- I guess I could get the FortifyFl stuff, and I could get the social media monitoring stuff, so I could get two of the four things that are there.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

SEN BOOK: Really to make this an effective piece would be to require the districts to put the stuff in it, right, like the information -- no, not even?

1

2.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR: No, because the districts -- so just here in Broward alone is, is that they have two different systems. They have the DMS system, and then they have an incident system. They have a disciplinary system and an incident. And I'll show you here in a few minutes, in Pinellas, the school system, the school district in Pinellas I believe has about three or four different databases, so if you're trying to do this with all the different districts, they all have their own variety of databases, like all the law enforcement agencies have databases, and, and trying to do this, to truly integrate it and so you'd have a one-stop shopping, I don't -- I don't -- I don't see -- I don't see how it can be done.

SEN BOOK: Impossible.

CHAIR: Probably -- probably, you know, is there an opportunity to build on this, and to make it better than what it is, I think so.

And I think that, that this is a starting place

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

for them, and I think they view it as a starting place, but, boy, there's a lot -- again, manage the expectations.

And this is where we run into problems, and we get into trouble, is people, their takeaway from this stuff is, oh, this is the greatest thing, and it's going to do all these things. And then they find out later on, well, it doesn't, and then people get frustrated and upset because they thought it did something they couldn't do. And that's what we want to try and avoid from the get go with this, is creating a false expectation. At least that's what I want to prevent, because I don't want to be sitting here six months from now having a huge three hour discussion about why it doesn't do what people thought it did.

MS. BRAUN: Right. So we do have a slide coming up that addresses kind of what's next for the portal. We do definitely view this as being, this is version 1.0, and we have, we're looking with our partner agencies to see what other data sources we could integrate into the system to make it better. We are looking at ways to improve the SESIR data, and we're

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

looking at ways to increase the frequency of the collection of SESIR data, which we'll talk about in a minute. And also we're looking at the threat assessment database workgroup, and what recommendations may come out of that that we heard about a little earlier.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But in the interest of time I think we're going to go let Ms. Reid explain how the system actually works.

MS. REID: So as the Sheriff stated this is essentially how the system works. There is one interface where users are able to access multiple data points, but it is hugely dependent on the role that they have within the system. So as explained, the threat assessment teams have expertise in every area, law enforcement, mental health, education, and so depending on their role that's what they'll be able to access.

So the education users will have access to SESIR data, they will have access to social media monitoring data, as well as the FortifyFl information. Law enforcement expertise on the threat assessment teams will have access to the FDLE law links, or enforcement links, and then

the mental health user will have access to the Baker Act data. Now, we are exploring the ability to have multiple roles, but it is all based on the expertise, and the credentials around the individuals on the threat assessment team.

So this is a glimpse at what it looks like if you log into the actual Florida School Safety Portal. This is a disclaimer, that's the very first thing that users will see when they go to enter the actual site. Every single person that logs in must complete this disclaimer in order to move forward. Without approval, or continuing, or enabling this particular link you cannot move forward. And it essentially outlines the parameters around the usage of the portal.

This is the landing page. It essentially is pretty straightforward. The tool works very easily. It has ease of access. We want for users to be able to maneuver pretty easily. So this is an example of the actual navigational menu. I've enlarged it so you have an idea of exactly what's being shown. So we have a search area that's available depending on your

2.2

2.3

2.4

role. We have law enforcement links, and then we have information on how to use the actual system. So there's FAQs, there's tutorials that are available within the actual portal. And that is an example of the navigational area.

2.2

2.3

2.4

This is an example of the search screen.

This is the primary area for searching for an individual within the system. You have the opportunity to enter multiple search parameters, whether it's the first name, the last name, the date of birth, and identifier or the location, and you will be able to enter the information and search for a particular individual.

Now, you need at least two of the search parameters to be able to complete a search in this system. For Baker Act you must also have the social security number. So there are parameters that can be left out, but the more information you provide the better quality search you will receive back on the students, or the individual that you were searching for.

On the right hand side of this particular screen you'll see that there are four data

sources, and there is an indicator of their connections, and it's in green currently, so it's just indicating the health of the actual connection. On the left -- on the right hand side there's the ability to search based on certain alerts, so if you query the data, or search the data, it will highlight -- for example, here it has two items that are highlighted, it's gun and knife, so if there's results that come back, anyone that uses the system can click on those alerts and it will filter the results based on those keywords.

On the bottom right there is orange tiles, and they essentially indicate how many records were found from each data source. So it's showing from SESIR how many records were located for a social media monitoring, as well as FortifyFl, and in this particular search there were no results back for Baker Act. And for the sake of this presentation we combined all the roles so you'd have the ability to see every aspect of the system.

You may not in a typical user setting be able to access every single data source that's shown.

2.2

2.4

CHAIR: Because you're not authorized to access it.

MS. BRAUN: Absolutely.

CHAIR: Right.

2.2

2.3

2.4

MS. BRAUN: At the bottom of the screen we have degrees of certainty. This is basically giving you an indicator of how confident are the degrees of certainty around the search based on the parameters you've entered. Those degrees of certainty are higher if you provide more information. The more pieces of information we have the higher the degrees of certainty around the student. And they have a score in front of each record to indicate the degree of certainty.

So as you can see there are four tiles here. Those are essentially the result sets, and you will have one for SESIR, FortifyFl, social media monitoring, as well as Baker Act. The data is across the state. It is not limited. If you log in as a particular county you are not only seeing that county's information, you are seeing that information across the state. And so this is an example of what the actual interface looks like if you

1 perform a search.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR: Okay, go ahead.

COMM POWERS: So on the -- when it tells you that you get hits, or how many records were found on the, that page, will it -- for example, if I'm a school official, and I have access to SESIR and social media, will it tell me if I were to search that there were also hits on FortifyFl and Baker Act data so that the appropriate --

MS. BRAUN: If you don't have access to them --

COMM POWERS: -- so then the other, the appropriate user could go in and search that data, on the threat assessment team?

MS. BRAUN: At this current time, no.

COMM POWERS: Okay.

CHAIR: And you had access to SESIR anyway, I mean the only -- so, you know, in fact you can get -- is that you had access to it anyway, so you wouldn't, you wouldn't even know, it's not even a pointer system, because you wouldn't even be able to know, well, okay, I did the search, but you're on the threat assessment team, and you have access to mental

health information, and we know there's Baker Acts, so go do it -- is everybody on the team has to do this because not everybody on the team has -- so everybody would need to do the query --

MS. BRAUN: To be able to determine if there's data.

CHAIR: And they're only getting back what they have access to.

MS. BRAUN: Correct.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

So you still -- everybody -- so --CHAIR: so why even do this, why not just go query your own systems that you're responsible for querying to begin with? Go ahead.

SEN BOOK: So one of the things, and I don't know enough about it, and I wish that Secretary Poppel was here, Watson, and IBM, and some of this like data analytic, predictive analytics, where you can just put in information and it pumps out kind of -- but that's not where we are. But -- right. Okay.

So I know you guys -- any other CHAIR: question -- I know -- and Annie -- Annie is going to take care of law enforcement side. Ι don't want you guys to miss your flight, so

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800 does anybody have any other questions? Because you're, you're, I take at the end. You're going to go into the law enforcement stuff now, so --

2.2

2.4

MS. BRAUN: Yes, this is the page that shows the law enforcement.

CHAIR: So it's up to you guys, I mean I just -- I don't want to -- I want to be respectful, I don't want you to miss your flight, so.

MS. BRAUN: Before we get to the law enforcement links the only other thing we'd like to share with the commission is what's next. I alluded to it a little bit earlier, but we are looking at improving the quality and availability of SESIR data through more frequent collections. As has been mentioned today it's currently collected three times a year, but we are considering moving that to a weekly collection, and we would appreciate any feedback on that.

We are also looking to work with our other state agencies as to what other data sources we could connect next to the system. We are looking to digitize the behavioral threat

assessment instrument, the CSTAG protocol that
we talked about earlier today, and, and get
summary data from that entered into the portal.
And there may additional recommendations from
the threat assessment workgroup that is
forthcoming.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And I think -- I think that's it really, on what's next, but --

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMM SCHACHTER: The -- the social media monitoring tool, are there any other states that use that?

MS. BRAUN: I am not aware of other school systems that are using them statewide. I'm not aware.

COMM SCHACHTER: I was just curious if they've had success, if they've been able to detect threats, or if other, you know, municipalities or school districts use this, and what their success rate has been, you know, understanding the parameters that the Sheriff went over, and the limitations.

MS. REID: They do -- they are being utilized by other entities, and they've had success, but I can't speak to exactly which

Veritext Legal Solutions

ones. But I have seen the information around 1 other entities that are utilizing the tool. 3 COMM SCHACHTER: Was -- was the instruction on how to use this, was that gone, 4 5 did they go over that in the tutorial, the trainings that we had all over the state? 6 7 MS. REID: No, it's a separate set of training that's provided to the districts, and 8 the schools that have asked to participate as 10 part of the social media monitoring tool. 11 COMM SCHACHTER: But when the threat 12 assessment team is doing the threat assessment 13 I guess they could search a student's name, or 14 if they had an Instagram, you know, user ID, 15 they could search that, right? 16 MS. REID: Well, within the portal it 17 would primarily be the name information. 18 COMM SCHACHTER: Oh, just names. 19 MS. REID: And with -- absolutely, yes, 20 the name. 21 COMM SCHACHTER: You know, a Twitter 2.2 handle, or anything like that? 23 MS. REID: Not currently. 2.4 COMM SCHACHTER: That's too bad. Thank

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

25

you very much.

305-376-8800

CHAIR: Commissioner Swearingen, go ahead.

COMM SWEARINGEN: One quick question. So

Chairman Gualtieri's point, you're not actually

monitoring anything, you're, you're basically scraping data, storing that data, and then allowing people to search against that data,

correct?

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MS. REID: Correct.

COMM SWEARINGEN: Okay.

CHAIR: You know, it will really -- do -do you have the ability to, I'm sure you do, to
determine what districts actually log on to the
social media monitoring tool and make queries,
and how often they do that?

MS. REID: Yes.

CHAIR: So that's probably something down the road we may ask you to come back and tell us, about the utilization rate of this.

MS. REID: Okay. Okay.

CHAIR: So I appreciate it. Annie, are you ready? Annie, are you ready? Go ahead.

MS. BRAUN: Thank you.

CHAIR: Okay, thank you for being here.

Appreciate it. Thank you. So Annie -- as they said this has a law enforcement component to

800-726-7007

it, and Annie is going to explain to us what that is. I think it ought to take of about thirty seconds, but --

MS. WHITE: Yeah, I think you've covered it. As soon as he gets the page up. So, again, as required by SB7030 and the statute we too were required to work with DOE on a repository of information. Obviously based on the credentialing of the individuals using that they're going to have different levels. I think most of our law enforcement here is familiar with CJNET, and it's basically a level of links that are available to CJNET users, which are law enforcement, criminal justice agencies, that have access to that. So we've worked that side of the portal, and just provided those links.

And again, like the Sheriff said, the richest source of data is at your local level. There's no way based on the different communities to get all of that data into one spot. One community may have faith based providers. I think Commissioner Carroll could probably talk on a lot of the resources that are out there in these smaller communities,

2.2

2.3

2.5

where we don't have large entities to provide resources. So there's, there's no way to put all of that in one spot.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So basically this is on the CJNET page.

It basically gives them a list of systems to start with. Again, we want to stress that this is not the only resources that a threat assessment team would use. They're going to need to know what's available at the, at their local level.

So just kind of going through the databases, we have southeast links, Florida regional links, and Finder. We have a lot of law enforcement agencies that participate in this. We can get to their RMS, CAD data, field interviews, trespass warnings, different things like that through, through these. Not everybody participates in this, but a large portion of our state does, so you can access this.

CHAIR: What would happen is, is that when they're on that portal, and they're in this integrated data repository, as it's called, and they would click on the law enforcement link there, it would take them to this page, and

then in this page is that if they want to look at any of that information they click on it, and as it takes you to that page, you enter our password, and your logon for that application, and then when you're done with that one you go to the next one, and if you have a logon and a password you log into that one, then you logout, then you go onto the next one, then you log in and you go to next one.

2.2

2.4

2.5

So this is -- and each one of those requires a totally separate query every time you do it.

MS. WHITE: That's correct.

CHAIR: So all this really is, is a list so that you don't forget to check certain things. You can do this a la carte, you can do it independently, but again, it's not integrating anything, it's just compiling a list of the things that are available for you to check if you have, if you have a logon and password for that application.

MS. WHITE: And proper credentialing, yes.

CHAIR: Right.

MS. WHITE: And -- and, you know, basically based on the restrictions from

federal and state law that's what we could do with what we had at this point. So those are the resources, and again, you click on the one, log in, and run your query of the person that you're looking at. So any questions? No.

2.2

2.4

CHAIR: Just -- will you bring me the clicker, the PowerPoint clicker? And just to -- and, Harold, just bring up that next one.

I'm just going to, to illustrate this for you, bring up the one that, on the -- the next one.

So this is -- and I'm not going to go through this, it's in your tabs here under data sharing, it's the one from the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office, and I'm just going to go it. Go to slide -- I'm just going to illustrate this. If you go to -- I'll click through it right now. So what we have one is, is that we have identified for threat assessment teams, in this pilot we're doing trying to comprehensively identify research, and not miss anything, there's three components, law enforcement, school administration, and mental health.

So on the law enforcement side in order to say that we're able to know everything there is

Veritext Legal Solutions

to know about a particular person, we've identified just in Pinellas County eighteen different databases that you have to query. The average SRO, the average cop on the street, in no way, shape, or form, is that deputy, or that officer going to have the knowledge, the logons, the passwords, the ability to do that type of analytical work, so what's going to happen in Pinellas is when a threat assessment team is convened the officer or deputy that's been notified to participate is going to send the name, date of birth, and other necessary information to an analyst in our intelligence led policing section, and that analyst is going to be responsible for doing the complete workup, to make sure that all of these minimal, minimum of eighteen different databases are checked, and then they're going to send a comprehensive report back to the officer, so when he or she sits down with the threat assessment team they've got a whole comprehensive package in front of them, because as I say, it's obvious, if they're making decisions, and they're making decisions not based upon the totality of the information that

should be available, then they're going to, there's an opportunity to miss something, and they're going make a bad decision.

The last thing we want to see is a threat assessment team making a decision, and they say they made a decision because of the information that was available to them, something bad happens forty eight hours later, and we find out, oh, well, there's this stack of information over here, but they never accessed it, and if they had known that they would have made a different decision.

This ensures that that's not going to happen, because we put a group of numerous people together to go through all possible flows of information so we know about every datapoint that is possible to check. So comprehensive background for law enforcement, they'll check these eighteen, minimal, minimally eighteen different systems from our records management system, all the other ones of law enforcement agencies in the county, whoops, links, criminal history, DJJ through JJIS, Insight through CJNET, social media, all of these — there's eighteen different ones.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Then after they do that the, I had 1 mentioned to you before about the schools so -again, we're still in law enforcement. 3 we're in the schools. So in order to check it 4 in the schools, Pinellas County schools, you have to check these four, FOCUS, the cumulative folders, the SWIMS database, and their mental health liaison, so the school person will check

all four of those.

And then for mental health -- these are all the places you have to check just in Pinellas County to be able to say that you have basic knowledge of any prior record regarding this person. And so the mental health people will be responsible for doing this comprehensive background, and checking all of those different databases for all those different facilities. And when it's all done, and I'm going to skip to the back here, we've created this form, and the threat assessment team will be responsible for, on the law enforcement side, the school administration side, on the student services, which is mental health, checking each one of those boxes for the databases that they queried, and then that

800-726-7007

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

will become part of the threat assessment team record.

So when it comes full circle on the back end, and a decision is made, and somebody comes forward and says what did you base your decision on, we'll know what is checked and what wasn't checked. So we're creating a record in accountability of knowledge that was available to the threat assessment team, and making sure that everything was queried.

So that's a very, very short, down and dirty, but hand in hand with what they're trying to do with this integrated repository -- again, that's not where the rich information is. And this is just in Pinellas, and it involves law enforcement, the schools, and mental health, and you can see how much it is necessary to check. And so, Senator Book, to try and do this in all sixty seven counties, and to have the State create something where all of this can be done in one integrated way, is not possible.

And -- and, you know, if you take a county -- if you take a place like Parkland, that sits on the Palm Beach border, is that

2.2

really to check, you've really got to check because you've got kids that are bouncing back across the border. You know, you have that, Sheriff, Sheriff Judd, in Polk County, between Orange and Osceola, and all the other ones that touch your county.

So this is what we're doing, and we're doing this as a pilot in Pinellas, and hopefully it could be a model for other places, but we're, we got this up and running, so.

SEN BOOK: Sixty seven different ones is better than nebulous.

CHAIR: Sure.

2.2

2.4

SEN BOOK: I mean -- because this is the right way.

CHAIR: Sure, and this is -- and this is where -- you know, everybody should be concerned on these threat assessment teams that unless you're doing something like this, and you have, everybody in your county has sat down and brainstormed this, and work flowed it, and identified all the potential sources, and you've come up with some way to checklist it so that the sources are not missed, then the threat assessment teams are going to be making

these assessments, and this analysis, potentially missing information.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And -- and unquestionably if you just ask an SRO who's part of a threat assessment team, or a law enforcement officer who is part of the threat assessment team, to bring what they have accessible to them to the table for that team, they're going to check probably just the records management system, maybe FCIC, NCIC, you're going to get a couple queries. You're not going to get a comprehensive search like this, it just isn't going to happen.

UND SHRF HAPRRING: Sheriff, where's the district on the releases and the mental health information?

CHAIR: What's that?

UND SHRF HAPRRING: What's the -- what's the district's position been, or their response to you about those releases? I note in your presentation it's, it's an as needed --

CHAIR: Yeah, we're working through it.

Yeah, we're working through all that, and, you know, we're working through it, but again, everybody realizes, you know, how important it is, and we're working through that so that

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

everybody can get access to that information.

Yeah, go ahead, Senator.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SEN BOOK: Does your district -- does your district do what you've done for the law enforcement side? Like --

CHAIR: So -- so the district -- and I met with the superintendent on it, and his staff, and he is fully supportive of this, and the, and the superintendent has endorsed this because he shares the same concern that I have, that we don't want any voids, and potential holes, and voids of information, so he is, they're, they're completely on board for this. And they are going to assign their people to check their data --

SEN BOOK: Their universe --

CHAIR: Correct.

SEN BOOK: -- to do the same exact thing that you've done for this side.

CHAIR: So law -- law enforcement will be responsible for the law enforcement universe. The school will be responsible for the school universe. And the mental health person will be responsible for that big mental health universe. And then the idea is they all sit at

the table, they've all got all their material, material in front of them, with, you know exactly what it is, nothing is missed, they can analyze it, and make an informed decision.

SEN BOOK: Got it.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

CHAIR: Okay. Yeah.

CHF NELSON: And I think that's just really, how you're doing it shows what a disaster it can be. Especially the way they're doing it in Broward, where when they do not have a designated SRO at that school they're just calling an agency, hey, can you send us an officer to come participate on this threat assessment team, that really has no idea what they're doing.

CHAIR: And -- and they have absolute void, and it is devoid of the information necessary to make the right decisions. Go ahead.

COMM SCHACHTER: This is the threat assessment team in Pinellas Sheriff's Office, not in the school, right?

CHAIR: Correct. We started this within the Pinellas Sheriff's Office.

COMM SCHACHTER: Right. Right. Right.

800-726-7007

CHAIR: But -- but the school superintendent, and I brought it to him, and he's fully supportive of it.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMM SCHACHTER: So if there's a threat coming from a student it would go to that threat assessment team, or --

CHAIR: Absolutely, yeah. And the way the process is set up is, is that any, any threat that comes in and involves a student, is it's going to involve this process and the team.

COMM SCHACHTER: I guess what I'm asking is does there need to be a separate threat assessment team at the school, and then a separate threat assessment team at, at, you know, it seems, it seems duplicative.

COMM SCHACHTER: Okay. Okay.

CHAIR: And but -- but when it -- when the information is needed it's one of our analysts that is going to put this together, and they just send the packet back to them.

COMM SCHACHTER: You're also pulling in all the, all the federal databases as well.

Veritext Legal Solutions

CHAIR: Oh, yeah, everything. Everything.

COMM SCHACHTER: Can a Fusion Center help

with this information?

2.2

2.3

2.5

CHAIR: No, it's not necessary for this, no. No, anyway. All right, we just wanted to touch on that, and I think that brings it kind of full circle as to where we were, and you've got all the, the material on that in your book. I'm not going to talk about it anymore than that.

So I think at this point if we want to,
Sheriff Judd, I think you have some, and if
Director Kelly wants to come back up you can,
if necessary. I'm not sure, Sheriff Judd, do
you want to go ahead with what you wanted to
talk about?

SHRF JUDD: As I requested at our last meeting there were many of the charter schools in some of the districts that were out of compliance with a state law that passed, 7026, and then subsequently that was cleaned up, and the 7030. The clean up was for the benefit of the charters, whose lawyers were obfuscating, and doing what lawyers do.

So they've had from the time that the law

passed until the start of school yesterday in Broward County to have an armed guardian, a police officer, or a deputy on the campus.

Make no mistake, with the information I released to you today, this is one school that yesterday and today did not have some sort of armed protection for the students on the campus. It is a school of five hundred and eighty students, so that five hundred and eighty students because they ignored 7026 had no one on campus to protect them last year, and they started the school this year with no one on campus.

And let me give you the rendition of what occurred. First and foremost, as I told Mr. Runcie this morning, if you show a leadership, if you talk to people, if you say, look, you got to do this, things change. He wouldn't name the school. He knew what it was. He's been apparently communicating between himself, and/or his office, and the charter school. But had he done what I did there would have been a school resource officer on the campus to protect the kids, and at this time today there is.

2.2

2.3

2.4

There wasn't until we had this discussion this morning, and I worked with the Colonel from the Sheriff's Office, who called the police chief, Chief Ingle, who was game on, as you would expect, with Broward Sheriff's Office, and there is now someone on the campus, they're going to be there today and tomorrow.

But let me tell you what happened.

2.

2.2

2.4

The Championship Academy of Distinction in Davie, Florida signed a sometimes contract last Friday before school started yesterday with the City police department. Now, the sometimes contract is my terminology. What they did is said, hey, we'd like to have off-duty deputies, or off-duty police officers, if they will, to come down here and work a special detail, and we have a guardian that we're now putting in training. Eighteen months later, two different sets of laws, ignored it all summer, but they're going to put a guardian in training now.

The problem is that's posted at the police department, and police officers have got to sign up. So no one has signed up to be on the campus today. No one signed up to be on the

campus tomorrow. The Chief checked the off-duty detail and found out that some of the days were covered next week, but not all of the days.

When this conversation happened this morning, and I said, Superintendent, tell us who it is, show leadership, he wouldn't do it. He sat silent. And I think that's indicative, that lack of action is indicative of what we've seen of the Broward school system. But it goes further than just the Superintendent, it goes to the school board as well, the elected officials.

Now, there are the parents of five hundred and eighty students of the Championship Academy that can now go to the principal, go to their supervisors, go to their elected school board members, and say why weren't my kids protected last year, because you were playing games with the lawyers, why did you start this year without anyone on campus, and was it an afterthought that you tried to get a guardian in training, and, oh, we'll start the guardian after the school year starts, and, heck, we'll go down to the local police department and say

2.2

2.3

2.4

if anybody wants to work a special detail you can come to the campus, otherwise we won't have an officer.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Right now, because of Chief Ingle, and because of the Colonel Zukowski, and because of this Commission there is a police officer on the campus. And the City made arrangements to do that. Let me take this a step further. The Colonel has now provided to the Chief a contract for services that they've used with some of the schools. The Chief said he's going to take that contract to the principal tomorrow. He's going to complete it, and say, hey, here's a contract for services so that there will a officer on the campus until such time as your guardian is eventually trained. And then they'll have a guardian on their campus, we're told.

Interestingly enough Damien Kelly, as you know, and we're very proud of his work, he left here after this morning meeting when the Superintendent wouldn't act. The Superintendent didn't even direct his deputies, maybe we ought to send somebody over there and see if we can get this ball rolling. The

Superintendent didn't say, you know, I think they're serious, and that Judd guy, wherever he's from, is going to do something. He did nothing, nothing.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Damien went there, talked to the principal, and said you're about to be named this afternoon. The principal looked at Damien and said I'll sign any contract. All it took was leadership. All it took was someone going there and saying, hey, you've got to do this. But to my surprise why didn't Superintendent send a deputy superintendent, or why didn't he get in his car and drive over to the school, or why didn't he work with some of the school resource administrators and get the job done.

What you saw this morning, his inaction, his failure to act, is only symbolic of what he's done throughout this entire event from the day the shooting happened, or maybe the five years before. So there's your sign. There's our sign, people of Broward County. Your school board has a superintendent that failed to act, but a Sheriff came down here as a part of this commission, and this commission agreed, obviously, to let me say this, or the Chair

Veritext Legal Solutions

would have said calm down, Grady, and when we acted today in about two hours there was a police officer on the campus protecting kids that didn't have any protection.

I think that's a snapshot of the incompetence, the rank incompetence that pervades the leadership of the Broward school system. Sense of urgency; it's not in their dictionary. Care, concern, real care, concern for the students in the Broward County school system, the kids at the Championship Academy of Distinction; I question that.

But let me underscore. Make no mistake about it, there are other charters in this county that only signed contracts within the last forty eight hours. We are working, and the Chair has asked for a copy of all of them. I intend for that to be there. The good news is if they've got someone on the campus they've got someone on the campus. But I think the parents of the students in those charters need to know that school started yesterday, and they were scrambling around in less than the last week, or less than the last month, trying to get someone on the campus, that they should

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

have been working on this for the past eighteen months.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Leadership works. I commend this commission. We've had to come down here and drive everything to get anything done here, and this is where the massacre occurred. The last groups of schools and people to try to come on board is where the horrible event occurred. I am shocked, I should say beyond words, but I've got words for everything.

But maybe what I'm really shocked about it how apparently the taxpayer, the parents of the students are sitting placidly by why they're not taking care of the kids in this county.

And for those of you, there are probably without a doubt some of the charters around the states that are skipping to the same tune, pay attention. The Chair, in his wisdom, said let's hold off, let's done put the twenty nine names out there, or the thirteen names out there, or the sixteen names out there, because, to quote him and this commission, we don't know if it's accurate or not, we can't get the information.

This information was supposed to be

provided to DOE. Damien Kelly had to come down here and physically go school to school to school to school, or call school to school to school, or his staff, to get what he got to do the report that he did. I highly suggest that the people of Broward County consider whether or not these people deserve their paycheck, because they're certainly not doing the job.

I'll quote my friend, the Commissioner from FDLE. The Sheriff was removed by the Governor, and certainly there are as many mistakes at the school system as there were at the Sheriff's Office surrounding that event, why is there a difference in treatment in the CEOs. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR: All right, thank you, Sheriff
Judd. So we've got two more sections to cover.

It's about 3:44. I'd suggest we take about a
five minute, maybe ten minute break. We'll

come back, wrap up those two sessions. Is
there anybody, anything else anybody has before
we take a break, anything? Yeah, Commissioner

Carroll, go ahead.

COMM CARROLL: And I don't -- I don't want to take away from anything Sheriff Judd said,

2.2

2.3

2.4

because I share his anger, frustration, and stuff, but I will say putting aside the fiasco with the charter schools, when I saw Director Kelly's report the other day, and I listened to your brief when we started this meeting.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I was actually pleased with the progress that the State has made in all the things that they put in place. And the shame of Broward not being able to put their act together, was this actually should have been a time when the headlines should have read look how much safer the schools are, and look how much has been done in the past eighteen months, and that was a missed opportunity because so much time has been focused on the information that we didn't even have, and when we're getting the information it's so disturbing still.

So -- because I don't want this all to be negative. There is much improvement statewide, the data shows that. The milestones that Sheriff Gualtieri went over before the meeting shows that, and so what's happening in this county should not be an indictment to the rest of the state, and shouldn't diminish the progress that many school districts have made

in making their schools safer. And so I -- I just don't want it to be all focused on the negative, because there's much positive here too.

2.2

2.3

CHAIR: I think you have a good point

Commissioner Carroll, and we shouldn't lose
sight of that. And there has been a lot done,
and there are a lot of districts, you know,
unfortunately I got some emails, and phone
calls and some text messages last night, that
for whatever reason -- and it just happens,
that some of the media around the state were
reporting my comments yesterday as being broad
based, and directed at more than just Broward
County, and my comments yesterday were directed
only at Broward County and those twenty nine,
and cause there has been a lot done.

And, you know, is it perfect, no, but nothing ever is, and there are a bunch of districts, as we have seen, especially I would say since the end of the school year, and towards the end of the school year, that have made significant progress, and have stepped up. And the few superintendents in particular that have really stepped up, so, you know, we can't

1 lose sight of that.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And I -- as you -- you all know I've been doing a lot of presentations around the state, and other places, on as I call it the Parkland Presentation, and it's about a four hour overview of training for law enforcement, and schools, and public safety personnel, and across the board, but one of the things I always stress in that presentation is, is that while we're here to learn, and how you learn is by, unfortunately, what went wrong in this case, is don't lose sight of the fact that on February 14, 2018 there were a lot of heroes too, and there's a lot of teachers, school administrators, firefighters, EMS personnel, and law enforcement officers, that did the right thing, and saved kids' lives, so, you know, you can't forget that.

And we can't forget today that as much as Broward is challenged, and there's challenges in other places, is that significant strides have been made, and there are people who truly care and are trying to make a difference, and, you know, through this commission's work, and others, as I said when we opened yesterday,

800-726-7007

that there's a lot of people that have contributed to that.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

So I think your point is well taken. Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMM SCHACHTER: Yeah. You know, I can't bring Alex back. I can't bring the seventeen, you know, beautiful angels back, but I, I agree with you. I think that we have done a lot of good work, but, you know, irrespective of the mess that's down here, and the lack of leadership, as I do travel the state I am blown away.

When I met with, you know, the superintendent of Marion County, and a school board member told me that, that she read all four hundred and fifty six pages, and I just couldn't believe it. I can't get the Broward County School Board members to, to read the commission report, or to watch our commission hearings, or to show up to our commission hearings. They didn't even know that the commission was meeting today. They didn't know the superintendent was going to be testifying for two hours.

And just to give you an example of what

real leadership is, the superintendent in, in Marion County, she closed the entire school district, and had all two thousand of her school employees show up at a presentation, a Parkland presentation, to talk about, you know, the lessons learned, and best practices developed, so it does give me hope.

2.2

2.4

CHAIR: All right. So why don't we just take -- I've got 3:49. Why don't we start again at 4:00. I think we can probably get through these next three -- actually we've got Director Register, his is pretty short, about ten minutes. Commissioner Swearingen. And then we'll finish up with the school hardening presentation by Sylvia Ifft. And I think we're probably on track to be done somewhere between 4:30 and 4:45, somewhere in there. So let's just take a quick ten minutes, we'll come back and wrap up.

(Thereupon, the meeting is in recess.)

CHAIR: We're going to have Director

Register, and then Commissioner Swearingen, and
then Sylvia will give us a brief overview on
the harm mitigation workgroup, a lot of that is
information we've seen before. And then we do

have, as I understand it we have nine citizen comment cards, so we'll hear from the citizens at the end of Sylvia's presentation.

So, Director Register, thanks for being here. You're up.

PRESENTATION FDLE UPDATE REGARDING SRO AND OTHER RELATED TRAINING

DIR REGISTER: Thank you, Sheriff. I was here last in September, and provided information regarding actions, either planned or proposed, by FDLE and/or the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission, and this afternoon I'll give you a very brief update on where we are, and what we presented last time we were here.

We advised that we had conducted a job task analysis of the SRO position. We announced that the duties of an SRO, as was found out in the JTA, were vastly different than what they were before the events of February 14th. We also talked about the, we needed to review the existing SRO course that had been a longstanding course that we'd been using for years, between the Attorney General and the Criminal Justice Standards and Training

2.2

2.3

2.4

Commission.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

We discussed issue from 7026 dealing with crisis intervention training, which is required from SROs, trying to figure out how we're going to deal, and to assist our sheriff's offices, and others needing to meet that requirement.

And lastly, we discussed a proposal to create a single officer response to active shooter program.

What we've done since that time, the revised forty hour SRO course was taken to the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission in May of this year. The Commission approved that new forty hour course. What you see on the slide are the nine lessons that are contained within that. Based on the job task analysis we were able to remove some things from the old course, and place much more emphasis on security and emergency manage within that forty hour course some things, topics that were taught previously, we were able to combine them, things like, well, one, teaching techniques, you know, teaching a SRO proper instructional techniques, and so forth. That pretty much come out, there's other venues

800-726-7007

for that. Also, when it comes to drug, game, cybercrimes, we were able to combine that into a current trends within the course. So I say that to say we were able to, to keep it at forty hours. Records indicate that over two hundred and fifty SROs have been trained under this new course since it was adopted in May.

Next the CIT course, 7026 required that SROs attend CIT. Two things. One, it was supposed to be based on a national model, but the second part of that was it would deal specifically with juveniles. That did cause some concerns between, for our departments trying to deal with that. If you look at the national model, and everybody talks about, we all talk about, and respect the Memphis model of crisis intervention team training, and so forth, but there was not anything pre-packaged dealing, and specifically CIT, dealing with, with juveniles, that we felt we could use, so what we did, we put together workgroups.

We dealt with the Department of Education, the Attorney General's Office. We dealt with the South Florida School, University of South Florida School of Psychology, and pulled

2.2

2.3

2.4

together workgroup to try and determine what we could put together for our Florida agencies to meet this need. Additionally, we went out and we researched numerous CIT programs specific to juveniles. Examples of the programs that we looked at come out of Connecticut, Texas, Colorado, Utah, Kansas, and other states. The result of that is a twenty four hour course specific to SROs.

2.2

2.3

2.4

This is not intended to replace the forty hour crisis intervention team training that many of our sheriff's offices and police departments rely on. This is -- the reason why it can be twenty four is that it is twenty four hours, and it is specific to SROs, and some of the things that you would get in the forty hour course were able to be removed from that. So far the feedback, that also went to the commission very recently, and so far the feedback is positive.

Next we have the sixteen hour single officer response to active shooter program. We reported in September that what we found were several departments, a lot of the departments were doing active shooter training. There was

a wide variation between the amount of active shooter training that departments were doing, and to the extent that they were doing it, but -- and we started getting models from, from different departments, and the varied vastly, so what we did was put together a group of high liability instructors, along with our curriculum development staff, and we come up with a sixteen hour baseline course.

This course so far has been very well received, and actually I would like to show a very brief clip of that training.

CHAIR: Sure. Sure.

2.2

2.3

2.4

(Thereupon, a video clip is played in the open meeting.)

DIR REGISTER: Thank you, Harold. But that gives you an idea of the, the different components of the training. I will tell you this is the most aggressive high liability training that the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission has adopted so far. I think, and the commission agrees, that we're on the right track with this type of training. And the commission also agrees that this should not be a one, a one time thing.

We're -- we're committed, as far as FDLE, and our high liability instructors, along with our curriculum development, to see what the next step is that we need to continue very similar training supported by the commission, so I think you will see additional training similar to this coming in the future.

FDLE high liability trainers have been traveling the state since June. As a matter of fact today I believe we're in Tampa running this two day course. We're conducting train the trainer courses, is what we're doing right now. Approximately fourteen train the trainers have been held since June, and over a hundred and fifty instructors have been certified to now carry this back and teach it.

Also with that, I know a lot of sheriff's departments, or several sheriff's departments, they're, they are certainly requiring it for all of their deputies. They've got the ability to change the scenarios. While the, the setting, and the scenarios that we're running are somewhat school based, school related, because we're running it in the summer. There is a leeway for sheriff's departments and

2.2

2.3

2.4

police departments to amend that, change the scenarios, and make them relevant to any type of active shooter situation. So far we've gotten good feedback on, on that course.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The next one, that, that is simply just with the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission. We have packaged all three courses. An academy can run those courses separate, the forty hour SRO course, they can then run the CIT, and then run the active shooter, or they can package them in an eighty hour course and run them all together. And of course that is a salary incentive course.

Then moving onto a few other things that, that we've been doing in reference to 7026 and 7030, RPOs, we have already updated the basic recruit training program, it's in their textbook currently that come out in July, at a very basic level of dealing, recognizing situations pertaining to RPOs, and awareness level, to know that you need to go, that officer on the street needs to take it a step further.

We are in the process of putting together

workgroups to develop a specialized, or advanced course dealing with RPS, and we intend to have that course ready by the end of the year.

Lastly, our Florida Accreditation

Commission has taken a couple of steps as it relates to 7026, or 7030. We have long time had a standard dealing with two-way radio communication. We took that standard, and however, it was an optional standard, that standard was changed to be mandatory for two-way communication.

The commission also adopted a standard dealing with risk protection orders, requiring agencies to have policies, procedures, and so forth, dealing with RPOs. Then also in October they adopted a standard dealing with the guardian program. If such program is established the agency is required to have policies and procedures relating to how they manage that program. A couple I think are of interest for, that I've heard talked about with those commissions, one is mandatory vest wear. That is not -- mandatory wear is still, is not required under accreditation standards

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

1 currently.

2.2

2.5

Also active shooter training and/or policy are two more that their, their standard review and interpretation committee have been discussing these, and I would anticipate possibly some movement in those two areas with accreditation also.

That was quick, but that was just an update of what told you were doing back in September, and sort of where we are today. And I'd welcome any questions.

CHAIR: Anybody -- Commissioner Dodd, go ahead.

COMM DODD: Thank you very much. The behavioral threat assessment training, that's covered somewhere in that forty hour class, do you know, in the, for school resource officers, do they hit that on current trends, or --

DIR REGISTER: The -- no, that's going to be a separate training. That is not in the forty hours.

COMM DODD: Okay. Okay.

DIR REGISTER: And I think we're going to talk about the threat assessment overall in the statewide strategy a little bit more, but no,

Veritext Legal Solutions

sir, that is not in the forty hours.

COMM DODD: Because these -- these -- this is the basic forty hour class that new SROs are going to, so they're obviously aware that they're going to be involved with the threat assessment process I would, I would assume that, but that's not covered at all in the forty hours?

DIR REGISTER: No, it's not. Let me -let me get back with you on that. I will
confirm. I've got the curriculum behind me,
but let me -- there's --

CHAIR: One of the things that would be very difficult on that is, is because the processes are not consistent across the state, so the different districts have different processes. Now, with the new instrument the DOE just rolled out on August 1st, is that there's a process that goes with the instrument, but the districts are free to modify that. They have to use the instrument, which means they should use the process, but I can tell you that in the different districts the process -- so I don't know how they would, you know, really engage -- I mean you could

2.2

2.3

2.4

maybe on some basic core concepts, but I mean there's some very stark differences in the behavioral threat assessment processes. And maybe down the road we'll have consistency, but like, they're very different.

Anybody else have any questions for Director Register? That training looks great, that single officer response training, you all did a good job with that.

DIR REGISTER: Thank you.

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMM SCHACHTER: The only question I have is do you, you know, when you start the training do you have any victim impact statements? I'm using the wrong terminology, but it's someone that, you know, has been affected by this horrible, these horrible tragedies, and why, the question of, you know, why they're there, I think would be powerful.

DIR REGISTER: And -- and you're talking about the single officer response training?

COMM SCHACHTER: Yeah, for SROs.

DIR REGISTER: And I'll -- I'll tell you one thing that we do have. We don't have actual victim impacts coming in, and not to say

that that cannot be integrated into it, but I'll tell you we have some of the instructors who are, who are leading that charge, who have actually been in situations, I mean that's real live experience. They -- they were able to relay what they have been involved in with different shootings, and so forth. So that's as close as we've come on that, nobody from the outside, but I can tell you the instructors we have leading it have actually been involved in, in situations of, of shootings and so forth.

COMM SCHACHTER: I would recommend it. I think it's extremely -- I think it would, it would be impactful, and, you know, it would make a huge impression, and, you know, leave everybody knowing why they're there, it's not just a job, they're there to make sure to save the children. And to have family members that are, have, you know, made the ultimate sacrifice --

DIR REGISTER: Yes, sir. Thank you.

CHAIR: All right, thanks for being here. We appreciate it. Next -- Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHRF JUDD: While -- while we're changing

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.2

2.3

2.4

I just want to take this moment to tell you that Ryan Petty is, as far as I know the first, and the only honorary guardian in the State of Florida. He went through our guardian training. He come out number one in academics, and number one in firearms. So he didn't just squeak by. He did a -- he did remarkable work, and I wanted to publicly congratulate him for not only talking the talk but walking the talk, because he told me, he said I want to go through the guardian training, I want to make sure it's intense enough, and it's serious enough, so that I know that these guardians can protect students.

And his -- his statement to me after it was over is it was tougher than I thought, and I feel very comfortable with the guardian training that we do in the state of Florida.

And with that, thank you.

COMM PETTY: Thank you, Sheriff. And thank you for the opportunity. I -- I did want to make sure that the guardian training was robust, and they, my battered and bruised body after several days was a testament to the fact that it is very thorough. The -- the video we

2.2

2.3

saw, some of those scenarios I recognized. And it was a great opportunity to do that. I went through with -- we started the course with six, six teachers actually, and one, one of them failed, failed to qualify on firearms, and had to leave the course, so there were, there were no exceptions made for, you either passed or you didn't.

2.

2.2

And those teachers in fact became my heroes, because I got to go home, they're going to go to school and, and heaven forbid something happens, those teachers are going to run towards that danger and, and be there to stop it. And so those teachers that I went through that class with, that course with, are my heroes.

COMM SCHACHTER: Sheriff, can I --

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter, go ahead, yeah.

COMM SCHACHTER: You know, with all the misinformation that there is out there about the guardian program I would love to, you know, hear from Commissioner Petty about, you know, what you did in that program, and just, just so people understand, especially from your point of view, you know, the public is worried, they

want to make sure that that, that guardian is going to be able to protect their child even though they're not an SRO.

COMM PETTY: I'd be happy to talk about it at some point. I'll have to be careful about what, what I disclose, because there's some training in there that we don't want everybody to understand, for obvious reasons, but I'd be happy to -- what I can tell you is all those teachers that I went through with can, can effectively shoot a firearm, and protect it while they're in school. And I was -- I was impressed.

CHAIR: Okay, Commissioner Swearingen, you're up.

COMM SWEARINGEN:

Congratulations, Commissioner Petty. I think speak for most of my law enforcement partners here, we're all hiring. It would probably be a pay cut for you, but I'll be glad to get you an application.

Thank you.

COMM PETTY: If it come with Advil then I might be able to.

PRESENTATION UNIFIED STATEWIDE THREAT ASSESSMENT
STRATEGY

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.2

2.4

So, thank you, Chair. COMM SWEARINGEN: Ι want to -- I want to talk briefly. I'm going to try and do this in ten minutes or less. want to talk to you a little about Florida's threat assessment strategy. As you know in February of this year Governor DeSantis directed the Florida Department of Law Enforcement to develop a statewide strategy for identifying and managing threats of targeted violence. He further directed FDLE to begin the process of developing appropriate training through the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission for front line officers, and higher level training for more senior officers, to fully execute threat assessment strategies throughout Florida.

As far as the strategy, Florida will be the first state in the nation to take such a comprehensive approach to this problem. And this is said to us by the experts, we are the first state in the nation to be trying to do this, so it shows how forward leaning as a state, and I think a lot of that is based on the work of this commission, how forward leaning we are.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

While a few states have behavioral threat assessment and management programs in schools, none have attempted to implement a statewide strategy to address all forms of targeted violence. The FBI did a study that looked at all of the incidents of targeted violence from the early 80's up to, I think the report was published in 2013, and about fifty to fifty five percent of those incidents occurred in places of business. Only about twenty five percent of those occurred in schools.

I realize that's why we're here now, is because one of those happened in schools, but if you think just about what happened here in Florida, you think about Pulse, the Fort Lauderdale Airport shooting, the deputies that were shot in Gilchrist County, the yoga studio in Tallahassee, the Jacksonville Landing, and here at MSD, that we've had our share of these types of incidents, and again, look at how many of those were in a place of business and somewhere other than a school, so that's why I think the focus on a statewide strategy to address all incidents of targeted violence regardless of the location that the murder

2.2

2.3

2.4

happens to choose is, is important.

I want to say this is not going to be a quick and easy task. If people thought that we were going to be able to do this in six months, that's not going to be possible. Much of what we're being asked to be -- must of what we're being asked to do has not been done, and a lot of the things that we're asking to create don't exist anywhere else.

Some of the issues that we dealt with, or we're going to deal with initially, we had to obtain buy in and consensus among the various law enforcement entities across the state as to the value of behavioral threat assessment management. I may use the term BTM, that's interchangeably. These will be local instances, or local events, so it's important that local law enforcement, and our partners, have buy in and consensus in, in the way we adopt this statewide model.

Develop -- developing BTM best practices, again, which currently do not exist, to ensure consistency in the way that programs that are implemented, and the way cases are worked. I think that's going to be important, with the

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

conversations we just had about schools, if they don't operate in a consistent manner, and do things the same way, I don't see that being successful.

Developing standardized training related to BTM to again ensure consistency in the way these cases are worked, and to avoid unnecessary legal challenges. Developing and implementing information sharing protocols to ensure timely notification regarding threat subjects who may move from one jurisdiction to another, and identifying and addressing any legislative issues related to needed statutory or administrative rule changes.

Based on the Governor's directive FDLE reached out to our local law enforcement partners through the Florida Police Chiefs Association, and the Florida Sheriff's Association, to enlist their input. Again, these are going to be local events. A threat assessment strategy steering group was established consisting with representatives from FDLE, three from the FPCA, three from the FSA, and the Florida Attorney General's Office. The group met initially on August 4th at FDLE

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

headquarters in Tallahassee, and the agenda for that meeting included an overview of behavior threat assessment management, presentations by the sheriffs and chiefs present on their current threat assessment initiatives, a round table discussion by the sheriffs and chiefs of targeted violence events.

Chief Perry was there, and talked about the incident at Strozier Library. Sheriff Schultz was there, and talked about the incident in Gilchrist County. And Sheriff Blackman was there to talk about the shooting at the Sebring SunTrust Bank.

Also included a discussion of potential state and federal legislation needed to assist in preventing incidents of targeted violence, including risk protection orders, which I would argue have been very successful in this state. We also talked about some federal legislation that will assist state and local agencies in implementing some of these programs. You heard earlier, we talked earlier about the Eagles Act. Another one is the Taps Act, which will actually provide federal funding to state and local law enforcement agencies that want to

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

start a threat assessment program.

We also had a discussion on a framework for Florida's strategy, including topics such as using the regional domestic security task forces to assist local agencies in conducting threat assessments, using existing Fusion

Center network to disseminate information and intelligence related to potential threats, and the many disparate law enforcement records management systems we discussed earlier, and its impact on information sharing, establishing consistent training courses through the

Criminal Justice Standards and Training

Commission for those that decide to take up a

BTM program, and establishing best practices and policies and procedures for BTM units.

On June 11th and 12th we had our second meeting in Orlando. We had over sixty representatives from state and local law enforcement agencies, as well as members of the strategy steering group. The presenters included four members of the Association of Threat Assessment Professionals, each of whom was an internationally recognized expert in the field of threat assessment.

2.3

2.4

1 2

3

5 6

9

8

11 12

10

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

2.4

The agenda for this meeting included the history of threat assessment, concept in threat assessment and how to manage someone away from violence, threat assessment in schools and other domains, and we discussed some case studies, community engagement, key partners in threat assessment, training requirements, conducting threat assessment investigations, how to build a threat assessment program, presentation by the supervisor of the Los Angeles Police Department's Behavioral Threat Assessment Unit, which has been conducting these types of investigations since the early 80's, and then a panel of Florida agencies currently conducting threat assessments regarding their current operational structure.

Sheriff Gualtieri had a representative there. There was a representative from Palm Beach County who currently does, so we had several local agencies, Miami-Dade was there, that have some form of threat assessment unit operationally now.

The next steering group meeting is scheduled for September 26th in Ocala, and current topics on the agenda include the roles

of the Fusion Centers, the RDSTFs and the domestic security oversight counsel, and information and intelligence sharing, potential use of the FCIC violent persons file to document threat subjects, documentation of incident reports and threat assessments so that they are searchable, and potential BTM task force models.

The goal of this meeting is to craft the portion of the strategy that will guide how BTM units operate in Florida, how they share information within the criminal justice community, how threat assessment and incident reports are maintained with agencies so that they are accessible and searchable by law enforcement, and ensure officers encountering subjects of threat assessments can be immediately aware of these facts.

You talk about training, no other state has developed a comprehensive training approach to behavioral threat assessment management. A second threat assessment strategy training group consisting of five subject matter experts, from sheriff's offices and police departments, as well as representatives from

800-726-7007

2.3

2.4

FDLE's professionalism division, in conjunction with the CJSTC, was established to develop three training courses for law enforcement officers.

Basic recruits course to teach officers to understand behavioral threat assessment management, and most importantly to recognize the indicators of someone on the pathway to violence. A forty hour course designed for investigators and detectives who may be assigned to a behavior threat assessment management unit, and a course to educate law enforcement executives on the value of behavioral threat assessment manage, and provide them guidance on how to establish a program in their department.

I want to say, and Sheriff Gualtieri pointed this out earlier, there are three pillars of behavioral threat assessment management. They are identify, assess, and manage. We have to identify these people. We have to identify. By the time they've made a threat we're already behind the curve, so that's why the first pillar, identification.

Some of the issues that we're going to

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

have consider, information sharing. The existence of many disparate RMS systems may hinder information, intelligence sharing, related to threat subjects. Currently these systems can be searched utilizing linked software, but without common and consistent vocabulary terms valuable information and intelligence could be missed.

2.3

2.4

The FCIC violent persons file that I mentioned earlier, we want to establish requirements to allow threat subjects to be entered into an FCIC violent persons file.

This would provide law enforcement officers visibility on potential violent persons, or threat subjects, that they will come in contact with in their daily duties.

There are social media issues. We talked earlier about some of the social media monitoring tools. Social media companies, and this will come as no surprise to my law enforcement partners, are often non-cooperative with law enforcement, and many companies are attempting to adopt encryption, and what they call self-blinding features on their platforms. Self-blinding features, even if

law enforcement serves them with legal process asking for data it would allow them to tell us, sorry, we can't do that, we don't even have access to get into that.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

As a result law enforcement agencies across the country are spending millions of dollars on technology to gain access to what should be legally obtained evidence. content of communications on these sites allows law enforcement to evaluate threats, prove intent, and exonerate the innocent. Due to the current attitude among these companies much of this valuable evidence is beyond the reach of law enforcement. This will likely require federal legislation similar to the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act that passed in the early 90's which required telecommunications carriers to modify and design their equipment, their facilities, and their services, to ensure they had built-in capabilities to assist law enforcement agencies in criminal investigations.

The FBI has recently asked for a social media alerting tool, and the state needs to pursue similar capabilities. We should not

leave that solely in the hands of our federal partners. BTM task forces, we know that many jurisdictions will not have the resources to create their own threat assessment management units, so regional task forces may be an option. It's important that the entire state have coverage with threat assessment teams, so a resource sharing task force model is likely the best solution.

The footprint, and multi-disciplinary composition of our current RDSTFs may provide the operational structure necessary for establishing these task forces, as this is a long term commitment, and requires the units to staffed full time with investigators, analysts, psychologists, attorneys, this will be expensive to implement and maintain, and funding from the legislature for these task forces and training is critical.

Lastly, public messaging. A wide reaching public messaging campaign on how to recognize, and when to report behaviors that indicate someone could be on the pathway to violence is crucial to success of the prevention based approach. I think I've made it very clear, and

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

I think most of us here on this commission

agree that the way we get ahead of this problem

is threat assessment, and I'm one hundred

percent behind this.

2.2

I look forward to the, the next six or eight months as we continue down this process, and I welcome any advice, any help that anyone has on this, how we can accomplish this goal. Thank you.

CHAIR: All right. Thank you,

Commissioner Swearingen. Mr. Schachter, go
ahead.

COMM SCHACHTER: Sheriff, you spoke about this earlier. It's about identifying these concerning behaviors, and it's not just the teachers, it's educating the public, educating parents, educating citizens, you know, statewide there is, you know, eighty percent of the time leakage, so I would love to see a plan on how we go about tackling that, because we need to educate the parents on red flags.

You know if we, if we look at the, the murderer of, of Alex, you know, he mutilated animals, you know, he was suicidal, homicidal, and as I, as I travel across this state, when I

was in Marion County their threat assessment team partners with the, the fire service, because, you know, these murderers, another red flag is if they're starting fires, so I think obviously the collaborative approach, you know, works.

CHAIR: All right. Finally to wrap it up for the afternoon we have, Sylvia Ifft is back and Sylvia is going to briefly go over the school hardening and harm mitigation report that they were required to submit. And this is that preliminary report, because the workgroup — and she'll touch on that, comes together, and they have to have a report in by August 2020.

A lot of this I believe we've seen, so I just ask Sylvia to kind of give you the highlights of it, because we do have fourteen citizen comment cards, so I want to get to the citizen comments, but we definitely want to just hear the overview. I don't think you need to get into the weeds of it because a lot of this they've seen before, but we need to know just generally what the report contains. So if you'd enlighten us that would be great. Thank

2.2

2.3

2.4

you.

2.2

2.3

2.4

PRESENTATION SCHOOL HARDENING AND HARM MITIGATION WORKGROUP RECOMMENDATIONS

MS. IFFT: Okay. Yes, thank you. And I will keep it very brief. So we all know about the executive order, which is why we were tasked to come up with this report. And this is the report, and you all have received a copy of it, and hopefully you've had an opportunity to look through it. As the Chair has said here's really no new information to you all. At this point you are all subject matter experts in most of the areas outlined in this document.

A couple things I did want to point out you'll notice in the document that we lead with this quote from the commission. The report was built off of the information that was provided, and this commission's initial report.

I do want to say that when we put the report together it was a, the outline that we used was from of course the initial report, but also this quote right here that emphasizes the core of effective school safety is prevention, not just school hardening but early

800-726-7007

intervention as well. And also that harm mitigation in school safety means identifying the threat and immediately notifying others, ensuring there's an effective response systems in place to stop the threat as soon as possible, and ensuring the recovery measures are in place. And that's the basic outline that this report follows.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I'll talk a little bit about the workgroup in just a few minutes, but I do want to highlight that when were initially assembling the workgroup members back in the Spring there was a lot of discussion on some specific focus areas that they wanted to look at, and of course there was a lot of interests around intervention and incident management, so that's a few of charges that the workgroup will be taking a look at.

You'll notice as you go through the document that there is, we did implement that tiering approach, so regarding the methodology of the tiering system, basically what we did is we came up with a common sense approach for districts to basically use a blueprint to gauge and further develop the maturity level of the

safety and security program. Upon reviewing several models we found a few common threads, and that's what you see here. And we do want to emphasize, and we've emphasized in the document, that no security program is ever complete. Threats and technologies constantly evolve, so should the security program. So once it's institutionalized it should be continuously measured, evaluated, and updated.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So you all have the slides, and again this is all information that you all have hashed over the past few days, as well as, you know, over the past year, so I won't really go into that. I do want to point out one thing about we, we emphasize exclusive zones and non-exclusive zones, so this graphic that you see here, it's an older graphic from an older FEMA school design guideline, but I feel like that it is the, the best representation of what perimeter exclusive zones and non-exclusive zones are.

So there's a lot of talk about the perimeter controls, where we start, looking at those non- exclusive zones, which is going to include your semi-public spaces, your parking

areas, possibly your ballfields, recreation areas. So when we're talking about exclusive zone and non-exclusive zones in the document this is the area that we're referring to.

So unless you have specific questions on anything I'm going to skip ahead, because I know that we've got a lot of things to do, you know, to get closed up here. So you all know about the charge of the workgroup. The workgroup is going to basically pick up where our office has left off with producing this document. We have to have this document, as the Sheriff said, by August 1, 2020. And then the Executive Director, Director Kelly will submit this to the Commissioner of Education, who is going to review it, and ten provide a summary report to the Governor and the Legislature.

So who's on the workgroup, this is not an all inclusive list, but we do have buy in from of course this commission. Mr. Schachter is on this group as well, and we look forward to his participation as we start having meetings later on this Fall, and I'll talk about that in just a moment. DEM is very interested, Division of

2.2

2.3

2.4

Emergency Management, and I've had several meetings with them, and they're very keen on providing some input to this group. Our educational facilities, our transportation folks, as well as Department of Homeland Security, all have buy in this, so we're real excited to kick off our meetings here in the coming months.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I mentioned earlier the focus areas that that preliminary group was looking at putting together. Initially when we were assembling our workgroup it was a lot of school safety specialists. You had talked yesterday about having buy-in on the drills, so we have a lot of school safety specialists that are, are chiming on this. If they're not on the workgroup they're at least providing information to us as well, and we have some local law enforcement on the group in addition.

So our engagement plan over the next few months, between now and the time the reports are due, so between Fall of 2019 and Spring of 2020 we're looking at having meetings around the state. We're going to vary our locations. We want to go urban areas. We want to go to

rural areas. We want to do some school tours. We want to look at schools that have really robust security programs in place, and see what they have going on.

2.2

2.3

2.4

We also want to look at some of those schools that are maybe older schools that have, are very challenging in implementing some of these measures, so we went to interview the school administrators, interview the schools, take a look at those schools with the challenges, and see what this workgroup needs to come up with, both in terms of best practices for school safety, but also the cost considerations, and if there's any building code items that need to be addressed as well.

And I did that pretty quickly, so -
CHAIR: That's great. It's excellent,

yeah --

MS. IFFT: So if you have questions I'm happy to entertain those.

CHAIR: Anybody have any questions for Sylvia on the path forward, on the workgroup?

Okay. And I know that if anybody here has recommendations they can bring them forward, and we'll get them to you, as far as things

look, Mr. Schachter representing the commission on that workgroup, so --

MS. IFFT: Yeah, we look forward to your participation, Mr. Schachter. I'm going to hang around for a little while afterwards, so if any of the commission members want to speak with me one on one afterwards I'm happy to, to talk to you today.

CHAIR: Thank you very much, Sylvia. We appreciate it.

MS. IFFT: All right, thank you.

CHAIR: So we're going to begin with public comment. We've got -- go ahead.

COMM SCHACHTER: Before we do that, Chair, you had mentioned you wanted recommendations on, you know, next steps, areas that you --

CHAIR: But we don't have time. What I'm

-- what I'm going to do, I was going to cover

it after the public comment, but I'll cover it

now, is, is that what I'm going to ask you to

do is, is to look at the PowerPoint that I used

on yesterday, it feels like several days ago,

but that I used yesterday, and look at the back

of that PowerPoint, and what we're looking for

from you are recommendations that you'd like to

800-726-7007

2.2

see this commission make in the next report, primarily focused on any legislative action that we don't already have listed in that PowerPoint.

And if you would write those up, give them some thought, and then send them to Jennifer Miller at FDLE by close of business next Friday August 23rd. We're going to start working on the report immediately, and we'll use what we have in the PowerPoint I presented to you as the starting place, but if there are any specific topics that you see that we need to cover in the report that we don't already have listed please tell us what you think should be in there.

And again, on any of these topics, that if you have any specific recommendations that we haven't already laid out in there, please tell us what your thoughts are, and then we will include those in. Then the plan would be, is to get a draft report out to you.

> SHRF ASHLEY: Mr. Chair -- Mr. Chair --

CHAIR: Yeah, go ahead, Sheriff.

SHRF ASHLEY: Can you identify which

PowerPoint you're referring to, I'm not clear?

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: So it's the PowerPoint that I did yesterday morning to open it up, the where we've been, where we are, where we're going, in that PowerPoint that laid out all the accomplishments. And then on the very last pages of that have, it's titled, the slide, next report, and then it has recommendations in there, and the topics that were going to for sure cover in the report.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So if anybody, again, has any ideas as to topics that are not listed that you think we should cover in the next report please tell us, and if you have any recommendations that you think should be made in the report please tell us. And again, I'd like you to really focus on anything that you think should be addressed by the legislature.

And then the plan will be, is we'll get those all incorporated into a draft report, depending upon how long it takes us to do that, then we'll get a draft report out, out to you, with the eye toward using one of the days probably. I think we can do it in one day, but we'll have to be fluent about that, depending upon what the report looks like, to use one of

those days that we have identified for the October meeting since most everybody already has those dates blocked on their calendar, so it would be ideal to use one of those already established October dates.

If for some reason we can't do it with one of those October dates, because we don't get it done, then we'll have to look for an alternative date, but I don't think we should wait until December, which is the next dates we have blocked, which we're not going to meet in December, but because we really need to get this done, and submitted to the legislature, because committee week is already starting in September, and again, they start in January. So that's kind of the patch.

So I think that's probably the better approach, Mr. Schachter, since we got about probably forty minutes here of citizen comment. Okay. And I'll just cover that now. I was going to cover it at the end. Anybody have any concerns about that as the course of action? Okay.

All right, Tony Montalto, first citizen comment. And I'm just going to ask everybody

2.2

2.3

2.4

today if you would please keep it to three minutes, or we're going to limit it to three minutes. Since we have so many comment cards we'll hold it to a firm three minutes. Mr. Montalto, you are recognized.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

MR. MONTALTO: Thank you. Thanks for all your, all the work you guys have put in to continue to make Florida schools safe. There's been a lot of ground covered in the last two days, and I would like to make a comment on a few items. First, regarding future meetings, because of the quick turn year for the state legislature this year, and a little bit longer break next time, I suggest the commission hold the meeting during the upcoming legislative session so this body can help guide recommended legislation through the process as it evolves.

Next I would like to point out that yesterday we all heard from the current Broward County Sheriff Gregory Tony. We saw the distinct change in that organization with its new leader, a man dedicated to making all citizens in Broward safer. The change in leadership has been a step forward for the

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

entire county, thank you, Governor DeSantis.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

I would also like to thank this body for pressing Superintendent Runcie for answers.

While some meaningful changes have been made to protect Broward students and teachers today we saw Mr. Runchie, Runcie continue his ineffective leadership of the Broward County School District. He was continually evasive when asked direct questions. Not the first time that's happened before this commission.

He made quite a few disingenuous remarks regarding what has been done in the past eighteen months. As some of you expressed, the time it has taken for the district to investigate its personnel for their actions on the day of and leading up to the tragedy that took the life of my beautiful daughter Gina, and sixteen other wonderful souls, has been quite frankly unbelievable. I can tell you the support for the victim's families has been minimal at best. And I can assure you this kind of performance would not cut it in the private sector.

As for the Broward County School Board, I say they should look carefully as how their

leadership is reflected in the superintendent they continue to support. Next August we will have an election for the Broward County School Board. I encourage all citizens to look at the status quo and decide if they are happy with that leadership, or lack thereof.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The depth of testimony before this commission has been impressive. It was said earlier, and I would like to reiterate the point, that you are, what you are recommending here is shaping school security for the nation. As demonstrated by Sheriff Gualtieri, Commissioner Schachter, and members of Stand With Parkland testifying before the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs.

I look forward to your next report, and ask that you please continue your good work.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Montalto. Next up, Diane Weber.

MS. WEBER: Good afternoon. My name is
Diane Weber. I live in Parkland, Florida, been
here about twenty seven years. My daughter
just started her senior year at MSD. She was
also in the 1200 building, in the second floor

on that very sad day.

2.2

2.4

But first I just wanted to thank you guys, and girls, and women, for all that you've done for us. For the last year and a half I've come to as many meetings as I can, and I do feel at peace when I'm here, because I think we all kind of feel like you do.

Second, I've kind of lost track, but does anyone here know how many SROs are at MSD today? Does anybody know that? Max, do you know? Is it more than it was when just Scott Peterson was there? It's three?

COMM SCHACHTER: Two or three. I'm not sure if it's three.

MS. WEBER: Okay. And I also just wanted to know do you all of you feel that my daughter is safer than she was on 2/14/18? I hope so. She's going to graduate early, because she's still very hallow when she goes to school and has to see that building. She went from a straight A student to C or B, because she's just kind of sad, but overall she's, she's doing well.

Third, I just wanted to say I'm appalled, and had tears in my eyes when I heard how much,

how many charter schools chose politics,
lawyers, budget bullshit over their innocent
kids and hardworking teacher's safety as their
priority. I just kind of wonder are those
principals, or the head of those schools, do
the live in tents or something? How do -- how
do they not go to work every day and make that
their priority? I just -- I just don't
understand that.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And fourth, I'm not a fan of Mr. Runcie, and his lack of urgency, but I just wanted to say as Broward County keeps growing, and become very multi-cultured, has anyone ever thought maybe dividing up the county and having two superintendents, because he clearly just can't get it done, but he does have a fan club. That's just a thought.

Thank you again for all you do.

CHAIR: Okay, thank you. Next is Donna Lane.

MS. LANE: Good afternoon. I'm here on behalf of NAMI of Broward County. NAMI is the National Alliance on Mental Illness, for those few that are not familiar. I just want to let every one know, especially those that are

unaware, that we offer a plethora of programs and support groups for families and their loved ones living and affected by mental illness in Broward County. And we're also a national organization.

No charge to participants. Two of my favorite programs, Family to Family, which empowers families to meet the many challenges of mental illness. I am such a, a family member, and I also have facilitated that group. And a new emerging program that I'm extremely proud of is called Ending the Silence, and that is a one hour, approximately one hour program that was written by high school students for high school students, and it teaches students to recognize the red flags of mental illness and suicide, and what to do should, should they see those signs in themselves or others.

Also I just want to step back a little bit and remind all of us here that lack of access to treatment is a real impediment to moving forward, and remind us that Florida ranks forty ninth in the nation for mental health funding, and particularly very mediocre scores for children's access to mental health care.

2.2

2.3

2.4

We have many, many counties in the state of Florida that lack even one psychiatrist. Our psychiatrists are aging out. Now they're, I think it was like forty eight percent of our psychiatrists are sixty years or older. The one that my child went to, a great psychiatrist, he's now retiring. So I hope that this is -- I'm hopeful, and I hope that this, these meetings can kind of flip the switch to what we have, which is a reactive crisis driven extremely costly system of care, to a proactive system of care, which requires funding. Thank you for your consideration.

CHAIR: Okay, thank you. Next, Jack Brewer.

MR. BREWER: Hello, I want to first off thank all the law enforcement officers, and everyone who has taken their time to be on this committee. I'm a Parkland resident for the last five years. I'm a member of the Broward County Sheriff's Association. I'm a National Spokesman for the Police Athletic Leagues, former NFL player, and I really give a damn about my community.

I moved my family here because of the

safety of the community. I work tirelessly in the community. I just got back from a central Florida prison today, where I try to work on the inmates to stop the recidivism crisis that we have in the state of Florida. But my neighbors are hurting, my town is hurting. My babysitter of my child was in that building when it was shot up.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And so at some point, you know, as a community we got to come together and stop pointing fingers. I can't -- I'm not -- I don't support everything that, that Mr. Runcie does. I don't support everything that the police department does. But I am a man of truth, I'm a man of realism, and the fact that we don't talk about equality in this conversation -- we talk about trying to figure out why this tragedy happened. The tragedy happened because we don't treat everyone the same.

I got a black nineteen year old son, and I can tell you right now that if my son had the police called him forty five times, if I had forty five police, times police officers coming to my house, my black son would have been put

in jail. We don't talk about that though.

This tragedy should have never happened. If

that boy would have been a black kid he would

have been arrested.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And so we got to do some soul searching as people, as a community, and let's get to the roof of the problem. Let's stop pointing at guns, and gun laws, and this law, and that law, and let's get back to treating people equal. I thank you for your time, and God bless you.

CHAIR: The next is Gregg Havass.

MR. HAVASS: Good afternoon, everyone.

Thank you for all you do, it's more appreciated than you know. My name is Gregg Havass. I conduct something called the Top of the Middle Program. It's a social, emotional, and academic program that I originally created for my own son about eleven years ago, and I've conducted for approximately three thousand Broward County students, mostly in Title I schools.

I'm very worried that everyone is underestimating the problem with PTSD in our schools. I would bet every penny I have that thousands of students attending Title I schools

in this County alone have PTSD. A lot of them were born into it. You know what goes on in Title I schools, like I guess I don't need to tell you that. I really don't differentiate their PTSD from the MSD students' PTSD. One kid was born into it, the other one had it descend upon them in one day. It's all the same to me, they are mostly down and out.

members I saw recently in the paper said that many, or most of the kids were on their way to getting help. I find that to be just the opposite. I'm in touch with a lot of teachers, MSD and otherwise, as well as a number of parents who are MSD parents, and they're wringing their hands about what to do. The help is just not there, and specifically the help that's coming from these psychologists really isn't hitting the mark.

I was subject to incredible abuse as a child. I almost took my own life in the kitchen of my home at the age of twelve. I know what they're going through. I actually am one of them, I'm just a much older version of it. It took me twenty eight years to unwind my

2.2

PTSD. About that time I started as a Broward County School volunteer. I'm going into my seventeenth year now, and the ninth year of conducting this program in Broward County schools.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Since February 14, 2018 I've been lied to, I've been avoided, I've been put on the outside of the people helping them, which is ironic considering I know Runcie personally, and half of that board, and they know exactly what I do. I'm no stranger to them, and I didn't just walk into this movie halfway through.

One of the school board members a few weeks ago told me when I, when I brought this up at a meeting, they said, well, you're not a psychologist, and I, I found a, a great paradox in that, in that I've worked successfully with three thousand students. I have -- my program has been -- I have testimonies from numerous principals, teachers, guidance counselors, and over a thousand students, yet over ninety percent of all of the psychologists they sent to help these kids did absolutely nothing, and the kids sank further into despair.

I'm not saying that there's not good

psychologists out there, but the overwhelming majority of them did not have experience treating kids with PTSD, they had, they never had it themselves to get over it, and really know what it feels like, and that's the part that's the most important thing that everyone is missing apparently.

2.2

2.3

2.4

A kid with PTSD, I was one of them, cannot relate to most psychologists.

CHAIR: Sir, you have ten seconds left.

MR. HAVASS: Okay. From a clinical standpoint, they really need someone who has been there and done that. I think that's why the program has been so successful. I'll remain here afterward for anyone, anyone who needs my services. I don't care if it's individuals, family, teachers, whatever, anyone that I can partner with, I'm here to help you. I don't charge a dime for it. Thank you, and God bless our students.

CHAIR: Next up is Guymael Cesaire.

MR. CESAIRE: Good afternoon. My name is Cesar. I am a member of Power Youth Center for Social Change, and I believe there should be more mental health professionals than police

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

officers in our schools, for the simple fact that students are committing suicide, and the dropout rates are beginning to, are actually continuing to escalate.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Increasing the number of police officers and arming teachers is not the answer. The decision to arm teachers sends the message that schools are no longer safe for education. With that -- with that I am asking for one each mental health professional, such as therapists, psychologists, social workers, and guidance counselors.

As a result of adding more mental health professionals students will feel more comfortable in your school environments, and can lead to breaking the distrust between student and faculty, which then could lead students to feel more comfortable seeking help for themselves and others. I am worried that this commission doesn't actually represent minorities. As we can see there are no folks of color, nor young folks, as in the decisions that you're making impacts me and the folks in my community the most. Thank you.

CHAIR: Next is Rafael Cheverea.

305-376-8800

MR. CHEVEREA: Good afternoon. My name is Rafael. I'm here as a youth member of Power Youth Center for Social Change. I believe schools operating under surveillance state are not safe, such as an increase of student stress and anxiety, which they just lead to increase misbehavior, interpersonal conflict, and disengagement.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Another reason I believe schools operating under a surveillance state is no safe is because racial injustice, with more students of color treated as threats. Adding more armed officers, and arming teachers, doesn't actually get to the root cause of the problems that students are facing.

It is also clear to Power Youth that this committee doesn't represent the minority. As we can see this committee doesn't have no folks of color. The decision that you all make impacts the committee, and the folks. Thank you.

CHAIR: The next is Dina Walker.

MS. WALKER: Good afternoon, everyone.

It's Keno Walker, and I am a youth organizer in

District 2, or School Board District 2, and I

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 am here to talk about the fact that it is, it is crazy that there is more armed police officers than mental health workers within that district, right? It is sad. The community is already having a hard time dealing with police officers. To flood schools with police officers is a tragedy.

With the -- with the fact that you guys are also targeting the division program that is reducing the school to prison pipeline is also unproductive. This -- this commission doesn't have any folks of color, don't have any young folks, and with that it creates the racial disparity, or diversity, it has a lack of racial disparity, right?

With -- with that being said, black and brown students are highly targeted with some of the decisions that you guys are making.

Students are actually ending up in prison.

Students are actually killing themselves because you guys are choosing to arm police officers, arm teachers, instead of actually having mental health, and thinking about what is the root causes of what's really going on, right?

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

I think one other person mentioned before 1 is the folks of color are actually being 3 targeted on an every day basis within that community, so when young folks come to their 4 5 schools and get the same treatment it's actually unproductive, and it actually starts 6 to help, hurt. I'm here to ask about, to just get more mental health services within our 8 9 schools, thinking about some of the, some of 10 the things that the young folks mentioned in

> We need those folks because to, to fix harm you need to know what happened. It is not -- it is not about who you prevent crime. not about how you, you throw people in jail. It's not about none of those things, it's actually how do you figure out how to change the climate in general, and transform healthy, healthy relationships with teachers, students, parents, and officers. Thank you guys.

priors, that like we need therapists, we need

psychologists, we need social workers.

CHAIR: Next is Mira Pope.

MS. POPE: Hello, my name is Mira. youth leader at Power Youth Center for Social Change, and I am also a rising senior at Miami

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

Northwestern Senior High School located in District 2. I'm here to talk about the lack of mental health professionals and rise of police officers in our schools. I am representation of where I come from. I myself have had to battle with depression and anxiety, and there was no one to turn to.

I've had family and friends victim of police brutality, so I cringe at the sight of police officers out on the streets, and in other public areas. They don't make me feel safe. How do you think I feel having to go to school and see their faces; it's intimidating, and not comforting. That's not what safety looks like for me, or feels like. And a lot of other students in my communities can agree with me.

This commission lacks racial disparities, and yet as you may know your decisions affect the schools in my communities. When making big decisions like this do you take in consideration of how minority students are affected, or feel? There are more than two hundred and fifty thousand students without mental health professionals. What about those

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

students, the students like me? We need more certified mental health professionals inside of our schools, and not more armed police. Thank you.

CHAIR: Kevin Bolling.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

MR. BOLLING: Good afternoon. Thank you for letting me speak. I came here, and I spoke to Sheriff Grady Judd the last time during the law enforcement response, and I was kind of a little upset when I left. I'm going to read something, but before I do I want you guys to know I really do appreciate what you're doing. I could care less what color you are, as long as you're looking after the kids that we're going after.

Let me start off with a quote from Martin Luther King. Our lives begin at the end of the day, when we become silent, when things that matter. It -- it -- I have to do this. For the last sixteen months I have listened to the commission justify and crucify a single deputy in Broward County. The commission has ignored and disregarded the actual truth that I have found on Peterson's action.

I have watched BSO personnel speak to this

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

comm, and slant and distort what I believe to be the truth is. I don't think they did it intentionally, but I don't think anybody working for Sheriff Scott Israel was going to come here and give you the truth as far as they know it. I think Joe Biden said it the best, do you want the truth or facts, and that's kind of what happened here.

I apologize, I'm not a very good public speaker. Does the commission believe that the -- the final report, I read every page of it that you wrote to the, to the Governor. Some of the evidence that was in your report was not put into your conclusion. One of the -- one of the things was, that the commission and the Sheriff's Office said, is that Scott Peterson never got on the radio and called a code red. There are two people in your report, plus Scott Peterson told the homicide investigators that he called a code red immediately. There are two people in your report that came in here and testified before you, and said they heard Scott Peterson call the code red.

The security monitor, and if you want to go back and look at your notes, Anna Ramos and

2.2

2.3

2.4

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

Veritext Legal Solutions

Assistant Vice Principal Jeff Morford, and Peterson's homicide testimony when he talked to homicide. There are people that I've spoken to at Marjory Douglas High School that state that they have not been interviewed by anybody. I have a hard time believing that, but you may not have been able to talk to them.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

The commission I believe concealed the fact that Peterson called a code red.

CHAIR: You've got ten seconds.

MR. BOILING: Okay. Again, all I can say is that mine, what I've looked at, I'm a deputy sheriff, I was a deputy sheriff for thirty six years in Broward County. I retired three years ago because of medical issues. My --

CHAIR: Okay, sir. Thank you. Appreciate your time. Next is Bacardi Jackson.

MS. JACKSON: Thank you. I'm Bacardi
Jackson, Managing Attorney, and Senior
Supervising Attorney for Children's Rights for
the State of Florida for the Southern Poverty
Law Center, and I'm a mother of three school
aged children who attend Broward County public
schools.

Last school year there was threat made

against my son's middle school. Parents were notified, security was heightened, and law enforcement was called. The scene, which included a large number of officers, marked police cars, and even helicopters, resembled an occupied war zone. I had to make a decision about whether or not to send my son to school. I listened to, and consulted with him, and ultimately we decided we he would stay home.

Our decision was not based on a fear that the threat would be actualized, rather other deep seated fears and concerns drove our decision. While we recognize how horrific school shootings are, and that statistics of their rarity are of no comfort for a parent who has lost a child, on the whole we know that our public schools are, and have been the safest places our children can be.

According to a study cited in a 2018
Washington Post Article since 1999 a child in
our nation has a 1 in 614 million chance of
being killed by a gun in a public school. Less
than one percent of child homicides in our
nation occurs on school campuses. In sharp
contrast, each of my black sons has a 1 in

2.2

2.3

2.4

1,000 chance of being shot in their lifetimes by a well-trained, and likely well meaning, sworn law enforcement officer.

I, like many black parents know, I know, find no comfort in seeing armed personnel on our school campuses. Our boys, who live a totally different daily reality than I would venture to guess most of yours, and are necessarily well versed in the risk they face, don't feel more safe when they have interact with police, because in fact they are not.

Even more than being at risk of being shot and killed as guns are being proliferated on our, in our schools by overzealous implementation of evidence free laws, they are also now as a result of your recommendations at even greater risk of having their privacy, liberty, and civil rights violated. Black students represent approximately fifteen percent of the nationwide public school enrollment, but were a third of law enforcement referrals in 2015 and '16.

In Miami-Dade alone in 2015/16 black youth were just over twenty percent of the student population, but over half of the school

arrests. And students with disabilities represent only twelve percent of the national public school enrollment, but twenty eight percent of law enforcement referrals, and seventy one percent of students subject to physical restraints.

So as you deliberate, and contemplate school safety I ask that you do so for all of Florida's children. I ask that you constantly ask and answer the question with each one of your proposal who's safety, and who will be less safe. I ask that you consider the likely unintended consequences of creating environments that foster deep distrust between students and staff.

Ironically students can now be targeted as threats if they have been bullied based on protective characteristics, or even if they have sought mental health services, and there has been no inquiry about the accessible of guns, an issue survivors of Parkland have raised but that has been ignored. I also ask that you recognize --

CHAIR: You got ten seconds.

MS. JACKSON: -- and seek to, to resolve,

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.2

2.3

2.4

and seek to remedy the serious limitations of a
commission that is sorely under represented in
terms of people of color, mental health
professionals, current educators, and most
importantly students -CHAIR: All right, thank you, ma'am.
MS. JACKSON: -- and that you seek to
correct the bias --

CHAIR: Next. Next.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. JACKSON: -- when you have presentations where more than one third of your presenters are law enforcement. Thank you.

CHAIR: The next speaker is Ora Tanner.

MS. TANNER: Hello. Thank you for allowing me this opportunity to speak. My name is Ora Tanner. I come to you today as a parent of three students who went through the public schools in Hillsborough County. I myself am now a graduate student getting a PhD at University of South Florida, but I'm also a former educator in the public schools, so I want to -- I had some concerns about the Florida School Safety Portal.

Veritext Legal Solutions

It is a high profile and technically

complex project that touches many sources of

innovation in the area of technological school safety initiatives, however, there are technical, ethical, and safety, privacy, data governance and bias issues that must first be addressed if it is to succeed, and I was glad for the clarification that you made, that it actually is not a centralized database.

2.2

I ask of the commission, and the database workgroup today, is that as you move forward with the development of the safety portal that you adopt in whole, or in part, recommendations from a proposed operational plan that was drafted as part of a technology policy project that I worked on this summer during a fellowship in Silicon Valley.

This operational plan was created through my research on technological school safety initiatives, specifically data driven ones like the Florida School Safety Portal. I created this plan in collaboration with experts in the field of integrated data systems, machine learning, data science, systems bias, security, privacy, and my own background in technology, design, bias and technological systems, data

analytics, and educational measurement.

2.2

I created it as an effort to support
evidence based policy making around technology
and school safety, and where all stakeholders
work together. Even in its current state, as
it was described today, I still have concerns
about the transparency, accountability, and
fairness of the safety portal. Research does
not support a data driven school safety
intervention using integrated data for
monitoring and policing purposes.

Also there are bias issues that many aspects throughout, such as in the datasets themselves. Public datasets are already highly correlated with race and socioeconomic status. Algorithms and decision making models have often been found to be biased in these systems, and even when people are only observing the data there is observation bias if the threat assessment teams or not trained, or pre-assessed for bias, it can enter that way as well.

So in closing I would like to reiterate that just ensure the success of using technology for school safety purposes, like the

1	Florida Schools Safety Portal, there must be
2	input not only for policymakers and law
3	enforcement, but also technical experts,
4	students, parents, families, those communities
5	that will disproportionately impacted,
6	educators, and other stakeholders working
7	together as a community.
8	If you are interested I can make the
9	operational plan available to you. I hope
10	there is a way I can work with, or be of
11	assistance to this commission in the future as
12	you move forward with using technology for
13	school safety purposes. Thank you.
14	CHAIR: The last public comment is Michael
15	Sirbola.
16	COMM SCHACHTER: Mr. Chair, to the last
17	speaker, since I'm on that workgroup I'd be
18	happy, if you'll stay until the end I'd be
19	happy to, to talk with you.
20	CHAIR: Okay.
21	MR. SIRBOLA: Yes, hello. And thank you
22	for this opportunity. In regard to local and
23	county- wide data sharing we must educate each
2.4	other and not seek to punish Mrs Lauren

Book well knows it is not about asking what

happened but why, not what data or records is, or isn't, or wasn't being shared, not what happened with SROs, police, school authorities, monitors, safety portals, hardening, arming guardians, alarms, safety training, but why did these things happen or not happen.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Here is why. Still unreported by our local Pulitzer prize winning newspaper reporters at the Sun-Sentinel, three key powerful groups are why. Two of these groups see public schools as monopolies to be broken, along with unions, and believe they had a solution, to charterize (phonetic) public schools and bust unions. To charterize the public schools both externally and internally, externally by creating trimeric (phonetic) combination school systems comprised of both independent charter schools plus public schools, all in one system, and internally by creating wholly independent schools run by autonomous principals within public schools.

This phrase caused a small riot when I first used it, and now all three groups brag of it. The billionaire Eli Broad Foundation, Broad Foundation, and Republican party created

and backed the Broad Academy for

Superintendents where Mr. Runcie and most of
the biggest district superintendents have been
trained, supposedly to save public education,
supported by all sides, republicans and
democrats, by charterizing them, complicit with
democrats and the left to create a superior
alternative trimetric blend to satisfy all
sides, and optimize laissez-faire free market
competition as a solution to public ed's, in
their eyes, core weakness.

A majority of the two hundred and fifty schools reported zero bullying, and kept sparse records at best. They were all autonomously run, and independent. Evolution leads to minimums, not maximums, okay? It's an incorrect view of how evaluation works, and science, okay? Laissez-faire doesn't work without oversight and rules. What happened was there was no recordkeeping at the local areas. Stuff was kept in principals' desks, or SROs are nowhere. Often when the district seems obstructionist it's not that they're being obstructionist, it's not that they aren't, they are, but because they simply didn't have the

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

records when they went to look for them. 1 2. They're now trying to centralize it, but here's the key thing, it's happening 3 nationwide. This is going to happen again --4 5 CHATR: Ten seconds. MR. TANNER: -- nationwide if we don't do 6 something to make the country aware of it. That's what this committee exists to do. You 8 9 need to dig deeper. You need to not go to the 10 people that caused this to happen and say is 11 everything good, all records --12 CHAIR: Okay, you're done. Thank you. 13 MR. TANNER: -- go to people, look at the records of the public speakers at --14 15 CHAIR: Turn the microphone off. Any 16 commission members have anything before we 17 adjourn? I think we've got our plan for moving 18 forward. All right, thanks everybody, we'll be in touch. Thank you. 19 20 (Thereupon, the meeting adjourned.) 21 2.2 23 2.4

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

2.5

1

2

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC

I, NATHANIEL TORO, the officer before whom

the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby

sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me

certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing

proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly

and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a

and accurate record to the best of my

knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am

qualified transcriptionist; that said digital

audio recording of said proceedings are a true

neither counsel for, related to, nor employed

by any of the parties to the action in which

this was taken; and, further, that I am not a

relative or employee of any counsel or attorney

employed by the parties hereto, nor financially

or otherwise interested in the outcome of this

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Notary Public in and for the Florida

Nathaniel Toro

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

action.

NATHANIEL TORO

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, CHARITY RIVERA-GARCIA, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

ecci >

CHARITY RIVERA-GARCIA

[1 - able] Page 363

1	2	29th 28:11 81:18	298:17 299:7
1 324:13 351:21,25	2 344:25,25 347:2	2:30 222:21 223:14	71.1 88:19
1,000 352:1	2/14/18 334:17	3	72.6 91:15
1.0 253:21	20 46:15 47:6 49:24	3.2 36:17	76.2 88:16
10,000 40:1	88:6 108:14	30 201:18	7th 28:20
100 94:19,19	2013 94:6,11,15	31 196:18	8
112 112:15	122:23 308:8	31st 28:3	8 48:25 223:4
11:00 5:17	2015 352:22	32 1:20	80's 308:7 313:14
11:51 165:17	2015/16 352:23	33323 1:7	8chan 233:1
11th 312:17	2016 151:12 155:16	36 107:16,19	8th 27:22
12 109:19 203:25	2018 2:17 12:13,17	365 229:5	9
1200 333:25	13:3 14:14 20:12	3:44 286:18	9 2:17 12:17 14:14
12th 46:23 312:17	33:7 36:17 48:25	3:49 291:9	20:12
133 108:15	54:6 55:5 72:20	4	90's 317:17
13th 5:23 13:10	79:9 86:20 94:13	4.5 54:7	91 111:5
25:16,23 26:1,1,3	124:10 289:13	4:00 291:10	943.687 223:4
29:3	341:6 351:19	4:30 291:17	9th 25:12 28:6,8
14 12:13 79:9 94:13	2018/2019 44:22	4:45 291:17	
289:13 341:6	2019 1:8 2:19 36:22	4:43 231.17 4chan 233:2	a
14th 16:17 79:19	41:10 45:22 46:15	4th 310:25	aaron 42:2
95:25 97:15 154:10	47:6 49:24 53:4	5	abbreviation
292:21	55:19 83:8 325:22		237:11
15 1:8 2:19 13:3	2019/2020 33:6,15	5 45:22 54:6 95:7	abdication 15:9
15th 28:1 30:23	2020 320:15 324:13	50 88:18	ability 23:25 32:20
16 111:5 352:22	325:23	53 33:17	130:25 170:2
17 55:6	2120 49:23	5:00 221:16,18	178:23 186:10
17381 361:21	2130 45:1,20	5th 30:1	201:15 209:7,9
17391 362:18	2150 47:6	6	255:3 257:5,21
17th 97:19	22 88:6	6 109:19	264:11 269:7
18 112:15	23rd 61:16 328:8	614 351:21	297:20 361:11
18/19 67:22 68:1	24 88:6	7	362:7
19 88:5 123:13	24/7/365 229:24	7026 21:8,9 126:25	able 14:25 60:2,6
1974 169:22	24b 223:7	127:23 132:8	65:16 69:24 71:11
1999 351:20	24th 30:24 81:21	278:20 279:10	73:7 74:4 79:5 87:9
1:30 221:25 223:16	25 88:6	293:2 294:8 298:16	112:20 140:2,12
223:19	26 88:6 107:16	293.2 294.8 298.10	147:19 152:16
1st 25:8 28:16	26th 49:15 313:24	7030 21:12 69:10	153:22 155:13
145:24 224:12	27 88:6	100:25 127:23	161:16 174:6 176:9
225:16 226:24	28 88:6	130:23 132:8	188:3,3 189:7,9
248:23 249:7	286.011 223:6	145:19 278:22	195:17 198:6,7
301:18		173.17 210.22	199:14 207:14

[able - adapt] Page 364

		I	
209:7 218:16 230:5	accept 171:19	356:7	111:13,25 125:21
234:12 247:8 251:8	acceptable 24:13	accountable 27:3	130:1 133:15
254:12,19 255:21	81:9 82:6	69:24 87:11 131:13	134:13 170:14,16
256:13,17 257:24	access 32:7 33:25	131:25 132:2	170:18 171:2,6
259:23 260:6	39:21,22,25 40:3	accreditation 299:5	202:2 203:10,17
262:17 268:25	40:11 41:9 59:20	299:25 300:7	205:13 206:8,12
271:12 287:9	70:7,8,19 110:9	accurate 41:21	216:4,5 281:9
293:17,22 294:2,4	158:13 161:23	99:21 149:19	328:2 330:22
295:17 303:5 306:2	162:1 167:20 170:5	225:19 285:23	348:24 361:13,18
306:23 309:4 350:7	174:19,23 178:19	361:10 362:6	362:9,14
absence 48:2	178:23 179:13	accurately 29:22	actions 15:15 30:5
absent 179:15	183:10 184:2	91:22	31:15,18 41:22
absolute 13:20 14:3	194:22 195:3	accusing 111:9	44:9 50:3 51:24
221:16 243:1	200:12 210:7	achieved 35:2	56:21 57:1 71:18
276:16	212:16 225:18	acknowledged 10:7	126:23 153:9
absolutely 63:4	226:8 240:15,23	acknowledges	292:10 332:15
65:9 66:4 77:6 90:9	254:12,19,20,21,24	176:13	active 41:11 46:25
90:14 92:24,24	255:1,20 257:24	acquiring 236:9	46:25 47:13 96:21
128:12 129:6	258:2 259:7,11,18	acronym 89:3	125:9 160:3,6
154:13,18 157:4	259:20,25 260:9	act 14:6 37:17	162:18 211:1
184:17,17 185:16	265:15 266:19	51:11 80:23 88:2	222:24,25 293:8
197:24 198:23	275:1 317:4,7	100:17,20 126:9	295:22,25 296:1
229:6,19 230:2,11	336:20,25	169:17,22 242:9,12	298:3,11 300:2
235:9,23 237:12,18	accessed 270:10	242:13,13 244:15	actively 228:23
238:1 258:3 263:19	accessible 274:7	247:2,9,11 250:20	230:13 232:16
277:7 341:23	314:15 353:20	250:22 251:20	239:11
absurd 99:25	accomplish 55:19	255:2 256:18	activities 92:22
abundance 186:9	243:4,5,11 319:8	257:19 258:19	203:4,5
abundantly 128:15	accomplished	259:9 282:22	activity 160:8
abuse 340:20	104:20 245:12	283:17,23 287:9	212:7 226:15
academic 34:12	249:11	311:23,23 317:17	acts 260:2
53:18 82:25 109:20	accomplishment	acted 21:18 244:17	actual 50:10 73:13
172:16 174:12	40:12	284:2	117:14 125:2
339:17	accomplishments	acting 200:11	230:10 232:13
academics 91:25	329:5	action 30:10 31:3	234:8 239:16 255:8
304:5	accountability	43:13 50:11 53:24	255:11,22 256:2,4
academy 280:9	15:16 29:22 38:16	65:7 69:22,24	257:3 258:25
281:15 284:11	39:4 45:18 46:6	78:20 79:2 86:13	302:25 348:23
298:8 359:1	53:22 65:7 78:3	86:15,16 100:12	actualized 351:11
accelerating 33:19	117:25 122:19	101:7,21 102:1,12	adapt 49:8 57:24
	137:25 153:3 272:8	102:18 103:23	

[add - ahead] Page 365

add 95:3 103:11	adjustments 91:12	affect 347:19	agent 74:8
141:23 194:24	administration	affirm 49:24	agents 44:1
adding 139:15	55:13 98:3 108:4	afforded 123:23	aggressive 237:24
343:13 344:12	111:8 112:9 156:17	afoot 207:17	296:19
addition 38:25 53:7	157:2 158:2 268:22	afraid 114:14	aging 337:3
86:7 93:11 227:9	271:22	afternoon 23:9	aging 337.3 ago 2:20,25 3:2,8
325:19	administrative	133:12,23 222:12	7:1 12:22 18:12
additional 33:4	44:11 53:8 71:3	224:17 225:10	21:13 31:14 75:23
36:11 44:23 45:2	75:11 77:4 118:11	245:18 283:7	80:21 88:4 107:22
45:12 48:10,13	200:5 310:14	292:13 320:8	130:23 146:19
54:17 55:16,22	administrator 46:4	333:21 335:21	183:5 226:4 327:22
75:24 76:5,13	86:2	339:12 342:22	339:18 341:14
93:22 95:23 113:20	administrators	344:1,23 348:6	350:15
169:14 194:24	35:13 44:20 45:10	afterthought	agree 12:10 13:19
262:4 297:6	86:5 103:24 113:11	281:22	16:1 17:2 19:14
additionally 37:8	139:24 150:1 152:3	afterward 61:9	20:3 26:23 27:2
40:22 49:11 50:12	158:12 283:15	342:15	82:8 114:23 116:1
55:21 56:9 295:3	289:15 326:9	age 172:5 340:22	129:7,8 130:1
address 37:18	admit 127:2	aged 350:23	148:10 154:18
41:22 67:4 82:2	adopt 309:20	agencies 36:5 43:25	176:8 182:11 248:8
89:16 98:10 108:4	316:23 355:12	56:4,23 60:14	290:7 319:2 347:16
164:25 173:7,8	adopted 47:6 49:23	66:16,17 70:22	agreed 89:22
246:19 308:4,24	125:10 294:7	96:20 110:16 227:6	283:24
addressed 57:20	296:21 299:13,17	241:15 249:23	agreement 8:6 40:6
94:14 176:16	adoption 113:16	252:17 253:22	42:20 59:18 146:6
326:15 329:16	adult 246:3	261:23 265:15	agreements 6:6,7
355:6	advanced 299:2	266:14 270:22	34:10 40:4,13
addresses 253:19	advantage 219:2	295:2 299:15	70:12
addressing 78:5	adverse 20:4 50:10	311:20,25 312:5,20	agrees 129:11
122:18,25 123:3	advice 319:7	313:14,20 314:14	296:22,24
310:12	advil 306:22	317:5,21	ahca 1:15
adequate 4:18	advisable 201:12	agency 30:6 61:23	ahead 11:23 12:9
152:25	advise 113:19	61:24,25 126:19,19	14:16 15:24 23:1
adhere 34:16	advised 48:18,25	133:1 197:16	63:24 89:7,9 91:23
adhering 152:22	49:7 125:5 292:16	276:12 299:19	98:16 99:5 105:7
adjacent 159:22	advisement 131:17	agency's 124:2	116:23 122:13
160:6	advising 140:18	agenda 103:3	126:2 136:11 148:4
adjourn 360:17	149:18	116:19 126:21	166:10 168:11,23
adjourned 360:20	advocacy 21:2	135:15 164:24	168:23 206:21
adjournment	affairs 333:16	165:4,5,6 221:12	207:9,10 213:15
223:18		311:1 313:1,25	214:3 216:24

[ahead - approach] Page 366

220:21 224:13	allowing 55:13	angelsense 214:8,9	app 35:24 214:8
225:9 237:5 238:23	264:6 354:15	anger 287:1	appalled 334:24
239:8 247:22 259:2	allows 162:13	angst 184:21	apparently 82:15
260:14 262:9 264:1	169:1 241:6 317:9	animals 319:24	150:23 279:20
264:21 275:2	alluded 208:20	anna 349:25	285:12 342:7
276:19 278:15	261:14	annie 244:18	appeal 129:19,20
286:23 290:4	altercation 88:9	247:15 260:23,23	130:8,17 131:14
300:13 302:11	alternative 34:2	264:20,21,24 265:1	appear 123:21
303:24 305:18	164:11 330:9 359:8	announced 292:18	appearance 123:7
319:2,12 324:6	ambiguity 10:8	annual 86:10 93:11	123:8
327:13 328:23	20:23 21:3,7,8,16	194:16	appeared 31:14
aid 4:4 5:5 104:18	amend 298:1	anonymous 36:12	144:15
air 90:23	amendment 169:23	answer 120:14	appears 204:24
airport 308:16	170:7	141:10 185:18	appellate 15:8
al 97:18	ammo 151:23	186:4 343:6 353:10	application 36:4
alarm 154:17	amount 31:12	answers 23:21,22	166:16 199:5 267:4
alarms 358:5	74:14 100:5 115:6	185:20 332:3	267:21 306:21
alert 230:15 239:19	163:18 234:13	anticipate 222:21	applied 21:9 27:25
alerting 317:24	296:1	300:5	68:12,16 69:6
alerts 227:20 230:6	amounts 163:25	anticipation 154:20	applies 167:15
230:10,13 232:1	analysis 181:3	antithesis 26:5	171:10 178:10
239:12 241:19	185:25 186:2,25	anxiety 344:6	208:15
244:14 257:6,11	236:11 274:1	347:6	apply 4:20 21:14
alex 290:6 319:23	292:17 293:17	anybody 8:21 12:9	171:10
algorithms 356:16	analyst 269:13,14	67:2 144:20 182:20	applying 24:1
allegations 30:17	analysts 277:21	219:3,6 220:20	appointed 70:3
89:15	318:15	245:12 261:1 282:1	appointment 36:23
alliance 335:23	analytic 55:23	286:21,21 300:12	appreciate 14:17
allocated 33:4 95:7	260:18	302:6 326:21,23	15:25 77:21 80:2
95:12	analytical 269:8	329:10 330:21	82:9 107:17 112:6
allocating 55:6	analytics 225:17	334:10 349:3 350:5	166:15 199:6 221:7
95:13	226:7 260:19 356:1	anybody's 182:7	224:14,18 261:20
allocation 33:5	analyze 239:4	anymore 16:23	264:20,24 303:23
94:21 95:1	276:4	278:9	327:10 348:12
allow 49:16 60:8	andre 223:23,25	anyway 212:20	350:16
140:2 167:12,20	225:14	213:24 221:24	appreciated 339:13
186:19 190:15	anecdote 30:11	259:19,21 278:5	approach 2:7 32:1
241:4 316:11 317:2	84:1	ap 105:10,11	35:3 38:2 39:15
allowed 12:2 69:23	angeles 313:11	apologize 168:12	48:12 131:22
104:23 195:15,16	angels 290:7	349:9	141:10 152:19
207:23			190:6 307:19
		ral Calutions	

	arguable 20:23	74:9 80:24 81:3	118:9,10 119:24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	arguably 21:7	82:1 85:18 86:25	121:17 151:12
330:18	212:10	97:25 111:9 116:16	153:2 155:12,14,15
appropriate 40:4	argue 124:3 311:18	119:11 124:20	155:19 156:11,13
43:25 47:16 50:3	argument 204:5,20	133:4 146:7 150:1	157:9,20 167:17
65:6 131:9 259:10	204:21 207:4 210:3	183:16 192:6	176:1 177:23 178:3
259:14 307:11	210:20 211:2,8	216:15 243:3,3,5,7	178:16,20 180:9,15
approval 40:5	235:19	243:18 245:10,11	182:9,24 183:6,16
70:18,23 255:14	arm 343:7 345:21	263:9 284:17 309:6	183:21,22 187:17
approve 60:19 61:4	345:22	309:7 317:23 332:9	188:12,15 190:8,15
approved 36:17	armed 34:15 41:25	asking 80:19 101:8	195:2,19 196:11
46:15 54:7 55:6	142:15 279:2,7	106:9 127:16	200:19,22 201:1
70:14 92:12 139:15	344:12 345:2 348:3	130:13 135:3	217:1,2,5,8,18
293:14	352:5	139:24,25 204:4	218:20 221:19
approves 10:3	arming 343:6	277:11 309:8 317:2	222:9,19 225:1
approximately	344:13 358:4	343:9 357:25	226:25 227:21
42:15 55:22 297:13	arranged 83:9	asks 97:11	230:4 234:14 239:3
336:13 339:19	arrangements	aspect 257:22	239:21 240:14,17
352:19	176:13 180:4 282:7	aspects 37:23	242:3 246:4,15,19
apps 36:7	arrest 151:20 154:3	227:17 356:13	247:5,12 250:21
april 30:3 55:19	arrested 23:13	assailants 211:1	251:12,18 254:4,15
arbiter 23:20	339:4	assembling 322:11	254:24 255:5
area 6:4 38:8,14	arrests 353:1	325:11	259:15,25 262:1,5
39:20 47:3 49:19	arrived 242:1	assertion 30:19	263:12,12 266:8
60:6 90:3 121:10	article 223:7	125:18	268:18 269:9,21
159:24 160:4	351:20	assertions 83:12	270:5 271:20 272:1
180:17 182:6,8	articulable 181:1	assess 48:9 315:20	272:9 273:18,25
184:20,20 235:4	189:11 190:17	assessed 121:15	274:4,6 276:14,21
254:16 255:25	articulate 77:24	185:12 356:21	277:6,13,14,18
256:6,8 324:4	130:7	assessing 169:3	300:15,24 301:6
355:2	articulated 181:10	218:2	302:3 306:24 307:5
areas 10:5 39:18	asa 99:6 101:14	assessment 30:2,22	307:15 308:2
51:21 55:17 169:8	102:17 103:12,20	31:3 41:5,7,13	309:14 310:21
169:10,13 233:11	104:13 105:2,5	43:18 44:12,21,24	311:3,5 312:1,23
233:12,13,17 300:6	asac 244:18	45:4,16,18 46:4,8	312:25 313:2,3,4,7
321:13 322:14	ashley 1:14 328:22	46:12 64:11,14,17	313:8,9,12,21
324:1,2 325:9,25	328:24	64:19 65:10 66:7	314:13,21,22 315:6
326:1 327:16	aside 210:2 287:2	66:12,23 67:10,19	315:11,14,19 318:4
347:11 359:20	asked 4:20 5:9 29:4	80:4,25 81:7 91:20	318:7 319:3 320:1
arena 177:23	29:7,16 30:25 63:9	92:6 96:25 107:25	356:20
	63:16 69:8 72:9	108:18 117:2,14	

[assessments - back] Page 368

assessments 29:20	assumption 205:4	26:1,1,3 28:16,20	avoid 235:20
45:2 47:3 65:17	assumptions 236:1	29:3 33:7 45:5	253:12 310:7
83:11 84:24 86:4	245:15	46:23 61:16 124:10	avoided 341:7
86:10,20 87:2,5	assurances 4:17	145:24 224:12	aware 64:22,22,24
91:21 117:6,25	6:11	225:15 226:24	67:7,20,21 80:10
118:17 120:7 121:7	assure 9:18 156:25	249:7 301:18	80:15 82:13,14
121:20 136:20	156:25 332:21	310:25 320:14	88:21 89:12,17
137:20,24 155:24	assured 10:22	324:13 328:8 333:2	118:19 119:8,9
274:1 312:6 313:15	athletic 337:22	auspices 197:18	122:23 130:6,6
314:6,17	attack 140:10	authorities 358:3	137:1,7 144:7
assign 21:20,23,24	attempted 72:13	authority 27:2,11	145:16 150:8 161:3
275:14	308:3	73:23 78:14 100:10	215:12 262:13,15
assigned 21:25	attempting 316:23	100:17,20 137:9	301:4 314:18 360:7
22:2 25:25 34:11	attend 92:10	223:3 248:17	awareness 48:21
62:9 64:12,13	108:21 112:20	authorized 194:13	51:14 56:5,18
93:16 315:11	174:16 223:12	198:3,4 223:10,12	154:5 298:21
assist 227:1 293:5	294:9 350:23	246:23 258:1	b
311:15,20 312:5	attended 92:6,8	autistic 141:3	b 334:21
317:21	172:1,6	automated 66:20	babcock 1:17
assistance 317:16	attendees 1:10	87:9 110:13	babysitter 338:7
357:11	attending 178:1	automatically	bacardi 350:17,18
assistant 1:12	339:25	185:5 214:12	back 3:8 6:19 8:1
29:24 105:16,19,24	attends 39:13	autonomous	8:15 11:5 22:4 67:1
155:17 225:12	attention 100:15	358:21	77:15 78:12 94:10
350:1	285:18	autonomously	99:8 101:10,19
assoc 1:22	attitude 317:12	359:14	104:19,23 105:11
associate 234:7	attorney 1:12	availability 261:16	105:23 106:24
associated 47:12	292:24 294:23	available 34:6	108:23 112:5 127:7
234:2 239:9	310:24 350:19,20	35:15,21 36:14	145:19 157:5 166:3
associating 236:20	361:15 362:11	55:2 74:3 95:16	166:6 186:24
association 39:12	attorneys 318:16	225:1 226:24	187:22 196:17
220:3 233:24 237:2	auburndale 1:11	227:24 228:3 230:7	199:3 212:12,15
310:18,19 312:22	audience 159:20	230:8 233:21	222:8,17,20 223:22
337:21	audio 361:9 362:4	234:16 240:14	234:9 256:22
assume 301:6	audit 46:5 86:19	245:16 246:10,12	257:10,19 260:8
assumed 53:5	87:1,20 92:7	249:16,17 255:25	264:17 269:19
assumes 230:24	auditing 45:25 86:9	256:4 265:13 266:9	271:19 272:3 273:2
231:1 248:16	118:22 120:2,6	267:19 270:1,7	277:23 278:13
assuming 88:21	auditor 87:4	272:9 357:9	286:20 290:6,7
134:8	august 1:8 2:19	average 269:4,4	291:18 297:16
	13:10 25:8,16,23		300:9 301:10 320:8
	Veriteyt Lea	1011	

[back - billionaire] Page 369

322:12 327:23	147:8 162:13	beauty 196:1	believed 69:5
336:19 338:2 339:9	166:21 181:4,14	becoming 91:16	believer 19:6
349:25	187:8 205:4 209:16	began 36:22 118:22	believing 350:6
backdrop 2:15 9:8	209:25 210:21	beginning 9:24	bell 22:5,5
9:9 19:2,5 245:9	228:15 232:1,24	106:10 118:23	benefit 116:5 195:8
backed 32:18 359:1	234:24 255:4 257:5	139:12 180:8	196:2 278:22
background 62:20	257:12 258:9 265:8	202:21 205:6 343:3	bereavement 57:8
225:6 270:18	265:20,22 267:25	begins 83:2	best 18:14 42:23
271:16 355:24	269:25 277:17	begun 55:20	52:20 130:20
backup 141:20	288:14 293:16	behalf 74:22	131:22 156:14
bad 263:24 270:3,7	294:10 297:23	335:22	220:6 243:1 291:6
badging 35:4	307:23 310:15	behavior 30:2	309:21 312:15
bag 150:23	318:24 351:10	88:17 89:23 91:16	318:9 323:19
baker 242:8,11,12	353:17 356:3	228:2 311:2 315:11	326:12 332:21
244:15,17 247:2,9	baseline 202:21	behavioral 43:17	349:6 359:14
247:10 250:20,22	296:9	44:12,20,24 45:1,4	361:10 362:6
251:20 255:2	basic 271:13	46:7 136:20 137:19	bet 133:21 134:21
256:18 257:19	298:17,20 301:3	261:25 300:15	339:24
258:19 259:9 260:1	302:1 315:5 322:7	302:3 308:1 309:14	better 56:8 61:13
balance 157:16	basically 40:6	313:11 314:21	95:18 250:17
ball 25:21 97:6	65:20 258:6 264:4	315:6,14,19	252:24 253:24
240:18 282:25	265:12 266:4,5	behaviors 50:15	256:21 273:12
ballfields 324:1	267:25 322:22,24	318:22 319:15	330:17
balloon 12:21	324:10	beings 32:17	beyond 34:8 39:5
band 4:4 5:5	basis 29:1 65:20	belabor 247:24	57:1 94:20 178:21
104:18	73:18 86:11 150:21	249:14	215:12 217:8 285:9
banding 7:24	181:25 185:12,17	belief 10:20	317:13
bank 311:13	346:3	believe 17:12 68:16	bias 354:8 355:5,23
barlett 105:5	bathroom 111:7	69:13,20,21 70:13	355:25 356:12,19
bartlett 1:12 97:9	battered 304:23	78:13,17 80:11	356:21
97:11 98:16 99:5,6	batteries 244:3	84:13 88:16,19	biased 356:17
101:14 102:17	battle 347:6	91:16 97:4 98:24	biden 349:6
103:12,20 104:13	bay 19:23	105:12,13 106:1	big 61:11 121:23
105:2	bb&t 1:5	130:3 134:20	144:19 161:11
base 102:7 176:24	beach 5:22 9:5,21	149:13 154:15	193:18 275:24
272:5	10:6 11:3 12:4	170:9 186:4 252:12	347:20
based 32:21 38:22	136:6 231:15,21	290:17 297:10	bigger 207:4
46:13 47:25 49:9	272:25 313:19	320:16 342:24	biggest 359:3
51:8 52:13 56:18	bear 97:24 98:25	344:3,9 349:1,10	bill 145:18 231:6,8
99:22 102:25 120:8	beautiful 290:7	350:8 358:12	billionaire 358:24
122:21 127:16	332:17		

billybob123 231:6	135:9,10,16,23	book's 165:10	292:13 296:12
231:8,17	136:9,14,16,17,18	books 169:22	321:5
binding 99:14	136:19,23 137:1,7	border 272:25	briefly 14:15 307:2
biology 53:14	137:9,14,19,23	273:3	320:9
biometric 173:10	139:15 141:14	born 340:2,6	bring 70:17 107:14
birth 173:11	142:3 143:16,17	botched 155:20	114:8 115:19
256:12 269:12	144:11 149:5,6,7	bottom 23:17 24:2	126:10,15 127:20
bit 99:8 115:1	149:16,18,21 152:8	85:17 257:13 258:5	128:6,22 129:13
167:23 168:18	275:13 281:12,17	boulevard 63:11	131:12 135:8
175:14 225:21,25	283:22 285:8 289:8	bouncing 273:2	137:13 151:22
228:16,18 261:14	290:15,18 332:24	box 4:5 5:6 17:22	152:16 170:21
300:25 322:9	333:4 340:9 341:10	63:8 119:3 161:24	222:8 243:12 268:6
331:14 336:19	341:13 344:25	162:8 240:13	268:8,10 274:6
black 185:18	board's 144:13	boxes 161:14,15	290:6,6 326:24
338:21,25 339:3	boards 42:19 220:4	162:2 271:24	bringing 70:15
345:16 351:25	232:22	boy 253:2 339:3	160:1,12
352:4,18,23	bob 1:10	boys 352:6	brings 278:6
blackman 311:12	bodies 40:5 70:18	brag 358:23	broad 167:9,12
blanket 181:20	body 42:24 57:10	brainstormed	168:25 169:1
185:11	100:16 103:5	273:21	204:22 288:13
blend 359:8	122:17 134:15	braun 224:23	358:24,25 359:1
bless 339:10 342:20	221:3 304:23	225:10,11,23 226:1	broadcast 193:15
blinding 316:24,25	331:17 332:2	226:3 228:19 240:7	broadly 171:11
blocked 330:3,11	bogs 182:21	245:24 247:10	broke 206:5
blogs 232:21	boiling 350:11	251:11,15 253:18	broken 120:23
blown 290:11	boll 63:19	258:3,5 259:11,16	358:11
blows 210:19	bolling 348:5,6	260:6,10 261:5,11	brothers 66:10
blueprint 322:24	bomb 233:15	262:13 264:22	brought 29:23 30:3
board 1:20,21 4:2	book 1:20 23:1,2	break 139:22 166:2	30:5 107:14 122:22
17:18 25:2 27:4	97:10 105:7 106:4	166:5 221:10,11	123:6 129:2 135:23
30:20 36:16 37:16	106:6,18 107:2,4	222:15 223:15	215:1,15,17 246:10
42:22 43:7 45:1,19	108:12 110:4,22	286:19,22 331:15	277:2 341:14
46:15 47:6 49:14	112:6,12 113:22	breakdown 156:8	broward 2:6 3:25
49:23 54:6 55:5	114:3 115:18 214:4	breaking 85:22	4:15,19 6:2 14:1
69:4 70:15 76:21	215:1,8,15,21	343:16	16:15 17:15 18:3
79:13 93:7 94:7,22	216:3,7 247:22,23	brewer 337:15,16	23:4 28:16,20 29:9
100:11,13 102:1,22	248:8 250:12 252:1	brian 36:25 37:8	32:24 33:22 35:15
103:1,2 113:16	252:21 260:15	38:17 39:7 41:18	36:6,11 37:12,13
125:6 127:1,21	272:18 273:11,14	139:3 148:4	38:12 39:7,10,12
128:23 129:2,14	275:3,16,18 276:5	brief 117:1 241:6	39:24 42:5 43:7
130:4,8 131:7,13	278:8 357:25	287:5 291:23	44:16 46:24 50:13

[broward - capital] Page 371

51.14.04.54.1.6.12	hl- 100 10		26.14
51:14,24 54:1,6,13	buck 122:10	c	campaign 36:14
56:2 57:5 58:17	buddies 183:2	c 334:21	318:21
59:17 63:13 67:23	budget 126:20	cabinet 37:17	campus 4:19 9:21
74:22 79:10,15	335:2	cad 266:15	13:6,13,13,17
89:1,4 94:10 116:8	budgetary 32:19	cadre 119:23,24	18:16 24:20 25:15
118:15 119:9,13	build 57:11 252:23	calendar 46:1	25:20 26:1 32:5
120:10 123:16,22	313:9	92:11 139:16 330:3	34:3,21 38:7,24
130:4 136:15	building 46:3,3	call 2:2 13:8 36:19	43:3,22,23 50:5,8
143:25 145:2	161:19,20 162:20	41:7 50:18,19	55:15,16 65:9,17
146:15 151:16	168:21 203:25	66:11 81:15 90:22	78:22 85:8 93:23
168:16 185:8	326:14 333:25	90:24 133:21	93:23 95:8 123:19
231:15,20 237:21	334:20 338:7	135:21 144:25	127:15,25 132:14
245:1 250:3,5	buildings 55:17	213:7 251:3 286:3	133:2,5,14 134:22
252:6 276:10 279:2	161:16	289:4 316:24	138:15 139:6,18,21
280:5 281:10	built 139:8 317:20	349:23	139:25 141:19
283:21 284:7,10	321:18	called 2:1 14:3	142:12 147:16,18
286:6 287:8 288:14	bullied 353:17	51:13 64:15 108:1	150:3 151:21,25
288:16 289:20	bullshit 335:2	119:23 133:7,7,8	152:14 153:22
290:17 331:20,24	bullying 233:15	145:15 150:20,25	157:15 159:25
332:5,7,24 333:3	359:13	150:25 214:8	160:7,9 163:18
335:12,22 336:4	bunch 20:5 23:5	243:13 266:23	194:20,21 195:13
337:20 339:20	103:11 145:2	280:3 336:12	195:15,16,18
341:1,4 348:22	184:23 198:11	338:23 339:15	198:11 200:17
350:14,23	288:19	349:17,20 350:9	201:5 279:3,8,11
brown 345:17	bureau 224:20	351:3	279:13,23 280:6,25
bruce 1:12	bureaucracy 115:1	calling 3:17 43:6	281:1,21 282:2,7
bruised 304:23	burglary 183:2,4,5	224:7 276:12	282:15,18 284:3,19
bruises 88:12	183:8,19	calls 58:4 66:22	284:20,25
brutality 347:9	bus 16:6 54:3,12	114:11 288:10	campuses 22:24
bso 83:6,21 121:24	buses 54:21	calm 284:1	32:12 35:2 59:20
162:7 348:25	business 6:23 7:22	camera 70:6	138:17 147:7 153:1
btm 123:19 309:15	55:18 104:20,24	204:13 206:14	164:1 351:24 352:6
309:21 310:6	130:16 308:10,21	207:7 209:11	canceling 127:21
312:15,16 314:7,10	328:7	cameras 39:22,23	capabilities 231:24
318:2	bust 358:14	40:1,16 55:23	317:21,25
btu 88:2,15,25	butler 97:19	59:15,21 60:10,11	capability 248:18
89:18 107:1,2	button 2:8 6:12,13	60:12,13 70:9	capable 235:25
123:20	7:1 8:8 207:15	175:8 204:1 207:13	capacity 51:2
btus 106:24	buy 209:5 309:12	207:15,17,23 208:4	146:10 247:13
buchanan 166:14	309:19 324:20	209:5,6,6 221:22	capital 33:19 54:7
166:20	325:6,14	207.3,0,0 221.22	

[captain - chair] Page 372

captain 83:16	111:18 129:8 150:4	centers 55:8 314:1	75:16 77:14 78:7,8			
183:17	153:11,15 156:22	centerstone 1:15	78:9 88:23 93:2			
capture 204:16	160:15 162:6	central 338:2	97:9 98:6,10,22			
225:4 235:14	169:12 170:21	centralize 360:2	99:5 105:7,15,22			
237:10,11,19	185:12,12,17,17	centralized 44:13	106:3,6 112:14			
captured 203:7	188:22,22 203:21	45:3 46:12 84:18	115:18 116:4,24			
206:4 229:16	230:1 289:12 313:5	141:10 225:16	122:12,13,14,16			
232:16 233:16	cases 30:8,25 67:3	226:6 240:10 355:8	123:2 125:23 126:1			
235:11,12 236:14	81:9,11 86:14	ceo 1:15	134:24 136:1			
236:14,18,21,25	89:20 91:10 118:14	ceos 286:15	143:20 144:5			
237:3 238:13	148:22 163:9,15	certain 122:6	158:17,24 164:17			
captures 234:21	164:7,13 309:24	157:13 170:1,8	165:3,8,15 166:10			
capturing 204:14	310:7	173:21,23 174:1	166:17,24 168:10			
car 283:13	catch 219:6	179:22,23 180:13	168:23 182:4			
card 159:2,8,9,9,17	categories 233:21	195:25 217:10	184:18 189:14,17			
cards 159:1 292:2	category 86:21	229:11,17 241:6,7	189:25 190:2 192:3			
320:19 331:3	catholic 171:18	257:6 267:15	193:7 196:17 197:5			
care 32:17 34:14	caught 151:23	certainly 8:6 65:25	198:10,24 203:21			
94:13 260:24 284:9	cause 79:4 170:14	66:15 89:20 130:14	207:9 211:6,10,25			
284:9 285:14	170:16,18 171:5	204:11 247:24	212:4,22 213:20,22			
289:23 336:25	214:9 288:17	286:8,11 297:19	214:3 216:15,24			
337:11,12 342:16	294:12 344:14	certainty 258:6,8	218:22 219:22			
348:13	caused 84:14	258:10,13,15	220:20,23 221:6			
career 53:15	358:22 360:10	certificate 361:1	223:9,12,21 224:1			
109:21	causes 182:10	362:1	224:5 225:20,24			
careful 306:5	184:20 193:18	certified 297:15	226:2 228:25 229:7			
carefully 143:5	345:24	348:2	229:10,13,21			
332:25	causing 115:9	certify 361:4 362:3	230:16,24 231:4,12			
cares 11:20	caution 11:25	cesaire 342:21,22	231:19 234:24			
carried 143:12	79:20 186:9	cesar 342:23	235:3,10,13,17,24			
181:11,13	ceases 62:15	cetera 115:13	236:4,9 237:5,20			
carriers 317:18	cell 90:21	190:12 196:22	238:2,8,16,19,22			
carroll 1:17 265:23	center 1:5 39:25	215:12 221:22	239:7 242:18 247:7			
286:23,24 288:6	40:10 57:3,5,7,10	chain 124:1,1	247:14,25 248:3,9			
carry 195:9 297:16	59:19 207:14	chair 1:10 2:2 9:1,3	250:15 251:14,16			
carrying 195:2	209:19,22 211:13	12:7,8,11 14:16	252:5,22 258:1,4			
cars 120:23 351:5	213:5 232:3 242:9	15:24,25 17:2,17	259:2,18 260:8,11			
carte 267:16	278:2 312:7 342:23	23:2 24:14 26:8	260:22 261:7 262:9			
case 20:25 24:8	344:3 346:24	58:21,23 59:13	264:1,10,16,20,23			
44:24 66:15 98:12	350:22	60:9,17,21 61:2,5	266:21 267:14,23			
98:15 106:1 109:15		63:18 72:22 74:5	268:6 273:13,16			

[chair - children] Page 373

274:16,21 275:6,17	change 38:1,11	100:14 102:13,16	275:15
275:20 276:6,16,23	56:14 90:11 99:7	103:7 106:12,13	checked 5:6 17:22
277:1,7,16,20	119:2 142:21	123:18 126:24	165:4 269:18 272:6
278:1,4 283:25	202:15 279:18	127:22 129:4	272:7 281:1
284:17 285:18	297:21 298:1	130:25 132:3,9,12	checking 271:16,24
286:16 288:5 291:8	331:22,24 342:24	132:13,21,23	checklist 244:22
291:21 296:13	344:3 346:18,25	133:12,19,23 134:1	273:23
300:12 301:13	changed 20:9 29:15	134:8,19 135:13,22	checks 208:14
302:11 303:22	87:22 111:4 140:1	135:24 136:19,21	cheverea 343:25
305:18 306:14	169:11 299:11	137:4,10 149:22	344:1
307:1 319:10 320:7	changes 51:17 53:2	164:25 165:13	chf 9:1 116:24
321:10 326:17,21	53:8 70:24 109:17	278:18 279:21	117:9,22 118:13
327:9,12,14,17	113:13,20 310:14	287:3 335:1 358:18	119:6 120:8 122:3
328:22,22,23 329:1	332:4	charterize 358:13	276:7
333:19 335:19	changing 38:25	358:14	chickens 23:5
337:14 339:11	57:18 303:25	charterizing 359:6	chief 1:11,12,16,22
342:10,21 343:25	channels 54:4	charters 3:1,4,4 6:3	7:14 8:23 9:6,10
344:22 346:22	chaos 2:16,18	6:5 18:5 21:9,15	12:8 14:17 36:23
348:5 350:10,16	characteristics	23:25 26:25 27:3	38:16 63:19 82:25
353:24 354:6,9,13	353:18	27:24 28:6,8,25	106:5 116:22 139:4
357:14,16,20 360:5	characterization	29:4,17 43:2,5	224:20 280:4,4
360:12,15	96:15 97:4 106:20	61:11 63:7,14	281:1 282:4,9,11
chairman 99:11	characterize 148:5	67:23 68:17,21	311:8
264:3 286:15	characterizes	69:6,11,14 78:6	chief's 39:12
chairman's 1:5	53:18	100:11 103:4	chiefs 9:13 18:3,4,6
challenge 63:13	charge 192:22	127:17 128:9,19,22	22:13 310:17 311:4
170:11	303:3 324:9 336:6	128:25 129:18	311:6
challenged 289:20	342:19	137:1,14 145:13,18	child 24:19 108:22
challenges 37:19	charges 322:17	146:4 147:23 197:7	115:11 174:16
137:6 142:7 144:8	charity 362:2,20	278:23 284:14,21	175:2 178:1 214:12
289:20 310:8	charter 3:25 4:19	285:16	215:17 217:10,14
326:11 336:8	13:13 17:15,18,19	chase 84:1	306:2 337:6 338:7
challenging 326:7	21:21 23:23 25:3	chasing 160:8	340:21 351:16,20
championship	26:22 27:7,9,12,16	check 4:5 62:20	351:23
280:9 281:15	27:22 28:2,5,10,13	63:8 119:2 120:25	child's 176:23
284:11	28:17,20 42:4,19	121:2 150:20,22,24	children 14:5 16:23
chance 64:8 109:11	42:20,23 43:8,9,12	150:25 151:8,13	16:23 53:13 115:23
109:12,12,14,14	44:16 45:10 61:20	267:15,20 270:17	127:5,11 131:1
351:21 352:1	63:16 68:23 78:12	270:19 271:4,6,8	133:14,16,17
chancellor 219:24	78:14,20 79:12,13	271:11 272:18	134:12 135:21
	79:15,22 99:10	273:1,1 274:8	141:3 152:3 156:2

	I		I
156:2 158:3,6	city 1:11 5:23 17:20	109:24 112:8	coconut 107:10
162:24 164:2 214:6		128:16 130:19	code 49:8 50:4,9,18
214:10 226:12	282:7	171:9 190:22	50:19,20,23,24
227:8 241:14	civil 150:10 352:18	199:21 200:8 201:7	96:16 97:20,21
242:10 303:18	cjnet 265:12,13	204:15 209:20	123:5,9 124:8,11
350:23 351:18	266:4 270:24	221:21 229:1 241:1	124:23 125:7
353:9	cjstc 315:2	241:21 246:22	154:16 159:18,18
children's 336:25	claims 127:3	318:25 328:25	159:20,21,21,21
350:20	clarification 68:4	344:16	160:4,7,18 161:18
chiming 325:16	69:22 88:24 145:22	clearer 21:12	162:13 326:15
choking 88:11	165:9 355:7	clearest 182:13	349:17,20,23 350:9
choose 52:25 309:1	clarified 27:22 46:5	clearly 96:12	codes 49:20 50:1
chooses 227:23	clarify 89:10 127:7	207:20 335:15	56:11,12 160:20
229:14,15	145:12	clears 104:19	161:5
choosing 20:13	clarifying 69:16,17	click 257:11 266:24	codified 96:18
345:21	clarity 69:8,9	267:2 268:3,16	124:13
chose 335:1	class 58:18 107:24	clicker 268:7,7	collaboration
chris 1:11	111:11 156:6	climate 346:19	38:13 39:19 89:25
christina 1:22	300:16 301:3	clinical 342:11	355:21
chunk 197:6	305:15	clip 296:12,14	collaborative 39:15
circle 272:3 278:7	classes 29:13	clock 85:3	158:8 320:5
circuit 1:12	classification 193:3	close 21:11 68:25	collateral 142:9
circumstance	193:4,12	77:18,19 102:15	collected 241:23
127:18 207:11	classroom 23:14	129:20 148:19	261:18
circumstances 15:4	24:5 35:6 49:2	154:6 235:6,13	collecting 229:8,10
56:6 130:5 154:21	89:23 108:20	303:8 328:7	collection 254:2
163:12 170:8 181:5	159:24 160:1,23	closed 105:1	261:20
186:25 195:25	164:10 207:4	116:20 134:16,18	collections 261:17
217:11	214:11,16 215:11	221:20 222:1,1,18	college 174:18
cit 294:8,9,19 295:4	215:11	223:4,15 291:2	colleges 55:8
298:10	classrooms 47:16	324:8	colonel 134:20
cited 86:14 89:13	48:1,5,9,11,14,14	closely 43:15 142:4	280:2 282:5,9
351:19	49:1 57:21 90:18	162:7	color 56:12 343:22
citizen 292:1	106:23 113:7	closing 356:23	344:12,19 345:12
320:19,20 330:19	114:21 123:11	closure 128:6	346:2 348:13 354:3
330:24	125:16	club 1:5 335:16	colorado 295:7
citizens 292:2	clean 278:22	clue 18:23	column 22:12
319:17 331:24	cleaned 278:21	clutter 47:19	233:18
333:4	clear 21:4 66:3	coach 42:2	combination 55:8
citrus 1:20	69:11 82:6 83:25	coaching 132:22	78:18 175:2 358:17
	86:4,8 105:16		

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007

[combine - commissioner]

combine 163:19,23	78:12 79:9,24,25	300:14,22 301:2	223:8,9,10,11
293:22 294:2	92:10 101:16	302:12,22 303:12	261:13 282:6
combined 33:11	112:21 140:14	304:20 305:17,19	283:24,24 285:4,22
257:20	149:9 165:23	306:4,16,22 307:1	290:19,19,20,22
come 7:2,11,15	204:11,12 234:9	319:13 327:14	292:12 293:1,13,13
12:3,6 15:20 16:8	253:19 277:5 297:7	334:13 349:1	295:19 296:21,22
26:9 64:16 65:16	302:25 325:8	357:16	296:24 297:5 298:7
65:24 66:12 71:13	338:24 340:18	command 40:10	299:6,13 307:13,24
73:3 76:21 77:15	comm 15:25 78:9	124:1 132:24	312:14 319:1
80:13 87:4 100:15	79:8 80:1 81:12,19	commander 118:7	321:17 324:21
108:7 112:5 117:6	81:23 82:8,21 83:4	commenced 31:13	327:2,6 328:1
131:6 152:10 165:2	84:6 85:9 86:18	44:22 46:21,22	331:15 332:10
166:3 187:2 190:15	87:12,16,24 89:11	52:6	333:8 343:20
193:24 197:18	90:16 91:14 92:3,5	commend 101:24	345:11 347:18
198:17 204:24	92:16,22 93:1 94:5	285:3	348:21,22 349:10
205:18 207:13	95:20 96:2 97:3	comment 29:18	349:15 350:8 354:2
222:17 237:7 254:5	98:17,24 122:14	90:5 94:14 116:3	355:9 357:11
257:10 264:17	124:14 125:23	188:19 220:22	360:16
273:23 276:13	136:12 137:8,12,18	236:22,25 292:2	commission's
278:13 280:16	138:1,19 139:2	320:19 327:13,19	38:23 52:2,14
282:2 285:4,7	141:9,13 143:15	330:19,25 331:3,11	73:24 289:24
286:1,20 291:18	145:10 146:21	357:14	321:19
293:25 295:6 296:8	147:3,11,20,25	comments 8:20,23	commissioned
298:19 303:8 304:5	148:2 149:1,14,20	8:25 9:8,22 14:18	196:23,24 197:10
306:22 316:15,20	151:1,10,15,19	26:20 106:21	197:13
321:7 326:12 334:4	152:13 153:12,21	122:11 131:16	commissioner 1:13
338:10 346:4 347:5	154:4 155:5 157:25	136:13 288:13,15	1:19 15:24 26:12
349:5 354:16	158:20,25 159:5,12	320:20 331:6	63:23 68:5 74:5
comes 8:22 37:2	159:15 160:3,14	commission 1:4,10	77:14 78:8 85:4
113:24 195:11	161:13 162:4,17	1:22 9:19 14:9	97:9,10 98:16 99:5
204:9 206:2,20	163:16 164:14	15:20 16:12 21:1	106:21 107:13
239:19 272:3,4	168:12 192:6,14	25:6 26:16,24	118:24 122:13,22
277:9 294:1 320:13	193:1 216:25	31:17 42:5 58:22	129:7,11,25 130:14
comfort 351:15	217:23 233:1,7	58:25 60:16 61:6	136:1,10 145:21
352:5	237:6,10 238:24	63:23 67:1 71:9	150:7 164:22
comfortable	259:3,13,17 262:10	72:4,9,15,21,22	168:10 182:11
	· · ·		
304:17 343:15,18	262:16 263:3,11,18	73:11 76:2,3,19	192:3 216:24 237:5
comforting 347:14	262:16 263:3,11,18 263:21,24 264:2,9	80:19 83:3,7 84:14	264:1 265:23 286:9
comforting 347:14 coming 5:13 20:15	262:16 263:3,11,18 263:21,24 264:2,9 276:20,25 277:4,11	80:19 83:3,7 84:14 89:6 116:15 130:19	264:1 265:23 286:9 286:22 288:6
comforting 347:14 coming 5:13 20:15 23:19 41:9 45:13	262:16 263:3,11,18 263:21,24 264:2,9 276:20,25 277:4,11 277:19,24 278:2	80:19 83:3,7 84:14 89:6 116:15 130:19 149:11 151:11	264:1 265:23 286:9 286:22 288:6 291:13,22 300:12
comforting 347:14 coming 5:13 20:15	262:16 263:3,11,18 263:21,24 264:2,9 276:20,25 277:4,11	80:19 83:3,7 84:14 89:6 116:15 130:19	264:1 265:23 286:9 286:22 288:6

Veritext Legal Solutions

210 11 22 1 17	F (1 (00 FF 0 10	70.2 < 02.11.15	1 10405
319:11 324:15	56:16,22 57:9,13	79:2,6 83:11,15	comprised 194:25
333:13	57:23 153:8 156:25	99:11,17,21 100:9	358:17
commissioners	265:22 313:6	100:22 102:9 104:7	compromised
77:16 120:15 121:9	314:13 337:24	123:18,19 124:25	77:10
commissions 24:25	338:1,2,10 339:6	126:8,11,15 129:9	concealed 350:8
61:3 70:23 299:23	343:24 345:4 346:4	146:7,23 147:14	concentrate 121:11
commit 127:20	357:7	148:3,10,12 164:25	concept 313:2
commitment 31:2	companies 316:19	278:20	concepts 302:1
31:23 62:25 131:11	316:22 317:12	compliant 27:6,20	conceptualize
318:14	competition 359:10	100:16 104:14	177:24
commitments	compiling 267:18	137:5 145:21	concern 34:4 60:7
32:19 51:22	complacency 122:4	complicated	84:10,14 153:10
committed 54:1	complaint 28:12	185:25,25 186:5	180:20 275:10
58:18 89:19 297:1	155:9	192:24 196:9,15	284:9,9
committee 52:18	complete 15:9	201:6 209:25	concerned 59:16
113:18 134:6 300:4	41:13,14,21 52:9	complication 84:22	63:14 80:5 101:23
330:14 333:15	59:7 131:7 135:6	complicit 359:6	125:12 132:7
337:19 344:17,18	152:17 158:1	complied 101:1	142:15 163:7
344:20 360:8	225:19 255:12	128:20	273:18
committing 343:2	256:17 269:15	comply 14:11	concerning 99:10
common 155:9	282:13 323:6	15:17 16:2 43:6	132:8 135:4 319:15
170:20 172:1 316:6	completed 41:15	127:23	concerns 12:4
322:23 323:2	44:18 51:22 52:16	complying 35:19	30:22 91:8 106:15
communicated	54:16 75:14	component 32:15	113:6 142:18,20
27:4 34:23 239:15	completely 155:20	45:17 46:10 181:7	155:22 246:20
communicating	230:3 245:25	194:9,11 214:14	294:13 330:22
279:20	275:13	264:25	351:12 354:22
communication	completion 41:3,4	components 95:3	356:6
36:10 47:1 55:1	75:16 119:25	172:13 225:5 251:1	concise 182:14
66:22 76:18 83:8	complex 48:14	268:21 296:18	conclude 56:25
149:15 299:9,12	354:25	composition	59:10 75:20
communications	compliance 2:25	318:11	concluded 59:7
39:15 55:4,11	3:1,5,10,16,19,24	comprehensive	concludes 12:7
152:23 317:9,16	5:14,15,19,25 8:17	56:20 57:12 73:6	conclusion 190:16
communities	13:21 16:20,21	182:15 269:19,22	349:14
265:21,25 347:16	17:5,6 26:23,25	270:18 271:16	conclusions 76:8,8
347:20 357:4	27:8,14 28:11,19	274:11 307:19	concur 22:16
community 30:14	29:6 30:9 42:3,7,8	314:20	condition 90:23
31:2,19 35:8,12	42:19,22 43:2 46:2	comprehensively	conditions 51:5,8
36:7 50:16 51:3	47:22 62:16,24	268:20	241:7
52:21 53:12 56:4	65:6 78:20,21,24		
	, ,		

[conduct - convened] Page 377

conduct 44:3,5	connections 154:7	constitute 174:5,11	333:18
52:8 65:23 74:4	257:2	175:6,9 179:7	continued 29:12
77:9 96:20 150:1	connects 231:10	217:19	31:13
339:15	connotes 229:24	constitutes 190:16	continues 53:19
conducted 37:4	consensus 21:1	constitution 223:7	continuing 160:2
50:22 71:9 74:20	309:12,19	constraints 169:7	255:14 343:4
86:5,19 89:2	consent 176:23,24	construction	continuous 31:20
137:24 151:13	177:1 180:9 195:23	160:25	continuously 323:9
155:19 157:9	218:15,16	consultant 155:2	contract 5:24 6:22
292:16 339:19	consented 174:22	consulted 351:8	17:20 24:17,23
conducting 50:24	consequences	consulting 46:16	39:1 40:3 42:10
59:4 67:18 98:4	29:20 114:1 353:13	consumed 23:8	46:15 61:24,25
124:11 297:11	consider 11:19	contact 17:17,18	62:3,4,6 99:13,24
312:5 313:8,12,15	49:4 135:16 210:3	146:4 250:5 316:15	103:13 127:24
341:4	219:23 286:6 316:1	contacted 18:1,5	128:10 134:1,4
confessed 111:11	353:12	146:18	280:10,13 282:10
confident 258:7	considerable	contacts 239:14	282:12,14 283:8
confidential 223:2	249:25	contain 173:1	contracted 42:11
configure 237:13	consideration	contained 293:16	52:7 199:19
239:14	113:1,16 117:1	contains 320:24	contractors 33:24
configured 228:14	223:17 337:13	contemplate 353:7	50:13
232:8 239:18	347:22	contemplates	contracts 5:3,18,19
confirm 301:11	considerations	201:15,19 202:8	5:21 6:3 7:25 8:2,4
confirmation	219:10 326:14	content 228:12,15	8:16 13:24 59:16
153:19	considered 175:1	232:23,23 234:9,14	59:21,23,24 60:2,4
confirmed 147:5	191:12	317:9	60:11 70:25 100:3
conflict 344:7	considering 113:1	context 156:10	104:15 127:13,15
confusing 192:25	113:1 114:21	163:10 178:14	128:10 139:9
confusion 136:7	261:19 341:9	186:23	284:15
180:19 182:10	consistency 38:6	contingent 72:8	contrary 64:20
196:5 202:18	121:25 161:9,10	168:15	contrast 351:25
congratulate 304:8	302:4 309:23 310:6	continually 332:8	contributed 290:2
congratulations	consistent 38:19	continue 31:25	control 54:21 170:2
306:17	44:2 65:3 199:21	34:4 43:14 46:25	174:21 179:12
conjunction 315:1	301:15 310:2	57:24 66:2 72:15	200:24 201:2
connect 174:7	312:12 316:6	80:18 110:2 114:4	controls 87:8
261:24	consisting 310:22	114:16 115:15	323:23
connecticut 295:6	314:23	152:21 154:19	convene 43:7
connection 157:22	constantly 30:13	157:1 181:24	100:10
169:2 180:11,16,22	86:7 107:22 220:12	222:11 297:4 319:6	convened 100:13
187:3 231:7 257:4	232:11 323:6 353:9	331:9 332:6 333:2	269:10

convention 40:15	correct 4:3 52:20	counted 119:4	336:4 337:21
40:16	70:9,10 71:20 72:7	counter 127:3	339:20 340:1 341:2
conversation 26:19	74:6 75:12,12,18	counties 102:5	341:4 348:22
72:21 74:2 132:10	86:25 105:12,25	272:19 337:1	350:14,23 354:18
135:19 149:2 281:5	112:11 117:8 118:4	counting 209:7	357:23
338:17	128:7 137:11,15	country 317:6	county's 54:14
conversations	138:12,13 141:11	360:7	258:22
28:23 68:2 77:22	144:4 154:13	county 1:14,18,19	couple 3:8 9:22
82:5 84:16 109:16	165:13 184:15	1:20,21 2:7 3:25	21:13 35:17 62:2
110:5 112:8 115:15	192:11 193:6	4:16,19 9:21 10:15	75:23 102:11 116:4
142:10,11 145:19	197:23 212:20	11:3,24 13:10,14	117:3,3 122:16
310:1	213:20 229:20	14:1 16:9,15 17:15	145:22 156:9
convinced 238:25	230:3,12,21 231:11	18:3 19:23 23:4	158:21,23 164:18
convoluted 75:4	235:1,17 236:8		188:25 221:21
convoluted 75:4 cooperative 316:21	238:7,21 245:25	28:16,20 29:10 32:25 33:22 35:16	274:10 299:6,21
coordinate 217:9	247:10,10 260:10		321:15
coordinated 39:6	′	36:6,11 37:12,13 39:7 42:6 43:7	
	264:7,8 267:13		course 73:10,12
40:25 119:20	275:17 276:23	44:16 46:24 51:15	85:24 249:3 292:22
coordination 39:8	354:8	51:25 54:2,6 56:2	292:23 293:11,14
169:4 226:5	correctional	57:5 58:17 63:13	293:18,20 294:3,7
cop 17:20 18:20	164:12	67:24 74:22 78:2	294:8 295:8,17
183:15,15,15,20	correctly 81:2	79:10,15 93:8	296:9,10 297:11
184:1,3 185:4,5	112:1 223:24	94:10 127:17 130:5	298:4,9,12,13,14
269:4	correlated 356:15	136:16 143:25	299:2,3 305:3,6,15
copies 5:18	correspondence	146:15 151:16	315:5,9,12 321:22
copy 17:21 89:6	68:5 69:17 100:24	168:16 185:8	322:15 324:21
135:3 202:4 203:16	101:6	211:14 230:18	330:22
203:20 215:20,20	cost 123:4 211:4	231:15,16,21 244:4	courses 297:12
215:21,23,25	326:13	245:1,2,2 258:21	298:8,9 312:12
284:17 321:8	costly 337:11	268:13 269:2	315:3
coral 57:9	costs 93:14	270:22 271:5,12	court 25:21,22
core 93:17 106:4	counsel 1:11 78:17	272:24 273:4,6,20	177:15 180:12,14
156:17 174:17,19	166:13 219:25	279:2 283:21	188:4 218:14
185:14 234:22	224:23 225:12	284:10,15 285:14	223:22
302:1 321:24	314:2 361:12,15	286:6 287:23	courts 15:8
359:11	362:8,11	288:15,16 290:14	cover 5:1 34:12
corner 47:8 123:10	counsel's 185:8,9	290:18 291:2	146:8 219:1 286:17
125:15 154:11	counselors 178:18	308:17 311:11	327:18,19 328:13
161:1	341:20 343:12	313:19 320:1	329:9,12 330:20,21
corners 123:5	counsels 220:4	331:21 332:1,7,24	coverage 2:6 4:6,8
125:11,13		333:3 335:12,14,22	4:18 7:4 22:17 24:8

Veritext Legal Solutions

27:1 29:1 34:5 55:2	crimes 187:24	currently 164:8	226:9,12,17 227:12
55:23 63:1 67:23	criminal 44:5 80:8	207:12,16 233:5	240:11,15,23,25
67:24,25 134:5	109:25 222:24,25	239:17 257:2	241:6 243:9,10,22
146:6 147:6 148:17	265:14 270:23	261:18 263:23	243:23 244:4,5
318:7	292:11,25 293:12	298:19 300:1	246:12,24,25 247:2
covered 69:15	296:20 298:6	309:22 313:15,19	247:9,11,16,19
107:21 140:25	307:12 312:13	316:4	248:11,15,18
141:1,2 160:24	314:12 317:22	curriculum 296:8	249:16,17,19,20,20
193:9 212:14 265:4	cringe 347:9	297:3 301:11	250:19 253:23,25
281:3 300:16 301:7	crises 157:19	curve 315:23	254:2,13,21,22
331:10	crisis 40:21 51:12	customized 228:24	255:2 256:25 257:6
covering 4:23 6:4	57:7 158:6 293:3	cut 23:6 230:25	257:7,15,24 258:20
62:4	294:17 295:11	242:20 306:20	259:9,15 260:7,18
coy 150:18	337:11 338:4	332:22	261:16,23 262:3
craft 314:9	criteria 4:3,3,6,20	cybercrimes 294:2	264:5,5,6 265:19
crafted 12:15 25:17	4:21 143:13 179:8	d	265:21 266:15,23
crazy 345:2	191:2	d&g 143:10	268:12 275:15
create 210:16	critical 192:1 194:4	dade 11:24 244:1,3	287:20 317:2 355:1
249:22 250:8	318:19	313:20 352:23	355:4,19,22,23,25
272:20 293:7 309:8	criticize 100:18	dah 214:21,21,21	356:9,10,19 357:23
318:4 359:7	cross 20:2 44:4	214:21,21,22,22	358:1
created 190:24,24	150:11	daily 150:1,21,25	database 28:13
191:2 271:20	crucial 318:24	151:8,13 316:16	245:6 254:4 271:7
339:17 355:17,20	crucify 348:21	352:7	355:8,9
356:2 358:25	crux 232:2	damien 133:2	databases 242:16
creates 122:4	crystal 240:18	282:19 283:5,7	248:15 250:6
345:13	cstag 262:1	286:1	252:13,16,17
creating 111:1	cultivating 51:15	damn 337:23	266:12 269:3,17
253:13 272:7	culture 37:14 38:12	damning 123:21	271:17,25 277:25
353:13 358:16,20	51:15 57:18 90:11	dan 82:24	datapoint 270:17
creation 44:12	cultured 335:13	danger 101:21	datasets 246:23
credentialing 265:9	cumulative 271:6	305:13	356:13,14
267:22	curious 136:15	dangerous 143:1	date 12:18 61:24
credentials 255:4	178:5 262:16	dashboard 230:6,9	94:3 146:20 171:7
credit 102:4	current 33:15	243:15	173:10,21,23 174:1
creek 107:10	56:17 153:16	data 13:20 25:1	256:12 269:12
crime 39:24 59:19	249:20 259:16	29:23,25 40:4	330:9
188:2 207:14	294:3 300:18 311:5	74:15 87:6 107:5	dates 123:8 330:3,5
209:19,22 211:13	313:16,25 317:12	162:22,23 166:24	330:7,10
213:5 346:15	318:11 331:20	209:8,21,21 224:10	daughter 332:17
	354:4 356:5	225:17,17 226:7,7	333:23 334:16
		223.11,11 220.1,1	

[david - deposition] Page 380

david 1:16	293:2 294:19,19	define 56:10	deny 127:2 137:10
davie 280:10	298:20 299:2,8,14	179:17	170:11
day 4:5,9,9 13:15	299:16,17 345:5	defined 45:21	department 1:13
20:8 26:7 28:18	dealt 21:16 134:10	169:25 177:7 181:9	28:3,7,15,22 29:3
32:13 42:14 52:11	294:22,23 309:10	194:7 199:24	37:3 41:11 43:15
58:1,5 64:7 65:20	debate 15:7 68:11	208:11 210:12,13	63:21 103:6 119:20
65:24,24 66:13	125:24	defines 186:17	129:10,21 134:2
73:17,17 78:4	december 86:20	197:2 217:4	153:20 161:15,24
99:19 101:4,16	87:12 248:23	defining 47:7	161:25 166:13
108:21 130:21	330:10,12	definitely 253:20	169:10 170:13
140:5 142:25 147:9	decentralization	320:20	175:25 184:8,9
148:3,15,17 151:16	38:3,12 120:4	definition 172:9	189:15,20 194:7
156:6 194:2 209:12	decide 16:19 44:8	173:3 175:15,17	195:6 224:22,24
214:19 228:22	134:10 186:8	192:1 202:25	225:13,15 226:5,12
230:14 239:12	312:14 333:5	208:10 217:18	226:13 227:7,8
283:19 287:4	decided 248:4,6,10	218:6,19	241:13,14,23
297:11 329:23	351:9	definitions 45:23	242:10,24 280:12
332:16 334:1 335:7	decides 190:4	167:21 171:24	280:23 281:25
340:7 346:3 348:18	decision 14:20,23	definitive 2:9 12:11	294:22 307:7
days 5:25 8:7 39:2	15:10 74:12 131:18	15:14,19 133:15	315:16 325:5
52:3 59:10 62:2	144:11,12,12,13	definitively 7:10,15	338:14
75:17 103:2 108:19	189:6,8,10 190:14	7:23 8:10 22:9,10	department's
139:16,19 140:6	270:3,5,6,12 272:4	degree 2:16 9:5	313:11
152:8,9 228:21,22	272:6 276:4 343:7	10:8 122:1 250:17	departments 7:17
281:3,4 304:24	344:19 351:6,10,13	258:15	22:14 39:11 45:25
323:12 327:22	356:16	degrees 258:6,8,10	59:22 60:1 162:1
329:22 330:1	decisions 19:8	258:12	294:13 295:13,24
331:11	68:23 80:7 221:8	delay 60:21	295:24 296:2,5
dcf 1:16,17,17	269:24,24 276:18	delayed 84:2	297:18,18,25 298:1
de 140:7,8 174:3	343:22 345:18	deliberate 353:7	314:25
deadline 28:19	347:19,21	delivered 70:14	dependent 254:14
54:17 145:24	declaration 223:8	dem 324:25	depending 11:11
225:16 227:5	dedicated 9:14	demand 44:1	51:11 109:22
deadly 24:4	11:18 33:17 93:19	demarco 83:16	176:12 186:23
deal 77:7,8 121:20	331:23	democrats 359:6,7	199:11 237:20
164:18 293:5	dedicates 33:1	demonstrated	254:18 255:25
294:11,14 309:11	deep 351:12 353:14	29:14 333:12	329:20,24
dealing 75:10	deepening 57:13	demotion 30:3	depends 221:13
102:9 136:22 143:3	deeper 360:9	67:17	deployed 33:14
146:17 167:1	deeply 11:20	denied 13:5	deposition 149:24
175:25 196:20			150:10,23 151:4

1	242 17 200 16	1 1 110 10	1.66 4.4 2.40 4
depression 347:6	242:17 280:16	develops 113:12	differentiate 340:4
depth 333:7	281:2 282:1	deviation 66:4	differently 109:11
deputies 20:2	detailed 81:4 89:21	86:12	132:4 138:20 141:5
280:14 282:23	details 30:12,18	device 36:1 214:11	difficult 157:16,23
297:20 308:16	31:1 70:24 111:20	214:13 215:23	158:21 196:6
deputized 198:6	112:3 138:25	devices 35:22,24	301:14
deputy 1:17 25:15	195:17 228:17	devoid 276:17	dig 360:9
25:20 61:22 64:15	detect 262:18	dg 144:2	digital 44:14 45:3
134:22 269:5,10	detection 55:24	dialog 58:22	46:11 55:12 172:21
279:3 283:12	detective 183:2,8	diane 333:20,22	361:8 362:4
348:21 350:12,13	183:20 184:7	dictionary 284:9	digitalized 84:18
desantis 21:12	detectives 42:13	died 160:24	digitize 261:25
307:6 332:1	48:7 315:10	difference 58:11	diligence 63:6
descend 340:7	detectors 152:1,4,7	68:7 95:24 96:7	dime 342:19
describe 35:17	152:11	121:12 176:16	diminish 287:24
described 81:5	determination 19:2	211:7,11,22 286:14	dina 344:22
356:6	180:25 181:6	289:23	diplomats 37:6
describing 205:5	188:23 189:4 190:8	differences 302:2	dir 292:8 296:16
description 39:5	determine 48:16	different 10:3 22:1	300:19,23 301:9
140:2	93:14 121:4 174:15	27:19 29:6 51:10	302:10,20,23
deserve 286:7	181:19 188:21	51:11 71:23 87:19	303:21
design 46:17 84:17	205:6 244:16 260:6	87:23 93:16 95:3	direct 31:15 117:9
114:1 317:19	264:12 295:1	95:22 96:6 124:5	117:12,20 179:12
323:18 355:25	determined 119:1	134:8 138:16	183:10 282:23
designate 194:18	determines 205:8	146:11 154:21,21	332:9
198:1 200:4,14	determining 52:23	163:12 167:19	directed 52:4,14
designated 47:8,18	develop 34:14	175:15 184:24	226:6 249:7 288:14
194:10,12 198:13	46:16 49:5 51:2	191:10 198:25	288:15 307:7,10
227:21 276:11	96:20 155:3 299:1	199:1,9 202:7	directing 146:3
designation 194:18	307:8 309:21 315:2	216:13 224:8 228:8	direction 51:13
designators 47:17	322:25	230:7 238:4 245:7	103:1 131:10
designed 315:9	developed 34:22	247:4 248:15	160:10
designee 118:11	49:12 54:15 83:22	249:12 250:1,6	directive 310:15
desire 3:15	113:9 125:2 291:7	251:1 252:7,13,14	directly 12:6 37:1
desk 155:21	314:20	265:10,20 266:16	84:17 149:16
desks 359:21	developing 75:6	269:3,17 270:12,20	172:12,14,18,24
despair 341:24	307:11 309:21	270:25 271:17,18	175:11 203:1,23,23
despite 133:15	310:5,8	273:11 280:18	204:6,7,15,19
detail 134:4 186:15	development 40:24	292:19 296:5,17	206:17
188:10 195:24	49:18 296:8 297:3	301:16,16,23 302:5	director 6:17 19:22
201:21 232:5	355:11	303:7 352:7	24:7 37:9 117:18

182:5 222:2 278:13	180:22 186:17	216:19 242:22	109:4 113:13 120:6
287:3 291:12,21	192:17	disengagement	123:16 124:24
292:4 302:7 324:14	disclosure 167:13	344:8	125:10 124:24
324:14	170:3,25 177:3,8	disingenuous	141:22 143:5 144:5
directors 79:14	180:5,11 185:11	124:18 332:11	148:7 152:2,12
119:23,24	202:14	disobey 14:13	154:15,24 161:8
directory 186:14	disclosures 170:18	disparate 312:9	168:16 189:21
186:16,20,22	177:5 186:8	316:2	214:5 225:1 227:22
dirt 178:8	disconnect 18:9		227:23 229:14
dirty 272:12	120:9,16,17	disparities 347:18 disparity 345:14,15	230:16 231:5,6,13
disabilities 169:17	discretion 44:8	dispatch 54:21	230:10 231:3,0,13
353:1		_	238:16,19 239:13
	discriminatory 171:2	disproportionately 357:5	242:1 244:2 252:12
disagree 74:11			
disagreement 60:3 disaster 276:9	discuss 134:15,17 134:18 150:16	disregarded 348:23 disruptive 43:10	274:14 275:3,4,6 291:3 332:8,14
		disruptive 43:10 disseminate 312:7	
discern 207:25	168:7 222:24		344:25,25 345:4
disciplinary 31:3	discussed 32:23 94:12 99:9 108:14	distinct 54:10	347:2 359:3,22
65:7 86:15,16		331:22	district's 9:5 11:3
103:23 162:25	136:22 188:14	distinction 175:20	33:8 36:15 37:14
163:1,4,6 164:1	293:2,7 312:10	184:19 190:19	39:25 54:12 139:4
174:25 190:6,10	313:5	209:20 280:9	274:18
1431 112/14/73 1	1 1 2 2 2		
191:18 202:1	discussing 137:3	284:12	districts 11:24
203:10,17 205:13	300:5	distinguishing	14:13 28:24 95:17
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12	300:5 discussion 2:5,23	distinguishing 176:3	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2 disciplines 87:24	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5 171:24 177:4	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12 42:4 43:18,19	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25 288:8,20 301:16,20
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2 disciplines 87:24 disclaimer 25:4	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5 171:24 177:4 178:14 187:3	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12 42:4 43:18,19 44:15 45:22 46:18	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25 288:8,20 301:16,20 301:23 322:24
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2 disciplines 87:24 disclaimer 25:4 255:9,13	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5 171:24 177:4 178:14 187:3 199:22 215:13	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12 42:4 43:18,19 44:15 45:22 46:18 47:4 48:6,8,23	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25 288:8,20 301:16,20 301:23 322:24 distrust 343:16
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2 disciplines 87:24 disclaimer 25:4 255:9,13 disclose 176:23	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5 171:24 177:4 178:14 187:3 199:22 215:13 232:21 243:23	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12 42:4 43:18,19 44:15 45:22 46:18 47:4 48:6,8,23 52:11 55:18,21	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25 288:8,20 301:16,20 301:23 322:24 distrust 343:16 353:14
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2 disciplines 87:24 disclaimer 25:4 255:9,13 disclose 176:23 177:1 181:22 185:5	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5 171:24 177:4 178:14 187:3 199:22 215:13 232:21 243:23 253:16 280:1 311:6	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12 42:4 43:18,19 44:15 45:22 46:18 47:4 48:6,8,23 52:11 55:18,21 56:21 58:2 59:4	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25 288:8,20 301:16,20 301:23 322:24 distrust 343:16 353:14 disturbance 217:16
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2 disciplines 87:24 disclaimer 25:4 255:9,13 disclose 176:23 177:1 181:22 185:5 187:12,24 196:7,8	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5 171:24 177:4 178:14 187:3 199:22 215:13 232:21 243:23 253:16 280:1 311:6 311:14 312:2	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12 42:4 43:18,19 44:15 45:22 46:18 47:4 48:6,8,23 52:11 55:18,21 56:21 58:2 59:4 63:17 73:7 75:9	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25 288:8,20 301:16,20 301:23 322:24 distrust 343:16 353:14 disturbance 217:16 disturbing 163:17
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2 disciplines 87:24 disclaimer 25:4 255:9,13 disclose 176:23 177:1 181:22 185:5 187:12,24 196:7,8 198:20 201:10	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5 171:24 177:4 178:14 187:3 199:22 215:13 232:21 243:23 253:16 280:1 311:6 311:14 312:2 322:13	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12 42:4 43:18,19 44:15 45:22 46:18 47:4 48:6,8,23 52:11 55:18,21 56:21 58:2 59:4 63:17 73:7 75:9 78:6,16 80:4 90:14	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25 288:8,20 301:16,20 301:23 322:24 distrust 343:16 353:14 disturbance 217:16 disturbing 163:17 287:17
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2 disciplines 87:24 disclaimer 25:4 255:9,13 disclose 176:23 177:1 181:22 185:5 187:12,24 196:7,8 198:20 201:10 206:19 218:7,17	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5 171:24 177:4 178:14 187:3 199:22 215:13 232:21 243:23 253:16 280:1 311:6 311:14 312:2 322:13 discussions 4:22,24	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12 42:4 43:18,19 44:15 45:22 46:18 47:4 48:6,8,23 52:11 55:18,21 56:21 58:2 59:4 63:17 73:7 75:9 78:6,16 80:4 90:14 91:13 93:4,17	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25 288:8,20 301:16,20 301:23 322:24 distrust 343:16 353:14 disturbance 217:16 disturbing 163:17 287:17 diverse 250:1
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2 disciplines 87:24 disclaimer 25:4 255:9,13 disclose 176:23 177:1 181:22 185:5 187:12,24 196:7,8 198:20 201:10 206:19 218:7,17 306:6	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5 171:24 177:4 178:14 187:3 199:22 215:13 232:21 243:23 253:16 280:1 311:6 311:14 312:2 322:13 discussions 4:22,24 9:14,16 10:12	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12 42:4 43:18,19 44:15 45:22 46:18 47:4 48:6,8,23 52:11 55:18,21 56:21 58:2 59:4 63:17 73:7 75:9 78:6,16 80:4 90:14 91:13 93:4,17 94:18 95:8 96:17	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25 288:8,20 301:16,20 301:23 322:24 distrust 343:16 353:14 disturbance 217:16 disturbing 163:17 287:17 diverse 250:1 diversity 141:1
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2 disciplines 87:24 disclaimer 25:4 255:9,13 disclose 176:23 177:1 181:22 185:5 187:12,24 196:7,8 198:20 201:10 206:19 218:7,17 306:6 disclosed 170:5,22	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5 171:24 177:4 178:14 187:3 199:22 215:13 232:21 243:23 253:16 280:1 311:6 311:14 312:2 322:13 discussions 4:22,24 9:14,16 10:12 11:20 137:19,22	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12 42:4 43:18,19 44:15 45:22 46:18 47:4 48:6,8,23 52:11 55:18,21 56:21 58:2 59:4 63:17 73:7 75:9 78:6,16 80:4 90:14 91:13 93:4,17 94:18 95:8 96:17 96:24 97:2 98:12	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25 288:8,20 301:16,20 301:23 322:24 distrust 343:16 353:14 disturbance 217:16 disturbing 163:17 287:17 diverse 250:1
203:10,17 205:13 205:24 206:8,12 216:4 252:9 318:10 discipline 29:23,25 44:3,4 88:20 111:13 113:2 143:4 156:1 162:21,23 206:18 207:1 208:2 disciplines 87:24 disclaimer 25:4 255:9,13 disclose 176:23 177:1 181:22 185:5 187:12,24 196:7,8 198:20 201:10 206:19 218:7,17 306:6	300:5 discussion 2:5,23 5:9 6:14,16 8:21 9:3,4 10:4,21 17:8 20:19 26:22 27:16 49:14 61:12 70:5 74:10 83:10 137:21 144:14 167:5 171:24 177:4 178:14 187:3 199:22 215:13 232:21 243:23 253:16 280:1 311:6 311:14 312:2 322:13 discussions 4:22,24 9:14,16 10:12	distinguishing 176:3 distort 349:1 district 1:20 3:3 4:16 6:10 7:16 10:6 11:14 12:5 33:4,14 34:10,12 35:21,22 37:24 38:20 41:12 42:4 43:18,19 44:15 45:22 46:18 47:4 48:6,8,23 52:11 55:18,21 56:21 58:2 59:4 63:17 73:7 75:9 78:6,16 80:4 90:14 91:13 93:4,17 94:18 95:8 96:17	14:13 28:24 95:17 126:11,15 144:21 145:3 148:21 185:10 220:5 236:6 239:5,10 252:3,5 252:15 262:19 263:8 264:12 278:19 287:25 288:8,20 301:16,20 301:23 322:24 distrust 343:16 353:14 disturbance 217:16 disturbing 163:17 287:17 diverse 250:1 diversity 141:1

[dividing - eastern] Page 383

dividing 335:14	86:10 91:12 96:10	douglas 1:3,20	drives 188:18
division 10:25	96:16,19,22,23,25	12:14 51:18 53:3,7	driving 14:22
36:19,22 38:22	97:23,24 102:20	53:19 57:4 71:5	drop 32:11
91:25 224:21 315:1	104:17 115:8,22	73:14 76:10 77:5	dropout 343:3
324:25 345:9	124:13,20 125:1,19	95:25 96:8 97:17	dropped 58:8
djj 1:17 270:23	143:24 144:10	105:19 121:13	drove 351:12
dms 252:7	146:3 154:5 155:1	123:1 124:16 126:9	drug 62:20 294:1
dock 34:2	164:16 179:4	138:22 149:24	dual 196:16 211:4
document 6:21	184:12 191:17	155:6,18 159:6,16	211:9,9
66:21 67:10 85:1	192:22 205:6	160:15 204:1 235:5	due 52:23 63:5
85:18 89:9 179:3	206:22 212:17	350:4	76:23 224:12
187:8 189:9 193:16	217:2 219:20	download 210:22	317:11 325:22
193:17 198:15	228:11,13 229:7,25	241:4	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		duly 361:5
210:23 314:5	235:6,25 240:16 243:1 246:4,15	downs 150:2 downside 196:4	duplicative 277:15 duties 169:15
321:14,16 322:20	· ·		
323:5 324:3,12,12 documentation	248:1,20 249:15	dozen 22:1	195:10 292:18
	250:24 263:12	dozens 88:3,5	316:16
44:14 46:14 73:3	268:19 269:15	dr 38:16	duty 142:9 187:19
76:14 150:19	271:15 273:7,8,19	draft 328:21	280:14,15 281:2
156:19,19,22 314:5	276:8,10,15 278:24		dynamic 142:21
documented	286:8 289:3 295:25	drafted 355:14	dynamics 199:1
181:18	296:2,3 297:12	drafting 137:23	e
documents 187:7	298:16 300:9	dragging 77:3	eagles 311:22
dodd 1:20 136:1,10	334:23 348:12	draw 76:7	earlier 21:10 53:15
136:12 137:8,12,18	dollars 317:7	drawn 196:12	67:16 85:4 122:23
138:1,19 139:2	domains 53:23	dressing 128:21	129:10 222:22
141:9,13 143:15	313:5	drill 97:16,16	223:14 249:5
192:3,6,14 193:1	domestic 312:4	154:19	251:13 254:6
237:5,6,10 300:12	314:2	drills 19:24 37:24	261:14 262:2
300:14,22 301:2	donna 335:19	49:8,10 50:20,23	311:22,22 312:10
doe 28:23 130:14	door 34:2 47:11	50:24 93:21 95:23	315:18 316:10,18
130:17 131:14,14	133:4 134:16,18,19	96:16,16 97:12,24	319:14 325:9 333:9
133:2 146:10 171:7	doors 35:6 93:15	98:2,4 115:8,25	early 59:11 123:2
189:1,5,12,23	95:21 96:5 125:15	124:11,16,23 125:7	139:9 308:7 313:13
190:7 219:24	doorstep 78:25	154:16,17,20	317:17 321:25
220:16 247:16	129:3	325:14	334:18
251:9 265:7 286:1	dots 174:7	drive 14:20,21	ears 32:14
251:9 265:7 286:1 301:18	dots 174:7 double 141:18	113:25 283:13	ears 32:14 ease 152:17 255:20
251:9 265:7 286:1 301:18 doing 11:23 19:21	dots 174:7 double 141:18 142:6	113:25 283:13 285:5	ease 152:17 255:20
251:9 265:7 286:1 301:18 doing 11:23 19:21 20:2 63:15 71:19	dots 174:7 double 141:18	113:25 283:13 285:5 driven 337:11	
251:9 265:7 286:1 301:18 doing 11:23 19:21	dots 174:7 double 141:18 142:6	113:25 283:13 285:5	ease 152:17 255:20 easily 255:20,21

[easy - employees] Page 384

easy 100:22 125:14 200:12,16 201:3 202:24 203:2,68 ed's 359:10 203:11,20 205:19 205:22 206:1 207:7 20fet 2 6:29 8:13 216:11 217:3,20 218:4,69,21 319:21 357:23 218:4,69,21 219:18 325:4 356:1 219:18 325:4 356:1 29:10 305:7 20:22 203:13 239:20 218:4,69,21 219:18 325:4 356:1 29:10 305:7 20ctation 1:19 28:3,7,15,22 29:3 39:16 41:11 58:19 63:21 29:11 17:19 29:11,21 164:9 63:21 29:11 17:19 129:11,21 164:9 66:13 167:20 252:2 321:24 322:4 20:21 177:3 189:15,20 20:21 20:11 277:3 189:15,20 20:21 20:11 20:20 206:16 20:20 2				
ed/s 359:10 203:11,20 205:19 279:10 281:15 emails 7:25 90:22 edict 26:298:13 214:24 215:42 216:8 279:10 281:15 emails 7:25 90:22 edict 26:298:13 214:24 215:42 216:8 either 42:1 64:16 112:19 114:11 educate 51:6 216:11 217:3,20 97:6 162:12 172:4 136:21 172:4 149:16 288:9 educating 2357:23 219:18 325:4 356:1 292:10 305:7 emergencies 47:20 28:37,15,22 29:3 39:16 41:11 58:19 effect 217 12:18 28:1:2,17 40:23,25 41:2 49:8 66:13 167:20 252:23 321:24 322:4 effective 52:10 156:19 162:13 55:14 93:21 95:23 177:14 172:6,10 53:1 168:20 306:11 effort 31:12 44:10 17:18 189:15,20 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 45:7 58:8 107:20 180:10,17,21,23 177:23 189:15,20 47:4,22 51:6 57:17 45:7 58:8 107:20 208:15 209:14 200:20 206:16 eight 56:20 6:8 9:11 14:2 17:12 45:7 58:8 107:20 208:15 209:14 210:9 213:17:19 208:15 209:14 210:9 213:17:19	easy 100:22 125:14	200:12,16 201:3	eighty 17:12,13,13	239:20
edge 150:12 205:22 206:1 207:7 298:12 319:18 100:24 101:5 educate 51:6 216:11 217:3,20 296:12 172:4 112:19 114:11 112:19 114:11 319:21 357:23 219:18 325:4 356:1 97:6 162:12 172:4 199:21 219:16 149:16 288:9 emergencies 47:20 319:16,16,17 educator 355:6 292:10 305:7 213:18 emergencies 47:20 28:3,7,15,22 29:3 39:16 41:11 58:19 effect 2:17 12:18 281:2,17 40:23,25 41:2 49:8 election 33:3 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 emergencies 47:20 20:51 10:13:18 55:14 93:21 38:10 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 electronic 35:21 51:9 54:13 55:10 55:14 93:21 39:51 55:14 93:21 39:5 55:14 93:21 39:5 55:14 93:21 39:5 55:14 93:21 39:5 55:14 93:21 39:5 55:14 93:21 39:5 55:14 93:21 39:5 55:14 93:21 39:5 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15 10:18 158:15	309:3	202:24 203:2,6,8	17:13,14,17 279:9	emailed 28:8
edict 26:2 98:13 educate 51:6 216:11 217:3,20 130:25 315:12 218:4,6,9,21 319:16,16,17 education 1:19 28:3,7,15,22 29:3 39:16 41:11 58:19 63:21 92:1 117:19 616:13 167:20 169:10,17 170:14 177:14 172:6,10 177:3 189:15,20 177:3 189:15,20 179:19 22 01:14 202:3,5,6,9,13,14 202:20 206:16 207:6 224:22 241:14,24 242:24 246:12 251:15 128:11 138:7 139:7 244:12,24 242:24 244:16,24 242:24 246:12 251:15 103:6 education 3 54:21 educator 354:21 educator 33:3 281:12,17 election 33:3 electronic 35:21 156:19 162:13 172:21 166:13 167:20 177:3 189:15,20 177:3 189:15,20 177:3 189:15,20 177:3 189:15,20 272:2 1117:19 190:19,22 201:14 202:3,5,6,9,13,14 202:20 206:16 207:6 224:22 244:14,24 242:24 244:12 25:06 270:8 education's 43:15 103:6 educational 169:21 177:12 172:2,1,1,15 172:25 173:1 175:6,9,18 176:4 176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 270:25 280:18 191:8 194:22 28:10 217:2 28:11 217:4 199:19 14:11 149:16 288:9 emergencies 47:20 213:18 emergency 36:18 emergency 36:18 emergency 36:18 emergency 36:18 16:105:7 175:619 162:12 175:619 162:13 175:619 162:13 175:619 162:13 175:619 162:13 175:619 162:13 175:619 162:13 175:619 162:13 175:619 162:13 175:619 162:13 175:619 162:13 175:619 162:13 156:19 162:13 175:619 162:13 156:19 162:13 175:619 162:13 156:19 162:13 172:11 110:18 158:15 184:15 188:21 184:15 188:21 184:	ed's 359:10	203:11,20 205:19	279:10 281:15	emails 7:25 90:22
educate 51:6 216:11 217:3,20 97:6 162:12 172:4 149:16 288:9 emergencies 47:20 319:21 357:23 219:18 325:4 356:1 educating 235:20 219:18 325:4 356:1 292:10 30:57 emergencies 47:20 319:16,16,17 education 357:6 elaborate 228:6 229:10 30:57 elaborate 228:6 213:18 emergencies 47:20 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21 20:21	edge 150:12	205:22 206:1 207:7	298:12 319:18	100:24 101:5
130:25 315:12 218:46,9,21 219:18 325:4 356:1 educating 235:20 a19:16,16,17 educator 354:21 educator 354:4 357:6 28:3,7,15,22 29:3 39:16 41:11 58:19 20:8 27:22 30:2 effect 2:17 12:18 20:8 27:22 30:2 effective 52:10 56:13,13 140:3 172:21 171:14 172:6,10 73:1 168:20 306:11 effort 31:12 44:10 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 356:2 225:13 226:5 9:11 14:2 17:12 225:13 226:5 9:11 14:2 17:12 225:17,20 294:22 24:18 103:21 225:17,20 294:22 241:14,24 242:24 224:25 250:6 270:8 244:25 250:6 270	edict 26:2 98:13	214:24 215:4 216:8	either 42:1 64:16	112:19 114:11
319:21 357:23 219:18 325:4 356:1 292:10 305:7 213:18	educate 51:6	216:11 217:3,20	97:6 162:12 172:4	149:16 288:9
educating 235:20 educators 354:21 elaborate 228:6 emergency 36:18 319:16,16,17 advactors 354:4 281:12,17 40:23,25 41:2 49:8 28:3,7,15,22 29:3 39:16 41:11 58:19 effect 2:17 12:18 election 333:3 49:20 50:15 13:68 39:16 41:11 58:19 63:21 92:1 117:19 effective 52:10 156:19 162:13 55:14 93:21 95:23 169:10,17 170:14 171:14 172:6,10 252:2 321:24 322:4 effectively 47:10 177:3 189:15,20 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 45:7 58:8 107:20 180:10,17,21,23 190:19,22 201:14 356:2 12:11 eight 5:6,20 6:8 elementary 21:21 207:24 208:5,7,15 202:6,9,13,14 202:20 206:16 ei 74:23 197:7 325:1 21:11 22:217:13 218:12 293:19 241:14,24 242:24 22:20 24:18 103:21 12:21 13:22 17:13 23:51 emergency 36:18 202:3,5,6,9,13,14 202:3,5,6,9,13,14 202:23 32:24 202:3,5,6,9,13,14 202:3,5,6,9,13,18 203:15 209:14 210:9 20:17,21	130:25 315:12	218:4,6,9,21	195:21 219:16	emergencies 47:20
319:16,16,17 education 1:19 357:6 357:6 357:6 281:12,17 40:23,25 41:2 49:8 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 291:10:1 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 281:12,17 29:10:1 49:20 40:21 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 47:7 58:10 50:1 4 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 47:7 58:10 50:1 4 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 47:7 58:10 50:1 4 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 47:7 58:10 50:1 4 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 47:7 58:10 50:1 4 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 47:7 58:10 50:1 4 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 47:7 58:10 50:1 4 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 47:7 58:10 50:1 4 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 47:7 58:10 50:1 4 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 48:7 58:8 107	319:21 357:23	219:18 325:4 356:1	292:10 305:7	213:18
education 1:19 357:6 effect 2:17 12:18 28:3,7,15,22 29:3 40:23,25 41:2 49:8 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 39:16 41:11 58:19 20:8 27:22 30:2 election 333:3 electronic 35:1 51:9 54:13 55:10 51:14 93:21 95:23 96:16 102:22 139:5 51:9 54:13 55:10 51:14 93:21 95:23 96:16 102:22 139:5 10:10:18 158:15 electronically 110:18 158:15 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,21 19:10:18 15	educating 235:20	educator 354:21	elaborate 228:6	emergency 36:18
28:3,7,15,22 29:3 effect 2:17 12:18 20:8 27:22 30:2 election 333:3 49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8 39:16 41:11 58:19 63:21 92:1 117:19 effective 52:10 156:19 162:13 51:9 54:13 55:10 129:11,21 164:9 56:13,13 140:3 172:21 96:16 102:22 139:5 96:16 102:22 139:5 166:13 167:20 252:2 321:24 322:4 electronically 180:10,17,21,23 169:10,17,21,23 110:18 158:15 180:10,17,21,23 180:10,72,123 180:10,	319:16,16,17	educators 354:4	elected 137:8	36:24 37:21 38:10
39:16 41:11 58:19	education 1:19	357:6	281:12,17	40:23,25 41:2 49:8
63:21 92:1 117:19	28:3,7,15,22 29:3	effect 2:17 12:18	election 333:3	49:20 50:1 51:3,6,8
129:11,21 164:9	39:16 41:11 58:19	20:8 27:22 30:2	electronic 35:21	51:9 54:13 55:10
166:13 167:20	63:21 92:1 117:19	effective 52:10	156:19 162:13	55:14 93:21 95:23
169:10,17 170:14	129:11,21 164:9	56:13,13 140:3	172:21	96:16 102:22 139:5
171:14 172:6,10	166:13 167:20	252:2 321:24 322:4	electronically	180:10,17,21,23
175:25 176:10,21 effort 31:12 44:10 elementary 21:21 207:24 208:5,7,15 177:3 189:15,20 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 45:7 58:8 107:20 208:15 209:14 190:19,22 201:14 356:2 108:4 111:14 210:9 213:17 218:8 202:3,5,6,9,13,14 efforts 121:11 112:22 171:13 218:12 293:19 207:6 224:22 eight 5:6,20 6:8 19:11 14:2 17:12 elements 138:25 elemerging 336:11 241:14,24 242:24 22:20 24:18 103:21 elevated 49:21 339:16 emphasis 293:19 244:15 343:8 359:4 244:25 250:6 270:8 elevation 45:17 46:7 emphasize 323:4 education's 43:15 284:16 319:6 337:4 339:18 eli 358:24 emphasizes 321:23 educational 169:21 13:9 24:18 104:4 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 172:25 173:1 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 eliminating 38:3 191:8 194:22 332:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25	169:10,17 170:14	effectively 47:10	110:18 158:15	184:15 188:21
177:3 189:15,20 47:4,12 51:6 57:17 45:7 58:8 107:20 208:15 209:14 190:19,22 201:14 356:2 108:4 111:14 210:9 213:17 218:8 202:3,5,6,9,13,14 efforts 121:11 112:22 171:13 218:12 293:19 207:6 224:22 eight 5:6,20 6:8 19:11 14:2 17:12 elewates 121:19 emerging 336:11 225:13 226:5 9:11 14:2 17:12 elevated 49:21 339:16 emphasis 293:19 244:14,24 242:24 22:20 24:18 103:21 elevated 49:21 339:16 emphasis 293:19 254:17,20 294:22 139:11,13 209:12 elevation 45:17 emphasize 323:4 324:15 343:8 359:4 244:25 250:6 270:8 elevate 162:24 323:15 education's 43:15 284:16 319:6 337:4 eli 358:24 emphasize 323:4 103:6 340:25 353:3 eli 358:24 emphasizes 321:23 educational 169:21 13:9 24:18 104:4 eligible 109:18,19 105:5 361:12,16 172:25 173:1 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 174:16,21 employee 101:3 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 employees 39:2,5	171:14 172:6,10	73:1 168:20 306:11	element 142:24	192:19 207:17,21
190:19,22 201:14 202:3,5,6,9,13,14 efforts 121:11 112:22 171:13 218:12 293:19 325:1 207:6 224:22 eight 5:6,20 6:8 225:13 226:5 9:11 14:2 17:12 246:12 251:15 128:11 138:7 139:7 254:17,20 294:22 324:15 343:8 359:4 education's 43:15 103:6 educational 169:21 171:12 172:2,11,15 172:25 173:1 174:10,11,24 175:1 175:6,9,18 176:4 176:17 177:10,11 178:9,19 179:4,6 187:5,6,9,14,18 191:8 194:22 332:13 efforts 121:11 112:22 171:13 218:12 293:19 325:1 emerging 336:11 emotional 217:16 339:16 emphasis 293:19 elevated 49:21 118:1 emphasis 293:19 emphasis 293:19 emphasize 323:4 339:16 emphasize 323:4 323:15 emphasized 323:4 emphasized 323:4 emphasized 323:4 emphasized 323:4 emphasize 323:15 emphasized 323:4 emphasized 323	175:25 176:10,21	effort 31:12 44:10	elementary 21:21	207:24 208:5,7,15
202:3,5,6,9,13,14 efforts 121:11 112:22 171:13 218:12 293:19 207:6 224:22 eight 5:6,20 6:8 elements 138:25 emerging 336:11 225:13 226:5 9:11 14:2 17:12 elevate 121:19 emotional 217:16 241:14,24 242:24 22:20 24:18 103:21 118:1 emotional 217:16 246:12 251:15 128:11 138:7 139:7 118:1 emphasis 293:19 254:17,20 294:22 139:11,13 209:12 elevated 49:21 339:16 emphasis 293:19 education's 43:15 284:16 319:6 337:4 46:7 323:15 eleven 162:24 323:15 emphasize 323:4 103:6 284:16 319:6 337:4 340:25 353:3 eleven 162:24 339:18 emphasizes 321:23 educational 169:21 13:9 24:18 104:4 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 employed 62:11 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 179:20 361:15 362:11	177:3 189:15,20	47:4,12 51:6 57:17	45:7 58:8 107:20	208:15 209:14
202:20 206:16 ei 74:23 197:7 325:1 207:6 224:22 eight 5:6,20 6:8 elements 138:25 emerging 336:11 225:13 226:5 9:11 14:2 17:12 elevate 121:19 emotional 217:16 241:14,24 242:24 22:20 24:18 103:21 118:1 emotional 217:16 246:12 251:15 128:11 138:7 139:7 118:1 emphasis 293:19 254:17,20 294:22 139:11,13 209:12 46:7 elevation 45:17 323:15 education's 43:15 284:16 319:6 337:4 46:7 eleven 162:24 323:15 educational 169:21 13:9 24:18 104:4 eligible 109:18,19 105:5 361:12,16 172:25 173:1 12:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 105:5 361:12,16 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 eliminate 21:3 362:11 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 enail 5:16 36:12 179:11 190	190:19,22 201:14	356:2	108:4 111:14	210:9 213:17 218:8
207:6 224:22 eight 5:6,20 6:8 elements 138:25 emerging 336:11 225:13 226:5 9:11 14:2 17:12 elevate 121:19 a39:16 241:14,24 242:24 22:20 24:18 103:21 118:1 emphasis 293:19 246:12 251:15 139:11,13 209:12 elevation 45:17 emphasize 323:4 254:17,20 294:22 139:11,13 209:12 elevation 45:17 emphasize 323:4 324:15 343:8 359:4 244:25 250:6 270:8 46:7 323:15 education's 43:15 284:16 319:6 337:4 eleven 162:24 emphasize 321:23 educational 169:21 13:9 24:18 104:4 eli 358:24 emphasizes 321:23 171:12 172:2,11,15 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 169:24 172:4 employee 101:3 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 191:8 194:22 332:13 179:11 190:13	202:3,5,6,9,13,14	efforts 121:11	112:22 171:13	218:12 293:19
225:13 226:5 9:11 14:2 17:12 elevate 121:19 emotional 217:16 241:14,24 242:24 22:20 24:18 103:21 118:1 339:16 246:12 251:15 128:11 138:7 139:7 118:1 emphasis 293:19 254:17,20 294:22 139:11,13 209:12 elevation 45:17 emphasize 323:4 324:15 343:8 359:4 244:25 250:6 270:8 eleven 162:24 323:15 education's 43:15 284:16 319:6 337:4 eleven 162:24 323:15 103:6 340:25 353:3 eighteen 12:22 13:4 eli 358:24 emphasizes 321:23 educational 169:21 13:9 24:18 104:4 eligible 109:18,19 105:5 361:12,16 172:25 173:1 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 169:24 172:4 employee 101:3 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	202:20 206:16	ei 74:23	197:7	325:1
225:13 226:5 9:11 14:2 17:12 elevate 121:19 emotional 217:16 241:14,24 242:24 22:20 24:18 103:21 118:1 339:16 246:12 251:15 128:11 138:7 139:7 118:1 emphasis 293:19 254:17,20 294:22 139:11,13 209:12 46:7 elevation 45:17 emphasize 323:4 324:15 343:8 359:4 244:25 250:6 270:8 46:7 eleven 162:24 323:15 education's 43:15 284:16 319:6 337:4 339:18 emphasize 323:4 103:6 340:25 353:3 eighteen 12:22 13:4 eli 358:24 emphasizes 321:23 educational 169:21 13:9 24:18 104:4 eligible 109:18,19 105:5 361:12,16 172:25 173:1 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 169:24 172:4 199:20 361:15 176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 eliminate 21:3 362:11 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 el	207:6 224:22	eight 5:6,20 6:8	elements 138:25	emerging 336:11
246:12 251:15 128:11 138:7 139:7 118:1 emphasis 293:19 254:17,20 294:22 139:11,13 209:12 46:7 323:15 324:15 343:8 359:4 244:25 250:6 270:8 46:7 323:15 education's 43:15 284:16 319:6 337:4 339:18 eleven 162:24 emphasize 323:4 103:6 340:25 353:3 eighteen 12:22 13:4 eli 358:24 emphasizes 321:23 educational 169:21 13:9 24:18 104:4 eligible 109:18,19 105:5 361:12,16 172:25 173:1 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 169:24 172:4 employee 101:3 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	225:13 226:5	9:11 14:2 17:12	elevate 121:19	
254:17,20 294:22	241:14,24 242:24	22:20 24:18 103:21	elevated 49:21	339:16
324:15 343:8 359:4 244:25 250:6 270:8 46:7 323:15 education's 43:15 284:16 319:6 337:4 eleven 162:24 emphasized 323:4 103:6 340:25 353:3 339:18 emphasizes 321:23 educational 169:21 13:9 24:18 104:4 eligible 109:18,19 105:5 361:12,16 172:25 173:1 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 169:24 172:4 employee 101:3 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 eliminate 21:3 362:11 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	246:12 251:15	128:11 138:7 139:7	118:1	emphasis 293:19
324:15 343:8 359:4 244:25 250:6 270:8 46:7 323:15 education's 43:15 284:16 319:6 337:4 eleven 162:24 emphasized 323:4 103:6 340:25 353:3 339:18 emphasizes 321:23 educational 169:21 13:9 24:18 104:4 eligible 109:18,19 105:5 361:12,16 172:25 173:1 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 169:24 172:4 employee 101:3 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 eliminate 21:3 362:11 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	254:17,20 294:22	139:11,13 209:12	elevation 45:17	emphasize 323:4
103:6 340:25 353:3 339:18 emphasizes 321:23 educational 169:21 13:9 24:18 104:4 eli 358:24 employed 62:11 171:12 172:2,11,15 13:9 24:18 104:4 eligible 109:18,19 105:5 361:12,16 172:25 173:1 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 169:24 172:4 employee 101:3 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 eliminate 21:3 362:11 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	324:15 343:8 359:4	244:25 250:6 270:8	46:7	323:15
educational 169:21 eighteen 12:22 13:4 eli 358:24 employed 62:11 171:12 172:2,11,15 13:9 24:18 104:4 eligible 109:18,19 105:5 361:12,16 172:25 173:1 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 169:24 172:4 employee 101:3 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 eliminate 21:3 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	education's 43:15	284:16 319:6 337:4	eleven 162:24	emphasized 323:4
171:12 172:2,11,15 13:9 24:18 104:4 eligible 109:18,19 105:5 361:12,16 172:25 173:1 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 169:24 172:4 employee 101:3 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 eliminate 21:3 362:11 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	103:6	340:25 353:3	339:18	emphasizes 321:23
172:25 173:1 122:18 123:24 109:21 110:1 362:8,12 174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 169:24 172:4 employee 101:3 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 eliminate 21:3 362:8,12 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	educational 169:21	eighteen 12:22 13:4	eli 358:24	employed 62:11
174:10,11,24 175:1 128:13 130:22 169:24 172:4 employee 101:3 175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 eliminate 21:3 362:11 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	171:12 172:2,11,15	13:9 24:18 104:4	eligible 109:18,19	105:5 361:12,16
175:6,9,18 176:4 172:5 233:20 269:2 174:16,21 199:20 361:15 176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 eliminate 21:3 362:11 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	172:25 173:1	122:18 123:24	109:21 110:1	362:8,12
176:17 177:10,11 269:17 270:19,20 eliminate 21:3 362:11 178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	174:10,11,24 175:1	128:13 130:22	169:24 172:4	employee 101:3
178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	175:6,9,18 176:4	172:5 233:20 269:2	174:16,21	199:20 361:15
178:9,19 179:4,6 270:25 280:18 87:10 250:7 employees 39:2,5 187:5,6,9,14,18 285:1 287:13 eliminating 38:3 50:13 71:22,25 191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	176:17 177:10,11	269:17 270:19,20	eliminate 21:3	362:11
191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	178:9,19 179:4,6	270:25 280:18	87:10 250:7	employees 39:2,5
191:8 194:22 332:13 email 5:16 36:12 179:11 190:13	187:5,6,9,14,18	285:1 287:13	eliminating 38:3	50:13 71:22,25
195:10,20 196:11 173:7 230:11 291:4	191:8 194:22	332:13	_	179:11 190:13
	195:10,20 196:11		173:7 230:11	291:4

[employment - eo] Page 385

employment 50:11	156:16 162:8,19	310:16 311:25	ensures 270:13	
empowers 336:8	167:3,18 171:6	312:9,20 314:16	ensuring 32:3,15	
ems 289:15	173:22 174:1	315:3,13 316:13,21	36:9 39:14,20 46:1	
enable 57:20	175:12,14,16 176:3	316:22 317:1,5,10	53:17 54:25 56:16	
enabling 255:14	176:5,11,17 178:17	317:14,16,21	110:6 111:1 114:18	
enacted 67:17	181:23 182:22	325:19 337:17	152:25 322:4,6	
encountering	185:1,3 187:13,15	348:9 351:3 352:3	enter 255:11	
314:16	188:1 190:11,20,25	352:21 353:4	256:10,13 267:3	
encourage 100:2	191:1,3,4,4,7,12,14	354:12 357:3	356:21	
130:18 160:12	191:19,20,21,22,25	enforcement's	entered 223:9	
333:4	192:21 194:6,19,25	167:17	258:9 262:3 316:12	
encouraged 49:4	195:1,6,12 196:3	enforcing 195:13	entering 32:9 34:1	
encourages 160:1	196:21 197:11,22	201:24	152:14	
encryption 316:23	197:23 198:2,5,9,9	engage 93:20	enterprise 36:20	
endangered 99:17	198:20 199:19,23	179:11 301:25	55:25	
endorsed 275:9	200:2,7,9,9 201:4,5	engaged 28:22 81:6	entertain 326:20	
energy 239:2	201:9,13,13,22	153:8 212:6 220:16	entire 12:14 13:6	
enforce 84:17	202:10,12,13,21	engagement 62:12	32:4 36:21 39:25	
194:13,21 197:14	203:15,17,18,19	62:14 217:13 313:6	55:25 62:5,13 87:5	
198:3,6	205:1,2,10,11	325:20	95:8 109:20 124:24	
enforced 88:20	206:9 207:2 208:9	enhance 31:22	127:4 283:18 291:2	
170:13	208:11 209:2	32:16 39:8 55:23	318:6 332:1	
enforcement 1:13	210:13,14,17 215:5	enhanced 49:20	entirety 15:3	
9:20 27:1 29:19	215:18,18,25,25	enhancement 54:9	entities 30:8 65:16	
30:6 36:5 37:2 39:9	216:1 217:5,6,13	enhancing 33:18	146:5 147:23	
39:17,21 40:19,22	219:16 226:14	37:14	248:24 262:24	
43:20,25 44:1,7,9	241:15,17 242:14	enlarged 255:23	263:2 266:1 309:13	
45:12,15 46:22,25	242:16 244:20,23	enlighten 320:25	entity 65:21	
56:3,22 60:8,14	245:3 246:11,25	enlist 310:19	entrances 152:1,4	
61:21 62:8 64:13	247:8,16,18,19	enrollment 352:21	entry 32:5,6 33:21	
65:14,16,21 66:6	249:23 250:5	353:3	33:23 34:1 35:4	
66:10,24 67:12,25	251:19 252:16	ensure 31:18 32:1	48:15 49:4 95:6	
80:6,10,15,24 81:6	254:17,23,25 256:1	38:6,17 41:20 42:8	152:24	
83:10 84:19,23	260:24 261:3,6,12	47:1,22 65:7 68:13	environment 31:23	
85:6,17 93:8 96:20	264:25 265:11,14	68:20 95:3 111:25	111:2	
100:2 110:9,16	266:14,24 268:22	115:23 135:20	environments	
118:17 119:11,17	268:24 270:18,22	309:22 310:6,10	58:20 68:10 164:5	
120:1,21 123:20	271:3,22 272:16	314:16 317:20	343:15 353:14	
126:13 142:20	274:5 275:5,20,21	356:24	envisioned 250:7	
143:8 144:2 146:5	289:6,16 306:18	ensured 3:3	eo 249:7	
146:14 155:11	307:8 309:13,18			
Varitant Lagal Colutions				

[eo1945 - exist] Page 386

eo1945 226:4	et 115:13 190:11	356:3	208:15 212:15
equal 11:15 339:9	196:22 215:12	evolution 359:15	218:8 219:19
equality 338:16	221:22	evolve 323:7	251:13
equate 120:20	ether 170:17	evolves 331:18	exceptional 53:9
equipment 317:19	ethical 355:4	ex 178:5,6,8	92:1 117:18
equipped 138:20	evaluate 48:14	exact 51:5 94:3	exceptionalities
equivalent 139:7	317:10	146:20 275:18	214:7
162:8	evaluated 25:18	exactly 2:10 3:14	exceptions 65:10
err 79:19	323:9	7:3 8:17 83:7 85:1	86:23,24 87:16
errors 86:23 87:11	evaluation 62:21	111:24 129:9	166:16 171:16
es 361:4	227:2 359:17	164:12 231:3	177:2 183:12 188:1
escalate 343:4	evasive 332:8	233:10 236:12,12	188:6 195:24 210:8
escalated 88:9	evening 4:14	236:15 240:25	212:11 213:9 214:1
escalation 140:7,8	event 22:24 176:15	255:24 262:25	218:11 305:7
escorted 34:1	216:13 241:8	276:3 341:10	excess 94:19
ese 141:2 192:8,11	283:18 285:8	examined 63:10	excited 114:7 325:7
193:2,3,11,12	286:13	examining 42:3	excluded 175:17
especially 66:20	events 34:3 292:20	56:10	exclusive 323:15,16
75:9 76:25 78:1	309:17 310:20	example 34:18	323:20,20,24 324:2
163:17,22 276:9	311:7	115:8 170:25	324:3
288:20 305:24	eventually 282:16	171:21 173:18,20	exclusively 191:14
335:25	everybody 2:24 6:1	174:18 175:3	191:15,21 210:17
essence 243:6	8:11 19:3 20:21	177:21 179:24	210:18
essentially 75:25	21:15 22:16 88:25	186:12,14 195:11	excuse 150:17
166:21 167:12	89:3 100:14 104:15	196:25 197:6 203:3	excuses 12:12
169:18 176:1 226:3	116:6,11 124:23	205:16 212:8	13:15 20:16
228:13 240:4	158:22 160:18,21	236:17 247:7	execute 125:4,7
254:11 255:16,18	183:14 185:15	249:15 255:22	129:24 307:15
257:14 258:17	189:17 221:22	256:5,7 257:8	executed 128:11
establish 315:15	222:13,20 229:2,22	258:24 259:6	execution 38:7
316:10	260:2,3,4,11	290:25	executive 117:18
established 32:10	266:18 273:17,20	examples 232:14	226:10 249:6 321:6
38:22 150:12	274:24 275:1	232:18 236:13	324:14
299:19 310:22	294:15 303:16	295:5	executives 315:13
315:2 330:5	306:7 330:2,25	excellence 53:18	exempt 223:2,5
establishes 45:23	360:18	excellent 326:17	exercise 129:23
45:25	everybody's 116:5	exception 13:14	130:11,12
establishing 37:9	evidence 63:9	177:14,17 178:11	exercising 63:6
312:11,15 318:13	71:10 126:8,17	178:25 179:2,16	exist 171:17 246:2
establishment	131:2 317:8,13	182:3 184:15 195:7	246:8 309:9,22
40:14	349:13 352:15	199:5 206:19	

[existence - favorite] Page 387

100.0	1. 1.07417	B 114 40 10 20	6 • 1 00 00 100 00
existence 122:8	explained 254:15	facility 40:18,20	fairly 88:20 100:22
316:2	explains 220:7	facing 99:9 344:15	125:17
existing 33:12	explanation 220:14	fact 15:19 27:6	fairness 356:8
35:24 49:24 54:14	exploring 255:2	63:4 67:11 68:2	faith 204:5,20
292:22 312:6	expressed 11:22	75:25 76:3,6 81:1,8	265:22
exists 182:1 360:8	50:14 332:13	85:22 97:14 98:2	fall 71:14 212:11
exits 143:7	expressly 21:13	99:1 109:2 127:16	212:14 213:25
exonerate 317:11	extend 34:7	128:3,9 146:13	233:17 324:24
expand 29:16	extended 34:10	147:21 163:23	325:22
55:23	39:1	196:9 197:24	falls 91:24,25
expanding 232:12	extensive 38:13	209:16 210:19	183:12 184:14
expect 16:8,8 87:19	extent 146:9	259:20 289:12	false 235:21 245:14
280:5	147:18 171:14	297:10 304:24	253:13
expectation 143:6	182:19 296:3	305:9 338:15 343:1	familiar 64:1 89:3
148:16,25 253:13	exteriors 32:12	345:1,8 350:9	159:10,14 203:25
expectations 28:9	external 52:7	352:11	265:12 335:24
39:4 46:2,8 117:21	118:23 140:17	faction 210:11	familiarity 122:6
235:22,25 245:15	externally 358:15	factor 176:4 194:4	families 16:5 37:6
253:3	358:16	facts 12:11,11 22:9	37:11 43:11 57:8
expected 59:6	extreme 188:9	22:10,16 23:10	226:13 227:9
expedited 110:13	extremely 9:13	24:3 30:17 31:5	241:15 242:11
expelled 153:23	11:18 43:10 66:9	77:8 97:13,24	332:20 336:2,8
expenditure 33:19	121:21 163:22	99:22 125:23 130:5	357:4
expensive 318:17	185:24 220:2,8,9	186:24 188:24	family 77:1,22
experience 11:8	247:21 303:13	314:18 349:7	169:21 173:7
37:3,11 92:17	336:11 337:11	faculty 112:10	303:18 336:7,7,9
143:8,9 303:5	eye 97:6 329:22	343:17	337:25 342:17
342:2	eyes 32:14 334:25	fail 29:21	347:8
experienced 142:8	359:11	failed 127:22	fan 335:10,16
expert 111:10	f	128:25 129:1	faqs 256:3
312:24	_	283:22 305:5,5	far 11:1 34:4 39:5
expertise 48:13	f 14:3	failure 14:3,4 26:3	59:15 62:23 73:5
254:16,23 255:4	face 204:13 352:9	29:22 30:4 43:6	101:19 125:11
experts 48:18	faced 74:13	283:17	137:14 189:24
56:12 307:20	faces 347:13	failures 124:4	217:1 295:18,19
314:24 321:13	facets 115:16	fair 81:12 84:6	296:10,21 297:1
355:21 357:3	facilitated 336:10	96:14,24 97:4	298:3 304:2 307:17
expires 62:6 182:2	facilitating 169:3	106:20 107:11	326:25 349:5
_	facilities 37:5 40:24	113:8 125:22	fast 61:14 100:15
explain 159:19 189:8 224:8 242:17	271:18 317:19		
	325:4	faire 359:9,18	favorite 336:7
254:8 265:1			
	1		

[fazed - first] Page 388

fazed 113:2	298:4	193:15,23 194:16	finalized 45:20
fbi 308:5 317:23	feeds 35:10 211:4,9	194:16 198:18	46:20
fcic 274:9 314:4	feel 16:25 58:11	199:25,25 202:19	finally 101:7 153:7
316:9,12	155:8 162:14	202:22 208:12	168:4 179:17 320:7
fdle 1:22 72:22	170:21 304:17	209:1 211:21	financially 361:16
73:10 74:23 76:19	323:18 334:5,7,16	215:13 216:22	362:12
222:4 226:6,13	343:14,18 347:11	218:10 220:13	find 51:8 60:22
227:9 241:16	347:12,23 352:10	251:10	65:5 84:7 86:15
242:17 254:25	feeling 77:24 114:7	fiasco 287:2	90:2 110:7 121:18
286:10 292:6,11	115:24	fidelity 41:13 46:1	142:2 155:13 193:4
297:1,8 307:10	feelings 142:12	field 120:21 266:15	234:5,19 244:1
310:15,23,25 328:7	242:23	312:25 355:22	253:8 270:8 340:12
fdle's 73:5 315:1	feels 58:17 114:10	fifteen 97:20	352:5
fear 88:18 91:18	327:22 342:5	352:19	finder 266:13
108:21 115:24	347:15	fifty 45:9 50:23	finding 15:19 74:16
351:10	feet 3:18 24:12 77:3	138:11 163:5,24	findings 87:7
fearful 109:3	feis 42:2	290:16 294:6	fingers 338:11
fears 351:12	fellow 106:21	297:15 308:8,8	fingertips 246:7
featured 49:13	fellowship 355:16	347:24 359:12	finish 93:1 291:14
features 228:8,19	felony 109:24	fight 203:6 206:5	finished 222:18
316:24,25	111:22	fights 139:22 143:2	fire 3:19 37:24 48:8
february 12:13	felt 58:12 294:20	156:3	154:17 161:14,15
13:3 16:17 29:23	fema 323:18	figure 3:13 22:8	161:24 162:2 320:2
36:22 46:14 47:5	fence 235:5 236:24	85:10,12 91:11	firearm 306:11
49:15,24 79:9,19	fences 34:20 35:3	97:7 131:22 173:16	firearms 304:6
94:13 95:25 97:15	fencing 32:4 34:18	196:6 199:13	305:5
123:12 144:15	232:6	206:22 219:13	fired 26:3
154:10 175:25	ferpa 165:18 166:6	293:4 338:17	firefighters 289:15
188:8 289:13	166:11,16 167:1,3	346:18	fires 320:4
292:21 307:6 341:6	167:6,11,15,22	figured 22:21	firm 19:5,6 52:7
fed 156:18 241:19	168:5,15 169:11,16	file 109:1 183:17	87:3 118:23 166:20
federal 167:14	169:18,19,21,25	314:4 316:9,12	166:22 331:4
169:5,6,8,20	170:2,15,19 171:6	files 198:19 210:23	first 3:5 4:5,9 5:6
171:15,16,19 268:1	171:10,22 173:3	210:24	26:22 30:21 40:19
277:25 311:15,19	175:19 176:7,8,13	filmed 153:25	42:14 47:24 48:3
311:24 317:15	177:2,7 178:24	filter 257:12	48:16 54:11 58:1
318:1	179:2,16,20,22,24	filtered 234:13	58:12 60:7 64:22
feed 208:22,24	180:21 182:14	final 41:10 52:23	65:1 66:8 72:14
209:10	184:25 185:22	349:11	81:15 102:3 107:8
feedback 87:6	186:6 187:25 188:6	finality 61:14	123:3,6 124:8
261:21 295:18,20	189:18 191:24		130:22 147:9

[first - forty] Page 389

148:15,17 150:6	flexibility 176:14	flow 110:15	food 124:1
168:24 171:25	199:13	flowed 273:21	footage 49:13,17
188:11 190:20	flexible 176:7,8,12	flows 270:16	207:25
199:18 205:3,7	199:12	fluent 329:24	footprint 318:10
206:9,13,21,23	flight 164:21	flush 182:18	forbid 20:9 305:11
208:25 217:7	165:19 260:25	185:13	force 89:23 314:8
219:20 225:2	261:10	fly 199:17	318:8
227:14,14 228:9	flights 221:17	flying 7:21,24 8:15	forced 239:6
246:14 255:10	flip 201:18 207:14	focus 53:20 58:7	forces 40:8 312:5
256:11 279:15	337:9	121:6 167:4 169:19	318:2,5,13,19
304:2 307:18,21	flood 234:10 345:6	172:7 271:6 308:23	foregoing 361:3,4
315:24 330:24	floor 48:3,16	322:13 325:9	362:4
331:12 332:9 334:2	160:23 204:2	329:15	foremost 279:15
337:16 355:5	333:25	focused 39:13,19	forget 146:20
358:23	florida 1:7,13,15	44:10 139:20	267:15 289:18,19
fit 35:18	1:22 9:12 12:15	140:10,20,23 208:9	form 64:19 269:5
five 33:10 45:9,11	13:10 19:10,11	216:23 287:15	271:20 313:21
55:22 96:2 97:5	20:10 41:4 53:4	288:2 328:2	formal 30:21 71:21
98:19 102:5 116:17	63:17 64:21 103:6	focuses 140:6	71:24 125:10
141:15 142:4	132:5 166:13,23	folders 8:1 271:7	former 1:17 53:14
143:18 155:7 163:3	167:14 168:25	folks 12:25 72:24	149:24 153:15
163:6,24,25 166:1	170:17 196:19	73:20 87:11 108:3	337:23 354:21
166:2,5 190:1	220:2 223:2,3,5,6	123:25 138:15	forms 308:4
211:14 223:18	224:6,11,16,22	146:1 167:6 170:21	formulated 67:11
227:10 228:21	225:3 226:21	325:5 343:21,22,23	fort 308:15
233:13 279:8,9	227:11 228:4 240:1	344:18,20 345:12	forth 6:19 8:15
281:14 283:19	240:6,8 242:6,13	345:13 346:2,4,10	101:10 199:24
286:19 308:9,10	249:24 255:8	346:13	293:24 294:18
314:23 337:20	266:12 280:10	follow 19:17 20:13	299:16 303:7,11
338:23,24	294:24,25 295:2	20:22 29:21 30:4	forthcoming 262:6
fix 22:4 123:24	299:5 304:4,18	58:25 59:14 81:10	fortify 251:22
346:13	307:7,16,17 308:15	82:2 89:14 111:23	fortifyfl 35:20,22
fixes 123:4	310:17,18,24	151:14 157:14	226:15 227:25
fixing 5:4	313:14 314:11	188:5	242:5 244:7,7
flag 320:4	331:9 333:22	followed 26:2 31:4	251:6,23 254:22
flagged 228:1	336:22 337:2 338:3	83:16,20 84:10	257:18 258:18
flags 319:21 336:16	338:5 350:21	112:1	259:9
flanagan 53:11	354:20,23 355:20	following 24:1	fortunate 36:25
107:9	357:1 361:24	89:19 108:7	182:12
fleet 54:22	florida's 307:4	follows 322:8	forty 5:6,20 6:8 7:8
	312:3 353:9		13:25 17:12 18:21

[forty - generate] Page 390

19:11 22:20 24:18	116:15 139:9,10	269:22 276:2	361:14 362:10
42:16 62:18 128:11	162:25 228:22	307:13	fusion 278:2 312:6
138:5,6,7 163:3	233:17 244:6 245:1	frustrated 247:25	314:1
189:18,19,25 190:1	249:23,25 251:25	253:9	future 101:17
270:8 284:16	252:13 256:25	frustrating 115:2	297:7 331:12
293:11,14,20 294:5	258:16 271:6,9	frustration 13:18	357:11
295:10,16 298:9	289:5 290:16 295:8	287:1	
300:16,21 301:1,3	295:14,14 312:22	fsa 310:24	g
301:8 315:9 330:19	fourteen 4:1,13	fssats 41:7 123:15	g 173:20
336:22 337:4	54:21,23 297:13	fulfill 108:22	gain 317:7
338:23,24	320:18	195:24	gained 33:7 90:15
forum 106:17	fourth 335:10	fulfilling 179:5	game 133:5,6,6
forums 232:21	fpca 310:23	200:15	194:6 280:4 294:1
forward 10:11	frame 12:12 59:5	full 34:7 62:20	games 22:6 24:9
15:10,13 53:19	framework 36:20	93:19 126:11	100:1,23 130:20
66:19 70:23 91:11	312:2	139:16,19 140:5	281:19
102:19 103:23	frankly 205:18	272:3 278:7 318:15	gap 21:11 85:5
116:22 125:6 128:6	243:19 332:19	fully 25:23 129:24	gaps 62:25
129:14 148:18	freaked 13:7	275:8 277:3 307:15	garcia 362:2,20
255:13,15 272:5	free 47:19 168:17	function 179:5,10	gate 34:19
307:22,24 319:5	194:6 301:20	195:3 198:7	gather 15:14
324:22 326:22,24	352:15 359:9	functional 33:23	gauge 322:24
327:3 331:25	frequency 154:16	functionalities	gc 1:18
333:17 336:22	254:1	234:23	gender 20:2
355:10 357:12	frequent 154:17	functionality 33:21	general 1:11 20:8
360:18	261:17	functioning 217:8	30:11 31:6 47:8
foster 353:14	fresh 84:13	217:9	83:11,14 84:1
found 21:7 81:7	friday 5:2,3 18:4	fund 94:24	94:24 152:10
88:5 133:12 223:6	23:9 61:16 63:2,22	funded 55:21	157:17 176:20
228:15 250:10	104:13 131:3	171:14	185:8,9 220:4 224:23 225:12
257:15 259:5 281:2	145:15 146:22	funding 32:22 33:1	
292:19 295:23	147:2,5 280:11	33:3,5,20 34:6 54:7	233:16,18 292:24 346:19
323:2 341:16	328:7	171:7,16,19,20	general's 294:23
348:24 356:17	fridays 165:7	311:24 318:18	310:24
foundation 74:22	friend 11:19 286:9	336:23 337:13	generalize 163:14
358:24,25	friends 347:8	funds 95:15 171:15	generally 170:20
foundational 32:14	frisk 150:2,2	furniture 47:19	170:23 171:11
four 7:8 18:21	frisked 150:5	further 93:15	183:21 187:25
22:20 45:8 52:4	151:16	118:2 281:11 282:8	320:24
54:21 62:18 67:15	front 111:11	298:24 307:10	generate 227:16
81:11 86:14 103:2	205:14,22 258:14	322:25 341:24	5
	T7. * T	ral Solutions	

[generates - going] Page 391

generates 241:9	globe 37:7	276:18 277:5	76:17 85:1 87:22
geo 232:2,5,24	go 12:9 14:16 15:24	278:15 281:16,16	90:18 91:8 97:22
233:9 234:18,24	22:4,12 23:1 40:9	281:17,25 286:2,23	98:10,11 99:16,18
236:23,24	63:7,24 67:4 71:1	290:4 298:22	100:14,15 101:10
getting 5:18 75:2	86:6 87:20 88:15	300:12 302:11	101:14,16,17,18
95:18 115:12 153:8	89:9 91:9,23 98:16	303:23 304:10	103:14,17 104:19
233:6 234:11 238:2	98:17 99:5 101:18	305:10,11,18	104:22,23 106:12
244:13 260:8	101:19 103:18	319:11,20 320:9	106:24 108:9
287:16 296:4	104:19,23 105:7	322:19 323:13	111:17 112:4,13,16
340:12 354:19	107:12 110:2 111:2	325:25,25 327:13	114:14,15 116:25
ghost 83:14	112:23 113:18	328:23 335:7	117:2 120:13
gilchrist 308:17	116:20,22,23	347:12 349:25	121:16 125:6,24,25
311:11	118:19 119:17	360:9,13	126:6 129:18,23,25
gina 332:17	120:18,25 121:16	goal 58:16 200:17	131:4,21 134:9,11
girls 334:3	122:13 125:17	314:9 319:8	134:25 135:5,13
give 5:10,11 6:11	126:1,13,16 127:19	god 5:14,18 6:21	139:16 141:7,12
16:19 17:21 83:17	129:23 135:3	245:22 339:10	142:16 144:19
84:3 87:6 103:18	136:10 142:17	342:20	145:4,7 146:23
106:16 127:9	146:4 148:4,18	goes 80:20 111:3	147:15 148:2,7,18
134:25 135:5 156:3	154:10 164:6	186:24 213:11	149:15 153:6
158:4 177:21 183:3	166:10 168:11,23	242:4 281:10,11	158:19,20,24
183:4 205:12	168:23 186:1,15	301:19 334:19	164:23 165:11,20
206:10 215:24	187:1 188:9 193:14	340:2	166:2,3 167:8,15
216:1,16,17 243:15	196:17 201:21	gohl 82:11,15,18,19	167:16 169:19
243:21 279:14	207:9,10 212:12,15	82:23,24 83:5 84:9	171:11 172:7,8
290:25 291:7,23	213:15 214:3	91:23,24 92:4,9	173:4 175:13
292:13 320:17	216:24 220:21	117:4,8,12,23	181:10,11,12,15
328:5 337:23 349:5	223:22 224:13	118:4,21 119:19	182:5 184:5,12
given 29:25 49:3	225:9 228:16 230:5	153:14,24 158:8	186:13 187:22
68:24 70:11 76:6	231:13,14 236:11	159:11,13	188:9 189:2,5,12
78:16 84:11 106:14	237:5 238:23 239:8	going 2:10,13,16	190:18,21 198:14
106:15 141:7	240:25 243:16	3:15 5:1,3 6:18,19	198:14 200:18
146:10	246:15 247:22	8:3,9 9:4 13:7 18:9	201:18 206:18
gives 30:12 240:15	250:22 251:5,19	19:24 20:11,13,14	207:14 209:5,6,9
266:5 296:17	253:12 254:8	21:2 22:3,10,12	210:9 211:17,18
giving 26:17 258:7	255:11 259:2,14	25:8 26:10,18	212:11,14 213:16
glad 106:8 110:22	260:2,12,14 261:3	56:25 61:7,7,9,14	213:16,18 214:19
114:9 306:20 355:6	262:9 263:5 264:1	65:22 66:1,18,19	217:20 218:20
glimpse 255:7	264:21 267:5,8,9	66:21 70:15 71:7	219:18 221:10,20
global 37:9	268:11,14,15,16	72:6,15,25 73:1,15	224:5 227:14
	270:15 275:2	73:19,22 74:1,7	230:20,25 231:12

[going - gun] Page 392

231:19 235:10	244:4 284:18 288:5	320:25 326:17	197:12,19 201:23
236:6,16 238:22	290:9 298:4 302:9	337:6 341:16	279:2 280:17,20
239:5 240:7,20	333:18,21 335:21	greater 114:22	281:22,23 282:16
242:18 243:15,21	339:12 341:25	153:3 195:5 352:17	282:17 299:18
244:18 245:8	342:22 344:1,23	greatest 182:10	304:3,4,11,17,22
249:14 253:7 254:8	348:6 349:9 360:11	184:21,21 253:7	305:21 306:1
260:24 261:3 265:1	goodbye 16:8	green 159:2 160:17	guardians 13:11
265:10 266:8,11	google 37:9	257:2	14:1 29:11,13,17
268:9,11,14,15	gotten 102:6 298:4	greenleaf 149:25	33:10,13 34:12
269:6,8,11,14,18	govern 15:22	gregg 339:11,14	42:10,17 43:24
270:1,3,13 271:19	governance 355:5	gregory 331:21	95:15 127:14 138:2
273:25 274:8,10,11	governed 132:3	grievance 109:1	138:6 141:17,18
274:12 275:14	governing 40:5	ground 90:17	143:7 144:9,23
277:10,22 278:9	42:24 70:18 137:23	331:10	196:21 197:9,17
280:7,20 282:11,13	government 54:15	group 46:16 166:25	304:13 358:5
283:3,9 290:23	333:15	194:9 270:14 296:6	guards 99:18
291:21 293:4	governmental	310:21,25 312:21	guess 26:6 81:14
300:19,23 301:4,5	56:23	313:23 314:23	189:6,13 202:11
305:10,12 306:2	governor 9:24 10:2	324:22 325:3,10,19	251:6,22 263:13
307:2 309:2,4,5,11	19:12 21:12 249:5	336:10	277:11 340:3 352:8
309:25 310:20	286:11 307:6	groups 220:17	guidance 48:23,25
315:25 320:9	324:17 332:1	285:7 336:2 358:10	49:12 78:16 169:9
323:24 324:6,10,16	349:12	358:10,23	169:14 173:18
325:24 326:4 327:4	governor's 128:17	growing 335:12	175:24 176:6
327:12,18,18,20	310:15	gualtieri 1:10	180:18 188:7,20
328:8 329:3,8	grace 24:6	26:16 240:12	190:6 195:12
330:11,21,25 331:2	graciously 133:3	287:21 313:17	197:25 199:15,16
334:18 340:23	grades 174:17	315:17 333:12	201:7 204:24
341:2 345:24	graduate 334:18	gualtieri's 49:14	208:19 210:1 211:3
348:10,15 349:4	354:19	264:3	315:15 341:20
360:4	grady 1:19 284:1	guarantee 100:12	343:11
golh 156:9	348:8	134:5	guide 35:8 314:10
good 7:23 11:19	grand 15:21 126:5	guard 143:11	331:17
17:22 18:15,15	grant 137:9	196:25 197:3,14	guided 44:25
19:21 20:4 26:12	graphic 48:19	guardian 10:10,14	guideline 323:18
26:15,16 64:1 75:8	323:16,17	10:21 13:12 17:25	guidelines 53:22
80:5 82:24 139:1,2	grasp 182:20	18:22 25:15,19	gun 18:15,16 23:14
139:3 158:16 197:6	great 57:20 61:17	42:2 62:10 65:13	24:5 151:21,24
203:3 204:5,17,20	102:19 130:9 197:8	65:13 84:22 121:22	152:16 153:22
222:13 224:17	218:22 231:23	141:21,24 142:9	233:14 234:7
225:10 226:2 233:7	237:9 302:7 305:2	144:6 148:24 197:9	250:18 257:9 339:8

[gun - heavy] Page 393

351:22	hang 168:10,10	77:18 274:13,17	156:17 175:4
guns 143:1 152:14	327:5	hard 24:7 47:8	177:13 178:18
339:8 352:13	happen 6:18 23:21	123:5,10 125:11,13	180:24 181:3
353:21	84:8 99:4 111:17	125:14 149:12	190:11 228:3
guy 18:15 283:2	112:13 147:15	165:20 218:25	233:15 242:13
guymael 342:21	192:16 201:25	221:16,18 225:21	246:12 247:13
guys 97:23 230:17	266:21 269:9	345:5 350:6	254:17 255:1 257:3
260:22,25 261:7	270:14 274:12	hardening 93:15	260:1 268:23 271:8
331:8 334:2 345:8	358:6,6 360:4,10	95:14 291:14	271:10,14,24
345:18,21 346:21	happened 12:23,24	320:10 321:2,25	272:17 274:14
348:11	15:12 16:17 31:8	358:4	275:23,24 336:23
h	69:9 102:8 103:20	hardest 183:13,13	336:25 342:25
haggled 126:20	108:3 121:13	hardworking 335:3	343:10,13 345:3,23
hair 88:10	133:10 154:14	harm 170:24	346:8 347:3,25
half 22:1 91:18	183:5 189:24 206:3	291:24 320:10	348:2 353:19 354:3
165:21 334:4 341:9	280:8 281:5 283:19	321:2 322:1 346:14	healthy 346:19,20
352:25	308:13,14 332:10	harold 225:24	hear 30:11 50:5
halfway 139:14	338:18,19 339:2	268:8 296:16	65:1 115:4 121:1,3
341:12	346:14 349:8 358:1	harpring 1:18	146:15 166:3
hallandale 5:22	358:3 359:19	63:23 74:5 80:19	182:12 187:11
136:5	happening 83:24	85:4 164:22	214:15 215:16
hallow 334:19	156:1 198:11 212:5	hashed 323:11	222:23 225:22
hallway 47:11	214:13,16 287:22	hats 195:7,8 196:5	292:2 305:22
208:1,1 211:15	360:3	201:8,16	320:21
hallways 35:5	happens 20:24	havass 339:11,12	heard 2:12 9:18
hand 88:8 185:19	22:25 84:4 162:18	339:14 342:11	31:7 56:11 83:6
203:12 208:25	187:15 270:8	havens 140:17	84:9 109:16 115:10
256:24 257:4	288:11 305:12	155:2	121:22 126:7
272:12,12	309:1	he'll 134:21 147:17	145:14 146:10
handful 72:17	happy 12:5 21:15	head 108:23 131:6	215:2 225:14
232:17	166:25 221:5	335:5	241:18 254:6
handheld 54:23	238:10 306:4,9	headed 38:16	299:22 311:21
handle 263:22	326:20 327:7 333:5	headlines 13:22	331:20 334:25
handled 2:20	357:18,19	287:11	349:22
111:23	haprring 14:15,17	headquarters	hearing 119:16
handles 227:11	63:25 64:9 66:8	311:1	hearings 290:20,21
hands 75:2 97:1	67:6,9,20 68:15,19	heads 23:6 66:16	heart 58:15 114:8
203:15 318:1	69:2,13,19 70:1,6	66:16	hearts 14:10 16:2,3
340:16	71:2,12,16 72:2,5	heal 53:17	heaven 305:11
handwriting	73:8,17 75:15,20	health 26:7 56:22	heavy 16:10 116:18
111:10	75:24 76:22 77:13	57:14 95:15 153:1	

[heck - hundred] Page 394

hereto 361:16	hiring 138:15,18	hoped 59:3
362:12	306:19	hopeful 337:8
heroes 289:13	historically 139:6	hopefully 85:7
305:10,16	history 248:5	121:8 182:20 273:9
herpa 185:21	270:23 313:2	321:9
hey 25:7 118:19	hit 2:8 6:12 7:1 8:8	horrible 285:8
119:15 120:19,22	300:18	302:17,17
127:1 192:3 193:10	hits 259:4,9	horribly 13:2
213:8 276:12	hitting 88:10	horrific 351:13
280:14 282:14	215:17 340:19	hour 44:23 97:19
283:10	hold 27:2 59:23	116:14 133:20
hid 128:14	60:1 69:24 74:10	164:20 253:16
high 1:3 21:21	87:11 132:1 285:19	289:5 293:11,14,20
41:15 45:9 51:18	331:4,15	295:8,11,16,21
53:3 55:7 57:4	holding 3:18 70:20	296:9 298:9,12
86:21,23 107:10	104:10,11	300:16 301:3 315:9
151:21 236:18	holes 275:12	336:13,13
296:6,19 297:2,8	holistic 57:16	hours 5:7,21 6:8
336:14,15 347:1	hollywood 6:5,5	7:8 18:22 22:20,20
350:4 354:24	23:13 151:20	22:21 24:18 34:4,9
higher 258:10,12	153:19 154:1	34:20 43:4 62:18
307:14	home 16:8 102:7	86:6 128:12 139:7
highest 46:9	188:19 235:7	139:11,13 165:22
highlight 39:18	305:10 340:22	209:12 221:14
257:7 322:11	351:9	228:22 270:8 284:2
highlighted 257:9	homeland 325:5	284:16 290:24
		294:5 295:15
0 0		300:21 301:1,8
-		house 19:10 184:6
345:17 356:14		205:8 338:25
hillsborough		housed 185:1,2,3
	·	216:13
		housekeeping
-		164:18
	_	huge 96:7 232:6
		253:16 303:15
193:20,21,22	94:25 98:19	hugely 231:24,25
	hope 3:14 22:19	254:13
hired 87:2,4 136:17	24:2 98:6 114:4,16	human 32:14,16
155:1		hundred 3:9,16
	337:7,8 357:9	4:17 6:11 7:8 12:16
	heroes 289:13 305:10,16 herpa 185:21 hey 25:7 118:19 119:15 120:19,22 127:1 192:3 193:10 213:8 276:12 280:14 282:14 283:10 hid 128:14 high 1:3 21:21 41:15 45:9 51:18 53:3 55:7 57:4 86:21,23 107:10 151:21 236:18 296:6,19 297:2,8 336:14,15 347:1 350:4 354:24 higher 258:10,12 307:14 highest 46:9 highlight 39:18 257:7 322:11 highlighted 257:9 highlighting 161:9 highlighting 161:9 highlights 320:18 highly 157:5 286:5 345:17 356:14 hillsborough 354:18 hinder 316:3 hipaa 167:2,6 168:6 169:20 170:15,17 185:23 193:20,21,22 hire 36:25 133:13 hired 87:2,4 136:17	362:12 306:19 heroes 289:13 305:10,16 historically herpa 185:21 hey 25:7 118:19 119:15 120:19,22 hit 127:1 192:3 193:10 hit 213:8 276:12 hitting 280:14 282:14 283:10 283:10 hold 283:10 hold 27:2 59:23 60:1 69:24 74:10 86:21,23 107:10 87:11 132:1 285:19 46:21,23 107:10 104:10,11 151:21 236:18 holding 296:6,19 297:2,8 336:14,15 347:1 350:4 354:24 higher higher 258:10,12 307:14 highes highlight 39:18 257:7 322:11 home highlighted 257:9 highlighting 161:9 highlighted 257:9 highlighted 257:9 highlighted 257:9 highlighted 257:9 highlighted 257:9 highlighted 257:0 345:17

13:11 18:21 19:7,9	identifiers 173:24	impactful 303:14	impressed 306:13
33:10,13 42:15,16	identifies 86:12	impacts 302:25	impression 4:11
45:7,8,9,11 50:22	231:5	343:23 344:20	303:15
54:20,22 55:22	identify 31:22	impediment 66:4	impressive 220:24
62:15,17 134:5	47:25 48:10,17	336:21	333:8
138:2,5,5,7,8,10,10	91:10 112:2 173:5	implement 36:19	improve 32:20
142:5 146:14 163:2	173:13 268:20	46:17 96:4,13 99:2	55:10 57:25 90:3
163:4 228:21	315:20,21,22	168:19 186:10	90:11 94:9 225:18
233:20 237:22	328:24	196:16 308:3	226:8 253:25
249:23,25 279:8,9	identifying 48:20	318:17 322:20	improvement
281:14 290:16	231:1 307:9 310:12	implementation	31:21 38:21 287:19
294:6 297:14 319:3	319:14 322:2	46:2,11 117:14	improvements
347:24 359:12	identity 171:1	118:6 126:25	44:17 58:10 93:3
hurricanes 37:25	iep 175:3	352:15	94:12 102:2
hurt 236:17 237:1	ifft 291:15 320:8	implemented 34:23	improving 152:22
346:7	321:4 326:19 327:3	38:18 309:24	152:24 261:15
hurting 338:6,6	327:11	implementing	inability 187:24
hurts 36:13	ignored 25:5	47:23 310:9 311:21	inaccurate 29:24
i	279:10 280:19	326:7	170:9
ibm 260:17	348:22 353:22	importance 49:21	inaction 283:16
idea 18:23 99:24	illness 335:23	important 15:13,19	inadequate 34:6
149:7 201:21	336:3,9,16	25:10 27:21 43:21	inappropriate
232:15 255:23	illustrate 268:9,15	48:19 57:16 76:23	170:18
275:25 276:14	image 48:20	76:24 92:19,23	inappropriately
296:17	images 35:25	98:18 111:5 112:18	67:18 170:22
ideal 330:4	204:17	112:21 120:3	inappropriateness
ideas 329:10	immediate 43:8	121:10,21 171:23	30:19
identifiable 173:2	141:20 159:24	175:20 181:1	incentive 298:13
173:18 174:4	immediately 50:6	184:18 196:20	inception 244:8
176:20	67:5 85:6 314:18	197:5 212:9 229:21	incident 80:11 84:4
identification 48:4	322:3 328:9 349:20	231:25,25 235:21	91:3 121:1,5,12
227:2 315:24	immensely 221:4	239:25 246:9	153:17 159:22
identified 47:15	imminent 184:11	274:24 309:1,17,25	204:25 226:16
55:18 65:18 108:8	immunization	318:6 342:6	252:8,10 311:9,11
161:22 174:3	174:14	importantly 107:6	314:6,13 322:16
181:21 194:12	impact 20:5 37:16 42:22 47:5 50:6	212:16 315:7 354:5	incidental 204:8 incidents 32:22
268:18 269:2		imposed 144:1,7	
273:22 330:1	141:8 159:24	impossible 243:6	80:12,14 109:20
identifier 173:8	302:14 312:11 impacted 124:2	243:11 249:3,10	118:25 119:10,14
256:12	357:5	250:11,13,13 252:21	120:10,12 140:8 162:25 163:2,4,6
	331.3	\(\alpha \lambda \lamb	102.23 103.2,4,0

162 24 200 6 0 20	107.5	. 66 4. 222.7	241 22 242 5 8
163:24 308:6,9,20	incredible 107:5	ineffective 332:7	241:23 242:5,8
308:24 311:16	115:23 340:20	inform 90:12	244:14,16,21,23,24
include 35:3,25	incredibly 220:23	113:19 158:14	245:3,5,7 246:6,10
57:2 79:11 88:6	incumbent 237:23	196:10	246:16,18 250:20
123:20 169:15	independent 72:6	information 2:9	252:4 254:23 256:2
172:22,22 173:10	358:18,20 359:15	4:14 8:14 11:7	256:14,21 258:11
174:14 175:3	independently	15:14 30:16 36:14	258:12,23,23 260:1
178:17,18 179:19	71:18 267:17	71:10 72:16 74:15	260:20 263:1,17
179:20 186:22	indian 1:18	80:22,23 81:4	265:8 267:2 269:13
190:23 218:12,13	indicate 257:14	82:16 88:1 89:21	269:25 270:6,10,16
238:14 313:25	258:14 294:5	107:18 110:15,18	272:14 274:2,15
323:25 328:20	318:22	119:18 121:14	275:1,12 276:17
included 54:9,11	indicated 9:25	131:8,10 134:25	277:21 278:3 279:4
54:18 127:8 239:3	81:14 88:3,8 93:4	155:20,25 156:4,12	285:24,25 287:15
311:2,14 312:22	99:12 102:12	156:16 157:7,17	287:17 291:25
313:1 351:4	108:11 189:1	158:1,5,5 167:5,13	292:10 310:9 312:7
includes 41:2,4	indicates 82:10	169:2 170:22 173:2	312:11 314:3,12
174:13 179:9 206:5	88:16	173:10,16 174:6,15	316:1,3,7 321:11
226:11	indicating 94:6,7	174:20,23 176:9,15	321:18 323:11
including 9:11	96:10 190:9 257:3	176:21 177:19	325:18
11:24 28:9 33:24	indicative 281:8,9	178:12 180:11,14	informed 28:24
50:3 55:1 90:25	indicator 91:17	180:22 181:22,25	43:5 46:18 83:21
95:21 113:14	257:1 258:7	182:3,6 183:1,3,8	136:15,18 276:4
119:25 137:23	indicators 140:11	183:11 184:3	informing 27:7
140:17 170:2	315:8	186:14,16,20,22	infraction 109:23
216:19 241:15	indictment 287:23	188:15,17 191:13	infractions 114:2
311:17 312:3	individual 11:19	191:23 195:18	ingersoll 166:14,20
inclusive 324:20	29:25 50:11,17	196:7,8 198:19	ingle 280:4 282:4
incompetence	70:7 151:13 152:16	199:14 202:8	ingress 204:12
284:6,6	157:19 170:24	205:12 206:11,25	initial 35:25 55:20
incorporated	192:2 196:22 246:2	207:3 210:7 218:23	71:12 321:19,22
329:19	256:9,15,23	219:14 220:8,11	initially 309:11
incorporates 55:15	individuals 51:2	222:25 223:1,1	310:25 322:11
incorrect 359:17	52:10,21 60:8 67:3	225:6,19 226:8	325:11
increase 33:8,9	76:16 142:15	227:22 229:8,10,15	initiate 50:9 51:23
93:8 94:25 95:2,4	143:13 152:10	230:15,18 233:4,6	54:8 55:14 69:4
254:1 344:5,6	169:16 227:3	234:6,10,13,16	71:3 72:18
increased 32:20,22	228:12 230:3 255:5	236:9,10,21 237:16	initiated 93:13
93:18	265:9 342:17	237:18 238:5	242:12
increasing 343:5	indulgence 112:15	239:17 240:5,19	initiating 50:4
		241:3,4,10,13,22	

initiatives 51:16	institutionalized	interact 141:5	intersect 168:7
57:15 311:5 355:3	323:8	158:13 352:10	intersect 106.7
355:19	instructed 93:20	interaction 140:21	109:22 139:21
injured 14:5	160:16	167:6	293:3 294:17
214:18	instruction 57:21	interacts 110:19	295:3294.17
injustice 344:11	263:4	interchangeably	356:10
inmates 338:4	instructional	309:16	interventions
innocent 16:23	293:24	intercom 55:4,7,12	163:10 164:7
317:11 335:2	instructors 296:7	interest 53:12	interview 73:19
innovation 224:21	297:2,15 303:2,9	177:11,19 178:13	326:8,9
355:2	instrument 262:1	200:16 242:19	interviewed 74:18
		254:7 299:22	350:5
input 75:11 113:23 310:19 325:3 357:2	301:17,20,21		
inquiry 353:20	integrate 252:18 253:23	interested 167:7 324:25 357:8	interviewing 74:25 76:15
inguiry 333:20 inside 348:2	integrated 40:10	361:17 362:13	interviews 72:24
	_		
insight 110:17 270:24	56:2 224:10 225:17 226:7,18 240:10	interesting 176:6	73:4 74:16,19 266:16
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	interestingly 282:19	
inspected 34:24	243:9,10 248:11,15		intimidating 347:13
inspection 40:24	251:4 266:23	interests 52:20	
47:21	272:13,21 303:1	322:15	introduce 154:23
inspectors 48:8	355:22 356:10	interface 254:12	224:2
instagram 263:14	integrating 37:21	258:25	introducing 143:1
install 55:4 209:5	226:9 267:18	interfering 47:20	inventory 40:1
installation 54:19	integration 40:14	interim 29:1,1	invest 95:5
installed 35:24	244:21 247:20	38:23 40:8 52:14	invested 94:18
installing 93:16	intelligence 222:25	126:5 128:3	239:1
95:22 96:5	269:13 312:8 314:3	internal 71:17,23	investigate 332:15
instance 88:14	316:3,8	77:4 98:6 140:16	investigated 52:15
instances 80:24	intend 284:18	internally 358:15	investigating 183:4
81:16,24 82:1,12	299:2	358:19	investigation 52:16
82:14 83:19,23	intended 51:1 97:7	international	52:19,22 59:2 71:4
88:3,5 89:13	248:7 295:10	140:18	71:18,22 72:7,18
214:17 217:24	intense 304:12	internationally	73:10,11 74:9,23
309:17	intensive 44:19	312:24	74:24 76:2,7
institute 93:22 99:2	intent 21:4 22:5	interpersonal	105:14,23 164:15
instituting 95:23	204:16 317:11	344:7	investigations 44:3
institution 171:22	intention 52:19	interpretation 9:23	51:24 52:5,8,9 71:8
172:2,2,11,15,25	243:12	10:8 11:8 15:4	71:25 72:23 73:4
174:10 197:16	intentionally 29:10	103:7 300:4	77:10 313:8,13
203:2	349:3	interrupting	317:22
		168:12	
		<u> </u>	

investigative 42:13	issued 35:21 48:23	jason 1:11	jumping 11:5
48:7 75:1 76:5	145:22	jeff 350:1	juncture 2:8
121:24 222:24	issues 17:4 26:20	jennifer 328:6	june 46:20 83:1
investigators 59:9	41:22 60:17,25	jjis 270:24	84:12 85:12 297:9
73:25 74:1 75:6	65:5 77:4 78:4	job 17:1 29:15	297:14 312:17
76:13,18 315:10	98:20 108:8 115:9	101:25 137:12	jurisdiction 29:2
318:15 349:19	115:21 118:16,20	140:2 195:25 243:2	68:22 84:25 310:11
investigatory 52:2	122:7,22 123:3,14	245:10 283:15	jurisdictions
investing 153:1	123:17,24,25	286:8 292:16	146:12 161:22
investment 33:16	140:19 164:1	293:16 302:9	318:3
investments 94:22	177:22 215:6	303:17	jury 15:21 126:5
95:10,18	216:20 248:22,22	jobs 16:9	justice 80:8 226:13
inviting 58:20	309:10 310:13	joe 230:18 244:1	227:8 241:16
166:19	315:25 316:17	349:6	265:14 292:12,25
invoked 80:9	350:15 355:5	joey 184:3,12	293:12 296:20
involve 277:10	356:12	joey's 183:23	298:6 307:12
involved 13:16	it'd 160:7	johnny 122:9 156:7	312:13 314:12
45:15 65:9 66:6	it'll 221:24	joined 224:22	justify 348:21
83:13 84:19 113:11	item 79:4 103:3	jones 1:11	juvenile 226:13
155:10,10,15,23	126:20 135:15	jta 292:19	227:8 241:16
156:10 200:18	164:24 237:16	judd 1:19 12:9,10	juveniles 294:12,20
301:5 303:6,10	items 31:9 63:5	24:14,16 126:1,3	295:5
involvement 10:23	94:11 95:20 109:25	128:8 129:15 130:3	k
29:19 84:2,2 85:6	230:7 257:8 326:15	131:19,24 132:7,19	kansas 295:7
involves 48:6	331:12	132:22 134:17	katz 36:25 38:17
272:16 277:9	iterations 162:10	135:2,8,12,17	41:18 63:19 83:9
ironic 341:8	j	207:9,11 209:4	138:23 139:1,3,4
ironically 353:16	jack 337:14	210:10,21 212:1	141:12 142:7 144:4
irrespective 290:9	jackson 350:17,18	213:14,16,21 214:2	148:6 150:6 151:5
israel 349:4	350:19 353:25	220:21,22 273:4	151:11,17 154:25
issue 2:5 3:11 21:17	354:7,10	278:12,14,17 283:2	159:21 160:5 161:7
42:18,20 68:5 90:1	jacksonville 308:18	286:17,25 303:23	161:21 162:6
98:10 99:8 107:8	jail 15:18 339:1	303:25 348:8	keen 325:2
		1 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	KCCII 323.2
107:13 108:5	•	judd's 165:10	keen 2.14 6.10
107:13 108:5 119:16 126:21	346:16	judge 148:12,14	keep 2:14 6:19
	346:16 jails 20:1	•	101:9 110:10
119:16 126:21	346:16 jails 20:1 jaime 224:23	judge 148:12,14	101:9 110:10 114:19 116:17,18
119:16 126:21 136:19,21,25 137:4	346:16 jails 20:1 jaime 224:23 james 1:18	judge 148:12,14 judgment 12:1	101:9 110:10 114:19 116:17,18 116:22,25 131:1
119:16 126:21 136:19,21,25 137:4 145:1 146:12,17	346:16 jails 20:1 jaime 224:23 james 1:18 jamie 225:5,11	judge 148:12,14 judgment 12:1 19:16 189:2	101:9 110:10 114:19 116:17,18 116:22,25 131:1 136:12 187:21
119:16 126:21 136:19,21,25 137:4 145:1 146:12,17 149:3 161:22	346:16 jails 20:1 jaime 224:23 james 1:18 jamie 225:5,11 january 71:13 76:4	judge 148:12,14 judgment 12:1 19:16 189:2 judicial 1:12	101:9 110:10 114:19 116:17,18 116:22,25 131:1 136:12 187:21 222:5 294:4 321:5
119:16 126:21 136:19,21,25 137:4 145:1 146:12,17 149:3 161:22 185:15 211:4 214:6	346:16 jails 20:1 jaime 224:23 james 1:18 jamie 225:5,11	judge 148:12,14 judgment 12:1 19:16 189:2 judicial 1:12 july 28:6,8,11	101:9 110:10 114:19 116:17,18 116:22,25 131:1 136:12 187:21

[keeping - knowing]

keeping 113:3	279:24 281:18	40:17,20 43:11	231:5 235:18,19
114:20	284:3,11 285:14	56:5 60:4 65:14	237:6,7,8 239:5,5
keeps 335:12	289:17 335:3	66:17,24 67:3 71:6	240:19 242:22
kefford 53:5,10	340:11 341:23,24	71:7 72:13,14 73:9	243:8 245:20 248:4
kelly 6:17 19:23	342:3 348:14	74:25 76:17 81:4	248:5,6 250:12,13
133:2 278:13	kill 111:7 234:7	82:3,4 85:14 86:13	251:21 252:22
282:19 286:1	killed 351:22	90:2,5,7 92:14,16	259:19,22,23 260:1
324:14	352:13	94:24 97:13 98:22	260:16,22,23
kelly's 287:4	killing 108:22	102:13 106:14	262:18,20 263:14
keno 344:24	345:20	107:4 108:6 109:9	263:21 264:10
kept 198:19 359:13	kind 9:2 11:12	111:2,16 114:7	266:9 267:24
359:21	90:17 99:7 104:3	115:2 121:23	268:25 269:1
kevin 1:22 348:5	107:11 110:8 118:7	123:17 124:14	270:16 272:6,23
key 54:17 157:4	120:20 177:23	125:12,19 132:16	273:3,17 274:23,24
162:11,15 173:2	185:13 253:19	132:17 133:9	276:2 277:15
228:18 229:11,12	260:20 266:11	139:13 140:12	282:20 283:1
229:17 231:2 232:1	278:6 320:17	141:9 144:24,25	284:22 285:22
232:5,24 313:6	330:16 332:22	145:5 148:5,7	288:8,18,25 289:2
358:9 360:3	334:7,8,22 335:4	149:2,9,10,21	289:18,24 290:5,7
keyword 234:3	337:9 348:9 349:7	151:2 152:15,23	290:9,13,21,22
keywords 233:9,11	kinds 12:19,20	154:4,8 155:8,16	291:5 293:23
233:12,13,20 234:5	84:16 230:17	155:24 156:4,14,21	297:17 298:22
234:19 236:19,23	king 348:17	157:6,8,11,12	300:17 301:24,25
237:17 238:13	kissed 16:7	158:2,7 159:3,5,19	302:13,16,18
257:12	kitchen 340:22	160:9,16,18,23	303:14,15,19 304:2
kick 325:7	knew 145:12	161:5,18 163:17	304:13 305:19,21
kid 24:4 122:10	146:22,24 149:3	164:12 165:20,21	305:22,25 307:5
153:12 156:5 164:9	193:1,7 279:19	167:25 168:14	318:2 319:17,18,22
173:5,21,22 174:4	knife 257:9	171:21 174:7	319:23,24 320:3,5
181:15,22 195:14	knocked 133:3	180:20,21 182:4	320:23 321:5
195:16 218:2 235:3	know 3:7 5:24 7:9	183:18,23 184:10	323:12 324:7,8,8
339:3 340:6 342:8	7:9,19,24 8:10,12	184:20 185:13	326:23 327:16
kid's 182:25	8:13,17 9:9,10 10:1	186:3 193:2,8	334:9,10,11,16
kids 13:5 16:5 19:4	10:18 12:23 14:4	195:15 196:6 199:6	335:25 338:9
22:24 58:8 68:8	14:20,21 16:14	200:3 205:15	339:14 340:2,23
99:16 101:21 110:6	18:2,6,7,14,14,20	207:16 209:12,13	341:9,10 342:5
110:8 114:9,19,20	18:23 20:6,7 21:2,6	211:4 213:8 214:18	346:14 347:19
151:15 160:1,12,22	21:16 22:17,22	215:8,10,23 216:19	348:12 349:6
163:3,25 164:3	23:8,11,12,15,18	216:21 218:1,23	351:16 352:4,4
174:18 206:17	24:21,24 25:3 30:6	219:5,23,25 221:15	knowing 17:7 23:6
214:17 273:2	30:13 35:12,14	221:18 228:2,25	109:9 303:16

knowledge 11:17	larry 1:14	174:1 175:12,14,16	307:7 309:13,18
107:25 171:20	lastly 140:23 293:7	176:2,4,11,17	310:16 311:25
187:4 269:6 271:13	299:5 318:20	178:17 181:23	312:9,19 314:15
272:8 361:11 362:7	late 13:23	182:22 185:1,3	315:3,12 316:13,20
knowledgeable	latitude 235:1	187:13,15 188:1	316:22 317:1,5,10
9:13	lauderdale 308:16	190:10,20,25 191:1	317:14,16,21
known 9:11 174:6	lauren 1:20 357:24	191:3,3,4,7,12,14	325:19 337:17
226:15,17 240:10	law 1:13 2:17 3:20	191:18,19,21,22,25	339:8,8 348:9
270:11	9:20 11:7 14:12,13	192:21 194:6,19,21	350:22 351:2 352:3
knows 56:16	15:17 16:3,20 19:6	194:25,25 195:5,5	352:21 353:4
173:22 174:2 188:2	19:17 20:4,8,10,22	195:12 196:2,3,21	354:12 357:2
192:8,18,20 229:2	23:24 24:1,19	197:10,21,23 198:1	laws 19:25 20:13
357:25	25:12 27:1 29:19	198:3,5,8,9,20	111:4 132:2,8,9
knox 161:14,15,24	30:6 36:5 37:2 39:8	199:18,23 200:2,7	194:13 197:14
162:2,8	39:16,21 40:18,22	200:8,9 201:3,9,12	225:6 280:19 339:8
1	43:20,25 44:1,7,8	201:13,22 202:9,12	352:15
la 267.16	45:11,14 46:18,22	202:12,20 203:14	lawsuit 150:10
la 267:16	46:24 56:3,22 60:8	203:17,18,18 205:1	lawyer 133:5
lack 17:6,7 77:25	60:14 61:20 62:8	205:1,10,11 206:9	lawyered 127:10
114:23 123:19	62:24 64:12,21	207:2 208:9,11	lawyers 11:6,10
158:1 281:9 290:10	65:14,16,21 66:5	209:2 210:13,14,17	15:7 21:7,22 127:1
333:6 335:11	66:10,23 67:12,25	215:5,17,18,24,25	128:15 278:23,24
336:20 337:2 345:14 347:2	68:12 69:6,9 80:6	216:1 217:5,6,13	281:20 335:2
	80:10,15,24 81:6	219:16 221:3	lay 22:10
lacking 27:18 lacks 347:18	83:10 84:19,23	222:17 223:2,5	layer 117:19
laid 328:18 329:4	85:5,17,22,22 93:8	226:14 241:15,16	138:17
	96:19 100:2 101:1	242:14,16 244:20	layered 31:25 35:2
laissez 359:9,18	104:11 110:9,15	244:23 245:3	152:19
landing 35:23 255:18 308:18	118:17 119:11,16	246:11,25 247:8,16	layers 35:3
	120:1,21 123:15,20	247:18,19 249:23	laying 7:2
landscape 167:10 184:16	124:10,25 126:13	250:4 251:19	lead 321:16 343:16
	128:20,24,24 129:9	252:16 254:16,23	343:17 344:6
lane 335:20,21	130:22 142:19	254:25 256:1	leader 53:10 79:10
language 15:1 21:19 56:10,14,19	143:8 144:2 146:4	260:24 261:3,6,11	79:11 331:23
60:3 118:7	146:14 155:11	264:25 265:11,14	346:24
large 168:15 171:5	156:16 162:8,19	266:14,24 268:1,21	leaders 16:9 82:5
266:1,18 351:4	164:9 166:20 167:3	268:24 270:18,22	92:18 128:19
larger 108:14	167:14,14,16,18	271:3,21 272:16	leadership 12:24
146:12,17	168:19,19,25 169:6	274:5 275:4,20,20	23:3 24:22 25:4,11
larkin 1:15	169:6,8,20,24	275:21 278:20,25	26:4,6 41:16 51:18
101 MH 1.13	170:20 173:22	289:6,16 306:18	53:3,6 128:25

[leadership - local] Page 401

131:12 133:6	legislation 12:16	library 311:9	83:22 94:11 95:21
135:25 279:16	311:15,19 317:15	license 43:9 144:3	103:11 134:7
281:7 283:9 284:7	331:18	licensing 143:10,11	145:25 266:5
285:3 290:11 291:1	legislative 128:16	lied 341:6	267:14,19 324:20
331:25 332:7 333:1	310:13 328:2	lies 27:3	listed 132:23
333:6	331:16	life 108:16 109:4	226:10 238:13
leading 303:3,10	legislature 10:3	114:14 332:17	328:3,14 329:11
332:16	12:15 19:25 21:10	340:21	listen 214:13
leads 359:15	21:18 25:12 127:6	lifetimes 352:1	listened 287:4
leagues 337:22	318:18 324:18	light 75:25 76:2	348:20 351:8
leakage 121:14	329:17 330:13	78:1 114:5 118:14	listening 182:20
319:19	331:14	123:22 151:19	literally 136:8
leaning 307:22,25	legitimate 177:9,10	lightly 56:15	little 13:22 42:21
learn 16:16 31:21	177:19 178:8	lights 161:1	99:7 104:4 114:13
57:24 90:11 120:17	200:16	liking 145:1	115:1 146:19,24
168:18 193:17	lessons 16:16 79:8	limit 144:17 170:4	167:23 168:18
289:10,10	291:6 293:15	222:7 234:9 331:2	169:23 175:14
learned 79:18		limitations 262:22	
291:6	letter 27:7 28:3,6,8 30:23 80:20 81:13		225:21,25 228:16 228:17 254:6
		354:1	
learning 31:23 56:6	82:9 83:1 84:15	limited 32:5 63:13	261:14 300:25
58:19 68:10 355:23	93:5 94:5 96:3,9	93:17 144:10	307:4 322:9 327:5
leave 60:8 101:10	106:11 122:24	174:12 181:25	331:14 336:19
101:15 115:17	letting 348:7	232:22 237:17	348:10
132:5 303:15 305:6	level 11:15 17:5	244:14 247:11	live 34:18 59:18
318:1	43:21 45:19 46:3,3	258:21	208:22,24 209:9
leaving 23:12 58:11	46:9 49:22 80:3,4	limits 159:25	211:11,12 213:6
led 122:25 124:4	90:17 99:3 204:13	line 44:5 48:19	229:5,23 231:15
269:14	217:12 232:9,10	176:25 196:12	303:5 333:22 335:6
leeway 297:25	239:23 240:2	209:20 307:13	352:6
left 116:15 221:15	244:24 249:18,21	lines 36:12	lives 43:11 53:11
256:20 257:4	249:21 265:12,19	link 255:15 266:24	289:17 348:17
282:20 324:11	266:10 298:20,22	linked 173:12	living 336:3
342:10 348:10	307:14 322:25	316:5	loaded 238:5
359:7	levels 45:23 265:10	links 242:15 254:25	loading 34:2
legal 9:23 10:5 11:5	lever 42:25	254:25 256:1	local 11:14 54:15
11:13 14:19 78:17	leveraging 53:25	261:12 265:13,17	56:11 57:13 65:15
126:22 248:17,21	liability 296:7,19	266:12,13 270:23	84:24 134:2 146:4
310:8 317:1	297:2,8	linton 1:22	147:22 226:14
legally 126:22	liaison 198:7 271:8	list 7:2,11 17:14	238:14 241:16
317:8	liberty 352:18	28:14,21 29:4,8	245:3 246:11
		56:20 61:18 63:8	249:18,21 265:19
	1	1	

[local - maintains] Page 402

266:10 281:25	72:14 78:15,23	150:19 153:25	loved 336:2
309:16,17,18	79:3 85:5 103:9	154:1 173:14	lunch 116:21 166:5
310:16,20 311:20	112:23,23 128:5	178:12 181:14	221:10 222:7
311:25 312:5,19	177:8 179:25 189:3	190:7 191:15,16	223:15
313:20 325:19	189:11 202:24	199:15 203:24	luther 348:17
357:22 358:8	210:21 221:11	205:15,16 208:6	lystad 1:22 8:23 9:1
359:20	299:7 318:14	209:8 229:13	12:9 106:5 116:23
locally 246:10,12	329:20 348:13	239:11 253:22,24	116:24 117:9,22
located 257:17	longer 38:5 59:2	254:1,3 261:15,22	118:13 119:6 120:8
347:1	331:14 343:8	261:25 268:5	122:3
location 47:9 50:19	longitude 235:2	323:23 325:10,23	m
120:23 232:2,25	longstanding	327:24 348:14	ma'am 354:6
233:9 234:18,21,24	292:23	looks 24:3 255:7	macarthur 151:21
236:23 237:3	look 10:11 15:10	258:25 302:7	machine 355:22
256:13 308:25	68:7 87:5 90:16	329:25 347:15	
locations 37:6,10	101:20 107:12	los 313:10	magic 236:2 238:9 240:21 245:22
51:10 216:14	114:4 120:22	lose 208:3 288:6	
325:24	128:23 142:3 164:6	289:1,12	magical 133:9 maiden 173:11
lock 161:18	169:6 178:4,6,9,21	lost 334:8 351:16	main 140:24
lockdown 50:4	179:2 188:23,24	lot 19:21 58:2,3,4	
lockdowns 93:21	190:21 204:23,25	90:15 109:7 142:10	185:14
locked 32:7 35:7	205:20 219:8,10	142:11 149:2 154:6	mainstreamed 164:2
locking 160:12	229:15 230:19	154:7 158:22	maintain 56:4
locks 93:16 95:22	233:10 234:5 239:7	175:20 185:20	
96:6	241:6,10,10 250:3	202:18 227:6,9	93:18 179:14 197:15 198:16
log 214:13 229:15	267:1 279:17	239:1 246:17,20	
230:19 231:16	287:11,12 294:14	253:2 265:24	200:24,25 201:13 205:10 208:12
245:5 251:5 255:8	308:20 319:5,22	266:13 288:7,8,17	
258:21 264:12	321:10 322:14,18	289:3,13,14 290:1	209:6,23 210:15,19
267:7,9 268:4	324:22 326:2,5,10	290:8 291:24	318:17
logging 247:8	327:1,3,21,23	295:24 297:17	maintained 42:6
logon 267:4,6,20	330:8 332:25 333:4	307:23 309:7	172:11,15,18,24
logons 247:19	333:17 349:25	320:16,22 322:13	175:11 190:23,25 191:3,10,13 197:21
269:7	360:1,13	322:15 323:22	·
logos 237:15	looked 10:6 11:6	324:7 325:12,14	203:1 206:15
logout 267:8	25:22 151:11 154:2	331:10 340:1,13	208:16 210:5,6 214:23 314:14
logs 255:12	162:23 183:22	347:15	
long 2:20,20,25 3:2	233:14 283:7 295:6	lots 32:11 163:12	maintaining 34:18
6:25 7:13 17:22	308:5 350:12	love 89:12 305:21	197:20 202:7
18:12 23:9 27:13	looking 17:25	319:19	205:23,25
27:18 29:5 58:13	111:19 115:24		maintains 44:7
			172:3 205:9 209:18

		I	
maintenance 54:22	310:2	matrix 34:8 112:25	322:6 326:8
major 141:8 212:23	manually 120:2	113:3,6,9,12,14,21	mechanical 162:11
212:25 213:7,11	march 2:17 12:17	114:1	mechanisms 36:13
majority 91:15	14:14 20:12 25:12	matter 27:21 28:7	media 133:8 150:8
119:1 129:22 174:9	30:1 45:22 48:24	99:25 109:14	150:16,23 154:2
342:2 359:12	52:13	126:19 198:4,8,9	224:13 225:2
makers 14:20,23	margate 107:9	202:1 212:19,25	226:11,23 227:13
15:10	marion 290:14	213:13 297:9	227:15,19 228:7,9
making 19:3 45:21	291:2 320:1	314:23 321:12	228:10 230:23
95:9,10,17 133:17	marjory 1:3 12:14	348:19	231:16 232:15,19
269:23,24 270:5	57:3 95:25 96:7	matters 205:24	232:20,23 241:17
272:10 273:25	121:13 123:1	maturity 322:25	244:11 251:24
288:1 331:23	124:16 126:9	max 1:14 334:10	254:22 257:17
343:23 345:18	149:24 155:5,18	maximums 359:16	258:19 259:7
347:20 356:3,16	159:6,16 160:14	mayhew 1:15	262:10 263:10
man 23:19 331:23	350:4	mean 18:8 21:23	264:13 270:24
338:14,15	mark 47:25 61:11	22:2 67:24 72:21	288:12 316:17,18
manage 235:24	116:14 340:19	90:16,22 102:18	316:19 317:24
253:3 293:19	marked 47:17	103:22 109:12	medical 350:15
299:21 313:3	351:4	136:16,21 157:23	medicine 57:11
315:14,21	market 359:9	163:11 200:7,10	mediocre 336:24
managed 132:3	marsha 1:21	201:3 202:19	medium 86:23
management 36:20	marstiller 1:16	207:19 218:10	172:20
37:22 89:24 244:25	martin 1:21 348:16	249:1 259:19 261:7	meet 26:18 53:25
250:1 270:21 274:9	mary 1:15	273:14 301:25	63:11 143:13
308:2 309:15 311:3	mascot 237:15	302:1 303:4	147:10 191:1 195:6
312:10 314:21	mass 233:3	meaning 181:8	195:23 202:25
315:7,12,20 318:4	massacre 12:13	352:2	206:19 210:8 213:9
322:16 325:1	285:6	meaningful 332:4	218:11 219:19
manager 38:8	massive 163:25	means 31:20 78:21	227:5 249:3 293:6
managing 307:9	match 51:4 90:6	167:22 177:12,20	295:3 330:11 336:8
350:19	240:4	177:24 191:20	meeting 2:1,2 3:12
mandate 42:5	matched 43:22	196:22,22 198:2	9:15 25:6 26:21
47:18	material 74:3 89:7	202:25 301:22	64:17,18 66:12
mandates 50:2	221:15 276:1,2	322:2	72:25 75:6 81:18
mandatory 299:11	278:8	meant 240:18	83:9 84:9 89:7
299:23,24	materialize 50:9	measured 323:9	110:25 123:15
maneuver 255:21	materials 52:3	measurement	135:16 136:23,24
manipulate 21:23	76:14	356:1	149:7,17 152:8
manner 47:14	mathematically	measures 44:3,4	155:14 166:8
100:17 181:7,12	10:17	94:9 115:7 196:14	222:23 223:4,13,14

223:16,20 278:18	memorize 172:10	met 30:24 31:12	mine 11:24 144:22
282:21 287:5,21	memorize 172.10 memphis 294:16	39:9 58:3 179:8	350:12
290:22 291:20	mental 26:7 95:15	225:15 241:8 275:6	minimal 269:16
296:15 311:2	153:1 156:16 175:3	290:13 310:25	270:19 332:21
312:18 313:1,23	178:18 190:11	metal 152:1,4,6,11	minimally 270:20
314:9 330:2 331:16	228:3 233:15		minimize 87:10
341:15 360:20	242:13 246:12	methodology 18:10 322:21	minimize 87.10 minimum 126:22
	242:13 240:12	methods 55:1	
meetings 14:25			269:17
39:13,13 42:5	255:1 259:25	meting 1:4	minimums 359:16
123:3 149:11	268:22 271:7,10,14	miami 11:24 244:1	minorities 343:21
155:12 324:23	271:23 272:17	244:3 313:20	minority 344:17
325:2,7,23 331:12	274:14 275:23,24	346:25 352:23	347:22
334:5 337:9	335:23 336:3,9,16	mic 82:20	minute 42:18 97:20
meets 103:2 135:10	336:23,25 342:25	mica 1:16	166:2 196:18 226:4
251:12	343:10,13 345:3,23	michael 357:14	239:18 249:13
melissa 1:15	346:8 347:3,25	michelle 53:5	254:3 286:19,19
member 1:20,21	348:2 353:19 354:3	microphone 225:21	minutes 64:6 88:4
32:8 50:2,8 178:2	mention 121:22	360:15	166:1,5 218:25
187:15,16 188:14	187:2 238:11	middle 2:18 21:21	221:16,21,24 222:8
290:15 336:10	mentioned 59:1,16	45:8 107:9,11	223:10,18 252:11
337:20 342:23	60:12 63:5 67:16	204:3 232:13,13	291:13,18 307:3
344:2	77:19 78:13 80:6	339:15 351:1	322:10 330:19
member's 173:7	81:11,18 138:1	migration 54:8,12	331:2,3,4
members 1:10 9:19	164:24 168:5,9	54:16	mira 346:22,23
26:12,17 35:8,12	169:16 173:15	mike 1:17	mirror 111:6
36:7 37:17 52:5	177:18 181:17	miles 211:14	mirrors 169:18
57:23 58:22,25	187:23 188:7	milestones 54:17	misapplication
61:6 63:23 77:2,22	225:11 226:20	287:20	193:19
89:6 116:15 117:1	228:19 236:16	military 143:9	misbehavior 344:7
122:5 137:1,7,9	261:17 271:2	144:2	misinformation
149:16,18,21	316:10 325:9	millage 33:6	305:20
166:18 178:17	327:15 346:1,10	miller 328:7	misleading 247:21
188:12 195:1 196:2	menu 255:23	million 19:9 33:17	missed 273:24
201:9 223:10	merely 198:6	36:17 54:7 55:6	276:3 287:14 316:8
281:18 290:18	mess 290:10	94:19,20 95:7	missing 274:2
303:18 312:20,22	message 79:21 80:2	351:21	342:7
322:12 327:6	92:23 188:10 343:7	millions 234:5	mistake 279:4
333:13 340:10	messages 55:14	317:6	284:13
341:13 360:16	58:4 288:10	mind 57:10 84:13	mistaken 11:13
memo 94:3	messaging 36:12	116:17,18,22	mistakes 286:12
	318:20,21	187:21	

micunderstanding	233:23 234:17	mother 350:22	municipality 146:9
misunderstanding 184:22	241:18 244:12	mother's 58:15	murder 308:25
mitigation 291:24	251:24 254:22	173:11	murdered 16:24
320:10 321:2 322:2	257:17 258:19	move 3:22 27:5,9	murdered 16.24 murderer 163:5,23
mobile 35:8 36:4	262:11 263:10	31:10 70:23 84:5	319:23
226:14	264:4,13 316:19	91:11 99:8 114:25	murderers 233:3
mock 93:20 95:22	356:11	120:3,4 125:6	320:3
model 7:4 273:9	monitors 38:7,24	136:3 145:8 148:18	mutilated 319:23
	43:23 93:24 138:15	182:5 222:4 240:7	
294:10,15,16 309:20 318:8			n
	138:19 139:6,18,22 139:25 141:16	255:13,15 310:11 355:10 357:12	name 25:8 61:18
models 296:4 314:8			62:11 82:24 106:13
323:2 356:16 modified 128:24	358:4	moved 33:20 337:25	132:24 133:23
	monopolies 358:11		134:15,19 135:6
modify 213:17 301:21 317:18	montalto 330:24	movement 159:25 300:6	139:3 165:12 173:6
	331:5,7 333:19		173:7,11 212:24
module 117:17	month 50:21 62:7	movie 341:12	224:19 225:11
modules 155:3	115:9 284:24	moving 15:13	233:25 243:14
mom 114:7,9	months 3:8 12:22	35:25 52:12 53:19	247:21 256:11,12
moment 78:13	13:4,9 21:13 24:18	55:3 56:17 102:15	263:13,17,20
80:14 304:1 324:25	31:14 39:20 72:17	102:19 132:18,20	269:12 279:19
moments 80:21	103:21 104:4	149:23 261:19	333:21 339:14
monday 46:23	122:18 123:8,24	298:15 336:21	342:22 344:1
money 95:14	128:13 130:23	360:17	346:23 354:15
108:24 123:4	155:22 162:17	msd 53:14 99:1	named 243:8 283:6
189:23	243:25 244:6,6	124:2 149:11 150:1	names 25:8 30:17
moniker 231:9	253:15 280:18	308:19 333:24	107:17 237:15
monikers 238:4	285:2 287:13 309:4	334:9 340:5,14,15	263:18 285:20,20
monitor 27:13 32:9	319:6 325:8,21	multi 31:25 35:2	285:21
32:11 349:24	332:13 348:20	152:19 190:5,10	nami 335:22,22
monitored 34:25	morford 59:3 105:3	318:10 335:13	naming 40:15,16
110:7	155:18 350:1	multiple 29:13	narrow 144:18
monitoring 34:20	morford's 105:9	35:16 48:15 54:25	217:23
35:10 37:22 53:22	morning 2:4 5:8	74:19 115:16	nathaniel 361:2,23
59:19 120:5 150:20	6:15,17 8:10 16:6	125:15 233:2,2	nation 307:18,21
150:24 151:7	26:12,15,16 64:1	254:13 255:3	333:11 336:23
157:13 224:13	82:24 116:20	256:10	351:21,24
225:2 226:23	133:11 139:1,2,3	municipal 39:11	national 56:12 57:7
227:13,15,19 228:7	222:3 279:16 280:2	40:8,23 93:7	74:21 294:10,15
228:9,10,11,12,20	281:6 282:21	municipalities	335:23 336:4
228:20,23 229:4,24	283:16 329:2	34:11 36:6 40:2	337:21 353:2
230:8,23 232:19,20		70:8,13 262:19	
	Variable I a	ral Solutions	

Veritext Legal Solutions

4. 11 050 00	011 00 015 14 15	20.16.22.16	
nationwide 352:20	211:23 217:14,17	never 29:16 32:16	ninth 336:23 341:3
360:4,6	218:14 219:11,14	51:4 83:22 97:7	nobody's 211:17
nature 51:12	220:11 221:10,19	108:1 230:19,19	non 3:24 27:6
navigate 40:21	227:3 239:4 241:9	231:19 238:22	28:11 30:9 54:13
navigational	243:22 245:20,20	270:10 339:2 342:3	78:20,21 83:15
255:22 256:5	256:16 260:4 266:9	349:17	84:2 126:8 191:14
ncic 274:9	277:12 284:21	new 3:10 28:21	191:18,21 196:24
nearly 48:4	295:3 297:4 298:22	30:1 33:6,11 36:18	197:13 214:10,18
nebulous 273:12	319:21 320:21,23	36:22,23 41:1 45:1	316:21 323:16,20
necessarily 90:6	326:15 328:12	45:3 46:20 55:4	323:24 324:3
125:14 177:22	330:12 340:3	84:17 92:13 139:17	normal 34:9 43:4
186:7 200:10	342:12 346:11,11	155:17 158:11	143:3 160:2 209:20
231:13 352:9	346:12,13,14 348:1	166:22 175:23,24	northwestern
necessary 2:7	360:9,9	182:2 242:1 293:14	347:1
48:12 53:16 111:25	needed 18:7 42:13	294:7 301:3,17	notary 361:1,24
174:15 180:23	48:13 125:8 217:10	321:11 331:23	note 93:2 98:18
269:12 272:18	248:10 274:20	336:11	168:14,22 274:19
276:18 278:4,14	277:21 292:22	newly 54:15	noted 86:21 88:13
318:12	310:13 311:15	news 107:22 133:8	149:23
necessitates 180:10	needing 293:6	232:21 284:18	notes 349:25
necks 88:13	needs 15:20 17:10	newspaper 358:8	nothing's 20:24
need 6:9,12 7:23	54:1 65:19 68:13	nfl 337:23	notice 130:9 160:10
12:11 13:20 20:24	93:14 98:15 112:25	nicknames 237:15	179:20 194:16
22:4 24:9 30:15,16	119:22 129:2,8	night 5:16,17 14:24	199:25 200:15
30:16,17 35:11	131:6,7 169:11	99:12 288:10	208:12 321:16
40:20 43:2,24	206:24 207:3	nightmares 115:12	322:19
48:20 51:11 56:4	298:23 317:24	nine 4:2,15 6:9 7:3	noticeable 58:10
56:24 61:1 66:2	326:11 342:16	17:13,14,15,17	noticed 71:21,25
68:8,9 77:9 84:15	negative 287:19	19:25 22:11 27:16	notices 43:1
88:2 90:2,9,13 91:7	288:3	29:9 31:14 42:16	notification 30:21
104:12,24 107:17	negligent 120:24	61:11,19 104:14	230:22 239:16
113:20 116:19	negotiation 126:22	138:10,10 142:5	310:10
117:23 125:4 136:2	neighbor 177:25	285:19 288:16	notifications
137:5 140:13	neighborhood	292:1 293:15	230:11 232:7,9
145:20 154:19	138:10	nineteen 4:1,13	239:10,11,23,24
157:12,15 161:9,10	neighbors 338:6	338:21	240:3
164:14,18 165:17	neither 361:12	ninety 59:10 75:17	notified 66:24
169:9 176:25 182:2	362:8	86:22,24 87:13,15	103:4 135:14
184:10 195:10,15	nelson 1:11 276:7	87:16 142:5 193:22	239:19 269:11
201:20 205:6,12	network 312:7	341:21	351:2
206:21,25 211:23			
,			

[notifying - oh] Page 407

notifying 322:3	observed 192:16	office 6:2 7:17	officers 18:8 21:18
notwithstanding	196:13	11:12 29:14 37:20	33:9 45:12 65:8
125:1,9	observes 187:17	38:9,15 39:11,24	85:18 93:17 95:4
nova 107:9	observing 208:24	40:7,9 41:1 43:16	95:15 123:18
november 32:24	356:18	59:17 70:11 91:25	127:14 161:20
39:6 41:8 42:8	obstacle 220:12	112:19 114:11	176:2 178:16
51:23 52:3 71:3,20	obstructionist	118:16 122:21	192:10 194:9 195:1
ntd 227:12	359:23,24	123:23 134:21	200:7 280:15,23
ntns 98:2	obtain 309:12	147:22 149:17	289:16 300:17
number 4:1,11,12	obtained 172:5	166:23 185:9,9	307:13,15 314:16
4:15 9:19 17:11	317:8	192:2 194:7 220:1	315:4,5 316:13
23:2 29:8 33:9 36:5	obvious 104:16	268:14 276:21,24	337:17 338:24
53:24 83:17 87:19	269:23 306:8	279:21 280:3,6	343:1,5 344:13
89:21 90:21 109:5	obviously 149:1	286:13 294:23	345:3,6,7,22
109:5,24 115:25	152:17 164:15	310:24 324:11	346:21 347:4,10
118:25 138:14	174:25 265:8	349:16	351:4
141:18 146:15	283:25 301:4 320:5	officer 9:21 13:6,12	offices 152:2
163:6,8 171:2	ocala 313:24	13:16 21:20 24:20	166:22 293:5
173:9,9 179:8	occupants 48:21	25:14,19 27:10,23	295:12 314:24
183:24 193:14	occupied 351:6	42:1,1 43:3 61:21	official 177:9,17,18
197:13 200:13	occur 41:8 65:19	61:21 62:9 64:13	178:4,10 179:7,18
228:8 250:1 256:19	126:12 180:8	64:15 65:14 67:12	180:6 183:9,10
304:5,6 340:14	occurred 12:13	67:25 78:22 79:1,7	184:2,14 187:19
343:5 351:4	27:17 30:10 38:2	82:25 102:14 103:8	188:16 189:7
numbers 3:24	44:25 45:4 64:18	104:25 119:11	192:18 194:23
87:21 143:19	67:11 76:6 78:2	132:14 133:1,4,13	195:21 200:11,11
151:17	85:2 111:16,24	134:3 139:5 141:21	200:13,20 212:20
numerous 270:14	120:11,12 171:8	141:24 147:8,16,17	213:2,12 218:5
295:4 341:19	173:19 279:15	161:17 182:22,23	251:18 259:6
nutshell 227:18	285:6,8 308:9,11	183:6 187:16 192:8	officials 126:14
0	occurring 64:25	192:9,17 193:1	177:6,6 178:11
obfuscated 127:4	70:21	195:13 196:23	190:11 196:3 200:6
obfuscating 278:23	occurs 117:15	197:10,11,23 200:9	201:10,12 213:23
objects 47:19	351:24	201:24 269:6,10,19	217:19 251:12
observation 187:4	october 59:12	274:5 276:13 279:3	281:13
187:8 192:9,13,16	299:16 330:2,5,7	279:23 282:3,6,15	offline 165:11,13
194:4 208:23 209:1	odd 104:4	284:3 293:8 295:22	oh 5:14,18 6:21
210:1,2 211:17	offense 111:22	298:23 302:8,21	21:22 60:13 122:9
218:17,18 356:19	offenses 109:25	352:3 361:2	140:25 147:11
observe 194:6	offer 336:1	officer's 195:3	153:7 208:4 245:22
		203:18	253:6 263:18 270:9
L	l	l	I

278:1 281:23	219:13 323:8	142:2 168:18	osceola 273:5
okaloosa 1:14	ones 77:12 156:11	224:19 230:5,12	ought 103:18 265:2
okay 12:8 17:25	156:14 162:3 177:5	233:19 252:23	282:24
20:24 21:15,15	232:15 263:1	256:10 270:2	outcome 19:15
22:3 24:14 60:17	270:21,25 273:5,11	287:14 304:21	105:14 119:2
61:2,2 64:9 84:6	336:3 355:19	305:2 321:9 354:15	157:10 361:17
92:5,16 106:18	ongoing 3:11 44:19	357:22	362:13
109:4 110:22	59:2 76:20 150:15	opposed 20:6,7	outcomes 52:24
118:13 119:6 120:8	181:25	opposite 340:13	77:11
136:4,6,10 137:18	online 153:13	optimize 359:9	outline 321:21
138:1 151:19	207:13	option 10:15,15	322:7
153:21,21 161:13	open 63:22 134:18	56:18 143:15,22	outlined 46:6
165:8,15,25 166:2	222:10,20 296:14	318:6	321:13
168:23 184:18	329:2		outlines 255:16
190:23 184:18	opened 33:22	optional 299:10 options 49:5	outines 255:16 outreach 85:2
	289:25	, -	
207:2 209:4 210:10 212:4 214:2 215:15		ora 354:13,16	outside 30:6,8
212:4 214:2 215:15	opening 26:10 64:7	orange 245:2	47:11 55:17 87:3,4
	67:16 138:4	257:13 273:5	121:3 166:12
224:3,4 228:6,25	operate 77:9 79:12	order 2:1,2 38:6	192:10 219:25
229:14 237:4 239:8	102:25 310:2	48:16 57:20 70:16	232:19 303:9 341:7
242:20 248:9	314:11	108:24,25 177:16	overall 78:4 300:24
250:20 259:2,17,23	operating 43:4,9	180:12,14 188:4	334:22
260:21 264:9,19,19	140:14 344:4,9	195:9,20 210:14	overdue 165:19
264:23 276:6	operation 32:7	218:14 226:10	overlapping 29:2
277:19,19 300:22	34:17 40:17 57:3,4	234:3,8 240:5	overrule 11:12
300:22 306:14	operational 20:5	245:6 249:6 250:4	overruled 129:22
321:4 326:23	38:2,6,24 42:20	255:13 268:24	overseeing 91:21
330:20,23 334:15	45:19 73:14 313:16	271:4 321:6	138:24 212:25
335:19 337:14	318:12 355:13,17	orders 20:8 299:14	oversees 118:5,8
342:11 350:11,16	357:9	311:17	212:23 213:2
357:20 359:16,18	operationally	organization 36:21	oversight 117:20
360:12	313:22	46:9 331:22 336:5	117:24 153:3 314:2
old 169:23 244:6,6	operations 37:23	organizations	359:19
293:18 338:21	39:14 57:19	92:17,18 171:12	overtime 42:11
older 323:17,17	opinion 68:7 121:8	organizer 344:24	overview 166:16
326:6 337:5 340:24	121:15 134:7	original 205:19	167:9 289:6 291:23
oliva 219:24	opportunities	originally 339:17	311:2 320:21
once 15:23 62:5,14	31:22	oriole 107:10,20	overwhelmed
65:18 69:22 120:17	opportunity 12:3	108:3	115:6
142:13 182:2	26:18 48:17 64:3,4	orlando 312:18	overwhelming
198:25 212:15	103:18 129:19		91:15 342:1

overwhelmingly	262:21	participate 80:25	passing 122:10,10
141:25	paramount 44:21	85:19 263:9 266:14	password 267:4,7
overzealous 352:14	paraphrase 126:6	269:11 276:13	267:21
oxygen 20:19	parent 1:14,21	participates 266:18	passwords 269:7
р	58:16 115:10 173:6	participating 92:25	pat 150:2
	203:7,9,13 214:24	98:5 157:1 238:18	patch 330:16
p.m. 223:14,16	351:15 354:16	participation 92:20	path 9:2 16:21
package 269:22	parents 58:4 77:1	110:1 324:23 327:4	326:22
298:11	77:23 113:10,24	particular 9:10	paths 184:24
packaged 294:18	115:5 129:1 169:24	56:6 137:2 163:9	pathway 315:8
298:7	176:22 200:1 214:7	164:13 173:19	318:23
packet 277:23	281:14 284:21	177:11 189:1 207:6	patience 245:18
page 107:16 108:14	285:12 319:17,21	230:23 236:17	patricia 1:17
111:5 112:15 126:7	340:15,15 346:21	238:14 255:15	pause 2:8 6:12 7:1
255:18 259:5 261:5	351:1 352:4 357:4	256:14,24 257:18	8:8
265:5 266:4,25	parking 32:11	258:21 269:1	pay 11:11 16:11
267:1,3 349:11	323:25	288:24	285:17 306:20
pages 12:16 35:23	parkland 53:13	particularly 55:17	paycheck 286:7
88:5 107:14 290:16	57:6,9 103:25	141:3 214:10	pd 154:1
329:6	150:2 272:24 289:4	336:24	peace 58:11,13,14
palm 9:5,21 10:5	291:5 333:14,22	parties 30:18 47:1	114:8 334:6
11:3 12:4 231:15	337:19 353:21	361:13,16 362:9,12	pending 103:25,25
231:21 272:25	parkway 1:6	partner 43:24	105:14,23
313:18	107:11	55:18 118:18	pennsylvania
pam 1:19 192:3 220:17	part 10:12 18:25	253:22 342:18	171:17
	49:17 63:5 80:16	partners 43:20	penny 339:24
pamela 166:12	86:2 98:8 112:24	56:3,22 306:18	people 3:17 5:13
panel 232:13	136:3 139:9,10	309:18 310:17	6:20 7:25 8:15
313:14	150:9,21 151:14	313:6 316:21 318:2	12:20 13:7,24
panicked 12:20	154:25 159:6,13	320:2	15:22 19:7,10,11
panther 1:6 pants 7:22	170:11 183:13,14	partnership 57:6	20:12 24:10 25:24
pants 7.22 paper 21:25 22:11	187:19 192:1,21	57:10,13	35:11 45:24 51:7
46:13 110:14 120:4	200:21 205:9	parts 57:16 181:2,2	51:10 74:17,18,25
156:18 158:15	206:24,24 219:11	party 15:2 121:3	78:3 100:20 101:18
160:17,25 172:20	230:22 233:22	358:25	111:2 112:5 113:4
340:10	239:3 263:10 272:1	pascoe 144:22	115:22 121:21
paperwork 156:23	274:4,5 283:23	passed 19:25 32:25	122:5 141:15 157:6
paradox 341:16	294:11 342:5	126:19 130:22,23	160:22 185:10
paradox 341.16 parameters 235:18	355:12,14	145:18 278:20	199:3 216:21
255:16 256:11,17	participants 336:6	279:1 305:7 317:17	221:17 231:2
256:20 258:9			235:20,21 245:9,14
430.40 430.9			
	Varitant I ac	pal Solutions	

[people - pittsburgh]

246:20 247:5 251:2	periodic 47:21	43:19 44:5 46:23	photo 186:23 203:3
253:5,9,17 264:6	periodically 207:23	51:25 53:21 55:3	203:4
270:15 271:14	209:22	68:16 71:4 73:20	photographs
275:14 279:17	periods 35:6	76:10 138:3,9,11	172:22 175:9
283:21 285:7 286:5	permanent 18:19	140:22 142:22	photos 186:23
286:7 289:22 290:1	permissible 181:21	289:7,15 332:15	202:23 203:4
305:24 309:3	198:22	348:25 352:5	phrase 358:22
315:21 339:6,9	permissions 246:25	persons 223:11	physical 35:1 37:10
341:8 346:16	247:2	314:4 316:9,12,14	140:7 162:15
349:18,21 350:3	permit 177:3	perspective 94:17	197:15,20 204:7,18
354:3 356:18	179:24 186:6	216:22	353:6
360:10,13	permits 179:22	pertaining 298:21	physically 214:18
people's 3:18	permitted 184:13	pertanning 298.21 pertinent 58:7	286:2
percent 3:16 4:17	209:15	pervades 284:7	pick 32:12 324:10
6:11 62:16 86:22	perry 311:8	peterson 334:12	picture 151:24
86:24 87:13,15,16	person 9:25 18:15	349:16,19,23 350:9	153:13
134:5 141:15 142:4	30:21 62:10,11,12	peterson's 348:24	piece 12:16 22:11
142:5 143:18	62:15,17,19,22	350:2	94:17 110:14
193:22 308:9,11	101:4,7 141:19		112:23 117:23
,	194:8,19 198:12	petty 1:21 15:24,25	152:19 160:17
319:4,18 337:4 341:22 351:23	/	78:8,9 79:8 80:1	188:20 221:19
	200:5,21 202:6	81:12,19,23 82:8	
352:20,24 353:2,4	203:15 212:17	82:21,23 83:4 84:6	222:9,19 244:23
353:5	231:10 247:11	85:9 86:18 87:12	252:2
perception 76:25	250:21 255:12	87:16,24 88:24	pieces 152:21 156:9
77:5,7	268:4 269:1 271:8	89:11 90:16 91:14	234:6 246:6 258:11
perceptions 168:2	271:14 275:23	92:3,5,16,22 93:1	pii 173:3,4 174:5
perfect 20:24	346:1	94:5 95:20 96:2	176:20 201:11
288:18	personal 49:5	97:3 98:17,24	pillar 315:24
perfectly 85:9	88:19 91:18 173:8	107:13 118:24	pillars 315:19
perform 26:4 93:12	187:4,4 192:12,15	122:22 168:11,12	pilot 268:19 273:8
138:16 259:1	194:3 208:23,25	182:11 304:2,20	pinellas 245:2
performance	209:25 210:2	305:22 306:4,17,22	249:16 252:11,12
172:17 174:12	218:17,18	phase 47:24 48:3,6	268:13 269:2,9
191:16 205:16	personally 92:7	48:12 54:11	271:5,12 272:15
332:22	108:24 171:21	phases 47:24	273:8 276:21,24
performing 179:9	173:1,17 176:20	phd 354:19	pipeline 345:10
perils 38:1	187:18 194:5	philosophy 32:18	piper 107:10
perimeter 32:4	196:13 215:24	phone 9:17 90:21	pistol 151:22
34:18,20 323:20,23	341:9	133:21 288:9	pittsburgh 165:24
period 100:4,25	personnel 33:17	phonetic 358:13,16	166:23
122:6 181:9	34:7 37:5 38:4		

[place - portal] Page 411

place 49:25 95:19	plans 40:25	227:10 231:23	125:3 225:7 299:15
104:2 110:20,23	plantation 107:10	237:9 247:24 264:3	299:20 312:16
115:7 123:12	112:22	268:2 278:11 288:5	policing 269:14
127:13,13 148:15	platform 55:12	290:3 305:24 306:5	356:11
153:5,17 181:7,10	231:16 233:3	321:12,15 323:14	policy 19:8 29:21
181:11 197:1,2	platforms 227:20	331:19 333:10	30:1,5,20 31:4
205:3,7 206:9,13	244:9 316:25	338:9	40:13 44:13 45:1
206:21,23 219:20	play 206:2 214:20	pointed 315:18	45:14,20,20,22
225:13 235:6	played 130:20	pointer 259:22	46:7,19 47:6,14,22
243:14 246:14	133:5,6 296:14	pointing 10:4	47:24 49:19,22,23
248:19 252:25	player 337:23	338:11 339:7	50:2,12 52:12
253:2 272:24 287:8	playing 22:6 24:9	points 32:5,6,12	53:21 65:3 66:5
293:18 308:21	100:1,23 102:20	48:15 49:4 124:8	73:13 76:9 80:3,4
322:5,7 326:3	281:19	140:9 254:13	96:18 98:12,21,23
328:11	please 26:10 83:17	poisoned 88:7	98:23 103:1 109:17
placed 35:22 36:1	116:16,21 166:6	police 1:11,22 7:14	123:5,9 124:8,9,12
108:20 164:8	328:14,18 329:12	7:17 9:12 13:16	125:2,10,20 137:23
places 125:14	329:14 331:1	18:3,4,5 22:13,14	179:20,25 180:1
132:5 243:16	333:18	39:11 40:8 59:22	186:17,19 194:17
271:11 273:9 289:4	pleased 121:16	60:1 61:21 74:21	198:12 199:25
289:21 308:10	287:6	93:17 132:25	207:12,15,22
351:18	pleasure 136:17	133:13 134:2	209:14 300:2
placidly 285:13	plenty 63:2	153:20 160:7,8	355:14 356:3
plain 21:19 56:13	plethora 153:4	161:17,19,23,25	policymakers
plan 25:17 27:13	245:2 248:18 336:1	162:1,5 182:23	357:2
27:18 29:5 34:15	plug 243:14	184:7,8 196:23	politics 335:1
34:19 62:5,14	plus 156:18 349:18	197:10 207:21	polk 1:19 13:10
78:15,23 79:4	358:18	209:17 210:11,24	144:22 273:4
93:10 96:13 103:9	point 10:2 13:18	279:3 280:4,12,15	poll 28:12
103:17 128:5 135:8	25:3,5 32:6 33:20	280:22,23 281:25	polled 120:11
148:15 150:20,24	33:23,25 35:4 36:1	282:6 284:3 295:12	pompano 107:9
151:7 152:13	48:2 60:25 70:7	298:1 310:17	pool 29:16 144:10
184:11 222:14	72:12,12,19 80:9	313:11 314:24	144:17,18,18
319:19 325:20	88:11 91:6 95:6	337:22 338:14,23	pope 346:22,23
328:20 329:18	109:18 120:6 122:3	338:24,24 342:25	poppel 260:17
355:13,17,21 357:9	130:15,17,18,24	343:5 345:2,5,6,21	popping 216:20
360:17	132:22 146:21	347:3,9,10 348:3	population 142:14
plane 219:6	150:17 152:24	351:5 352:11 358:3	352:25
planned 49:7	188:13 198:24	policies 37:22,24	portable 54:23
292:10	199:8 204:12	55:24 90:12 99:3	portal 224:7,11,16
	208:18 225:8	117:17 123:12	225:3 226:21

227:11 228:5 240:1	posts 226:11 228:1	predominantly	presenters 164:19
240:6,9 241:2,7,12	pot 75:2	167:10	312:21 354:12
241:20,22 242:7,15	potential 48:22	preempt 169:5	presently 27:20
246:18 253:20	118:14 210:3	preliminary 28:12	67:7
255:9,17 256:4	273:22 275:11	320:12 325:10	president 1:22 9:12
262:3 263:16	311:14 312:8 314:3	premises 188:2	pressing 332:3
265:16 266:22	314:7 316:14 355:1	preparations 37:25	pressure 25:7
354:23 355:11,20	potentially 148:9	prepare 116:9	101:14
356:8 357:1	153:24 228:1 274:2	prepared 362:3	pretty 123:21
portals 358:4	poverty 350:21	preparedness	140:5 171:25
portion 142:13	power 73:24	36:19,24 37:21	255:19,21 291:12
204:17 206:16	342:23 344:2,16	38:10 41:2 139:5	293:25 326:16
223:16 266:19	346:24	prepares 13:25	prevent 32:21
314:10	powerful 302:19	preparing 41:12	152:14 253:14
pose 141:13 181:16	358:10	prerogative 145:6	346:15
181:19	powerpoint 268:7	presence 41:25	preventing 70:21
posed 189:10	327:21,24 328:4,10	93:9,18 120:1	311:16
position 2:21 14:4	328:25 329:1,4	present 12:3,6 32:8	prevention 318:24
34:16 36:25 39:5	powers 1:21 259:3	35:5 52:1 84:20	321:24
47:10 79:17 97:23	259:13,17	87:25 135:18 189:5	previous 46:13
129:7,13 132:23	practical 219:10	311:4	196:17
141:21,23 146:2	practice 20:9,11	presentation 26:14	previously 29:7
147:24 148:7	45:14 49:7,22	28:1 100:8 166:4,4	36:16 95:17 186:6
274:18 292:17	50:20 56:7 73:13	166:7,11 169:19	240:10 293:21
positions 33:11,14	76:9 93:21 125:5	172:8 182:14 220:6	price 16:10 58:14
141:16,17 146:14	162:3	224:16 257:20	primarily 263:17
positive 141:25	practices 12:25	274:20 289:5,9	328:2
288:3 295:20	42:24 115:7 291:6	291:4,5,15 292:3,6	primary 6:4 177:5
possessed 203:12	309:21 312:15	306:24 313:10	190:4 205:14 256:8
possible 10:17 66:7	326:13	321:2	principal 29:24
95:5 102:21,23	practicing 95:22	presentations	38:5 52:15 53:4,6
243:2 270:15,17	pre 55:13 140:10	145:20 191:16,17	53:11 86:1 105:3
272:22 309:5 322:6	294:18 356:21	205:17 289:3 311:3	105:10,17,17,18,19
possibly 169:8	preceding 97:15	354:11	105:24 117:16
300:6 324:1	preclude 191:6	presented 10:14	118:1,4,5,6,8
post 94:12 118:9	precluded 193:23	22:13 28:17 30:25	119:21,22 155:17
171:12 172:6	precludes 191:11	67:12 99:22 135:18	198:1 233:25
351:20	predict 134:13	292:14 328:10	281:16 282:12
posted 135:15	predictive 181:13	presenter 165:18	283:6,7 350:1
153:12 165:6	260:18	165:23	principals 28:18
280:22			34:22 38:14 41:12

[principals - protection]

41:19 43:22 46:22	144:22,24 145:12	298:25 301:6,19,22	326:3 336:1,7
48:24 110:25 112:9	145:16 149:4,5,8	301:24 307:11	progress 51:20
120:11,19 121:2	149:22 158:8	317:1 319:6 331:18	95:9 287:6,25
335:5 341:20	183:14 184:23	processes 46:13	288:23
358:21 359:21	213:6 245:14	301:15,17 302:3	prohibit 186:13
principle 17:18	280:22 307:19	procurement 10:24	prohibited 183:7
prior 44:22 54:16	319:2 339:7,23	10:25 36:2 44:13	183:19,25
95:6 99:9 118:23	problematic 17:9	46:11	project 54:9,9,11
124:15 138:21	157:6 212:6	produce 81:19	54:18 55:10 354:25
143:8,9,10 144:1,2	problems 3:21 18:6	produced 72:16	355:14
144:2 151:3 187:23	142:17 144:8,20	76:3 243:20	projects 95:5
271:13 361:5	253:4 344:14	producing 239:2	proliferated 352:13
priority 121:19	procedural 53:21	324:11	promise 108:19,22
335:4,8	procedure 11:4	professional 9:14	109:6,7
priors 346:11	45:19 76:9	11:18 49:18 52:18	promoted 36:9
prison 338:3	procedures 32:10	343:10	prong 197:12
345:10,19	37:5 38:18,24	professionalism	proof 28:19
privacy 166:24	43:18 44:21 47:2	140:21 221:2 315:1	proper 246:24
167:10 169:22	50:1 73:14 90:13	professionals	247:1 267:22
170:20 246:20	104:2 140:14	312:23 342:25	293:24
352:17 355:4,24	299:15,20 312:16	343:14 347:3,25	properly 30:4
private 170:14,16	proceeding 242:12	348:2 354:4	121:15
170:17 171:5,19	362:5	profile 354:24	property 32:3,9
332:23	proceedings 361:3	program 10:10,22	proposal 293:7
prize 358:8	361:5,6,9 362:6	17:25 34:7 96:21	353:11
proactive 119:14	process 17:7 18:9	108:19 109:7 110:1	propose 222:14
237:24 337:12	19:13 31:21 35:19	110:3 127:13 142:9	proposed 7:12
proactively 208:6	45:21 46:5 49:18	144:6 155:4 293:9	292:11 355:13
probably 103:15	52:20,23 56:9	295:22 298:18	prosecution 150:15
121:10 146:18	60:18 63:6 65:10	299:18,18,21	protect 16:3,16,17
167:2 182:13	65:19,25 66:7,25	305:21,23 312:1,15	24:20 37:10 180:23
243:11 251:9	69:5 72:1,20 73:16	313:9 315:16 323:1	186:12 194:13,19
252:22,22 264:16	76:23 80:17 81:7	323:5,7 336:11,13	195:18 279:11,24
265:24 274:8	86:9 93:4 110:20	339:16,17 341:4,18	304:14 306:2,11
285:15 291:10,16	110:23 113:17	342:14 345:9	332:5
306:19 329:23	118:22 127:11	programming	protected 32:2
330:17,19	140:11 151:14	54:19	281:18
problem 5:5 17:10	152:7 155:15 157:3	programs 11:22	protecting 22:24
88:17 91:17 106:22	161:11 167:18	34:13,14 37:10	32:2 284:3
120:18,20 131:24	170:10,10 230:15	295:4,5 308:2	protection 169:15
143:21,23 144:21	239:4 277:8,10	309:23 311:21	279:7 284:4 299:14

Veritext Legal Solutions

311:17	240:22	252.20.252.2	ah-aa 227-20
		352:20 353:3	pushes 227:20
protective 353:18	providing 11:1	354:17,21 356:14	pushing 116:13
protocol 108:1	34:5 49:12 58:18 131:10 156:12	357:14 358:11,13	129:16
262:1		358:15,18,21 359:4	put 4:4 7:18 16:5
protocols 34:17	238:3 325:3,17	359:10 360:14	17:14 25:4 61:8
35:4 42:10 49:25	provision 15:5	361:1,24	63:3 87:8 89:22
49:25 56:17 117:24	186:20	publicly 132:24	92:11 94:16 103:17
123:19 152:22	provisions 21:9,14	135:19 304:8	108:17 110:13
157:13 310:9	64:20	published 308:8	123:12 129:3 133:1
proud 282:20	psc 1:11	pulitzer 358:8	133:4 134:12
336:12	psychiatrist 337:2	pull 5:3 23:25	141:19 147:15,17
prove 317:10	337:7	42:25	153:5 154:23
provide 4:16 27:1	psychiatrists 337:3	pulled 65:25	160:16 186:11
34:15 35:16 36:7	337:5	294:25	190:7 202:4 210:2
39:2 40:2 42:11	psychological	pulling 88:10	214:11 252:3
44:1 61:18 63:1	62:21 92:2 117:13	277:24	260:19 266:2
81:23 93:14 99:18	psychologist	pulse 308:15	270:14 277:22
134:6,14 138:24	341:16	pumps 260:20	280:20 285:19
164:9 165:12	psychologists	punish 357:24	287:8,9 294:21
169:24 170:17	318:16 340:18	purchase 54:18	295:2 296:6 321:20
181:24 207:2 225:5	341:22 342:1,9	purchased 10:22	331:8 338:25 341:7
225:8,16 226:6	343:11 346:12	purchasing 10:25	349:14
232:17 234:12	psychology 294:25	11:3	puts 130:9
237:14 246:16	ptsd 339:23 340:1,5	purpose 40:17	putting 5:5 25:1,7
256:21 258:10	340:5 341:1 342:3	116:1 167:4 177:10	95:18 104:18
266:1 311:24	342:8	178:20 198:16	113:18 115:14
315:15 316:13	public 1:3 13:12	205:3,14,19 207:6	133:16 152:23
318:11 324:16	23:23 25:1 28:16	207:8 219:15	248:19 280:17
provided 6:10 11:7	28:21 29:10 35:16	226:25	287:2 298:25
25:2,2 28:14 40:3	36:11 39:7 42:3,6	purposes 171:24	325:10
43:18,20 44:16	46:16,24 51:25	177:4,13 179:19	q
52:10 59:22 68:4	54:2,3,14 58:17	191:1,5,7,8,14,18	qualifications
83:18 89:5 172:23	68:12 79:15 106:17	191:19,22 197:19	quantications 143:6
175:5 178:12	135:2,4 136:23	205:22 215:13	
232:12 263:8	210:23 217:3 218:3	217:3,4 356:11,25	qualified 361:8
265:17 282:9 286:1	223:5,14 289:7	357:13	qualifier 178:22
292:9 321:18	305:25 318:20,21	pursue 108:25	qualify 305:5
providers 57:14	319:16 323:25	317:25	quality 41:15 87:7
185:23 265:23	327:13,19 331:6	push 103:22 161:12	90:5 256:21 261:15
provides 110:3	347:11 349:9	pushed 110:18	queried 244:13
176:14 227:19	350:23 351:17,22	_	271:25 272:10
	<u> </u>		

[queries - received] Page 415

queries 264:13	331:13	317:13	140:20 166:1 167:4
274:10	quickest 16:21	reached 146:1	168:7 169:18 172:7
query 240:4 243:13	quickly 250:10	147:22 148:20	178:15 180:2
243:21 244:5,7,11	326:16	149:8 310:16	185:19 186:24
244:11 246:1,6	quite 64:14 205:18	reaching 318:20	190:5,7,19 195:23
248:13 250:6 251:3	332:11,19	reacting 6:21	199:6 205:15
251:4 257:6 260:5	quo 333:5	reaction 89:12	206:20 207:5
260:12 267:11	quote 111:7 114:6	reactions 185:23	208:24 215:11
268:4 269:3	244:16 251:3	reactive 337:10	219:24 220:15
querying 260:14	285:22 286:9	read 14:24 15:3	243:22 245:23
question 27:19	321:17,23 348:16	58:6 81:13 88:2	252:1 262:7 264:10
29:7,18 59:1,14	quotes 107:15	89:6 94:11 222:16	267:14 273:1,1
61:11 78:12 80:18	r	287:11 290:15,18	276:8,14 285:11
81:15 82:13,24	race 356:15	348:10 349:11	288:25 301:25
97:11,12,25 105:2	race 350:15 racial 344:11	readily 219:17	321:11 323:13
105:18 106:4		reading 193:7,8	326:2 329:15
108:13 119:7,7,12	345:13,15 347:18	ready 25:24 71:1	330:12 337:23
128:2 130:24	radio 54:8,13,14,15 55:2 299:8 349:17	84:3 223:21,22	340:4,19 342:4,12
132:11 141:14		264:21,21 299:3	345:24 348:12
149:1 150:7 158:18	radios 54:3,19,23 55:2	real 25:11 39:21,24	reason 178:9 196:1
161:14 164:22,23	rafael 343:25 344:2	42:25 59:18,19	205:21 234:4
165:10,11 192:4	raise 127:3 142:18	100:15 110:7 113:6	288:11 295:13
221:11 260:23	142:19	114:15 115:21	330:6 344:9
264:2 284:12	raised 26:20 82:12	135:25 188:10	reasonable 15:4
302:12,18 353:10	85:4,11 91:9	205:19 207:13	85:9 180:25 189:4
questioning 27:24	164:22 216:21	209:19,22 210:25	reasonably 59:6
73:12	246:21 353:22	211:12,13,20 213:5	181:18
questions 26:11	raising 80:3	213:6,21 227:19	reasons 20:6
31:15 73:8 77:17	ramos 349:25	228:20,23 229:5,23	177:13 207:3 306:8
78:11 80:13 93:2	random 208:14	284:9 291:1 303:4	reassigned 52:5
117:3 167:25 182:7	randomly 208:3	325:6 336:21	recall 70:4 75:15
182:17 192:7 200:6	range 4:13	realism 338:15	recap 226:3
219:4,7,9 220:18	rank 284:6	realistic 10:18	receive 30:7 31:1
227:16 261:1 268:5	ranks 336:22	reality 24:4 34:5	85:7 201:11 227:22
300:11 302:6 324:5	rarity 351:15	77:8 114:16 352:7	230:10,13,15,21
326:19,21 332:9	rate 262:20 264:18	realize 308:12	232:9 239:22,23
quick 58:25 59:13	rates 343:3	realizes 274:24	240:3 256:22
59:13 88:4 102:21	rational 189:4	realizing 249:6	received 3:8 4:14
161:13 164:21,23	rdstfs 314:1 318:11	really 20:21,21	28:6 30:24 59:9
165:25,25 264:2	reach 65:15,21	64:6 90:17 102:20	62:17 75:23 91:1
291:18 300:8 309:3	84:24 119:15	111:5 136:14	94:21 116:6 139:7
	Voritoryt I o		

100 11 10 170 10	155 11 150 150	154 11 14 05 155 0	11 1 150.05
139:11,19 153:19	177:11 178:4,7,9	174:11,14,25 175:3	redisclose 178:25
296:11 321:8	178:24 179:4,6	175:4,13,14,16	179:15 183:11
receiving 52:2	181:14 182:25	176:4,5,17,18	188:16 192:23
53:16 239:12	183:1 185:2,3	177:3 178:19,21,24	195:5 213:25
recess 166:9 223:20	187:6,9,14,19	179:1,3,13,14,15	218:11
291:20	188:4 191:20,23	181:15 183:23,25	redisclosed 187:20
recidivism 338:4	193:8,9,16,17,24	187:6,7 190:20,20	191:24
recognize 8:24	195:20 196:12	190:22,23 191:6,10	redisclosing 183:7
227:4 315:7 318:21	198:16,17 201:1,22	191:13 194:22	redisclosure
336:16 351:13	201:22 202:3,3,4,5	195:4,10 200:12,25	175:19 180:7,7,16
353:23	202:6,9,10,12,13	201:3,4,11,14,14	183:19 184:1,13
recognized 15:21	202:13,14,20,21,24	201:17,20 202:7,15	186:12 198:21
122:21 305:1	203:6,8,9,11,20	210:23 213:19	reduce 47:12
312:24 331:5	205:2,11 206:1,9	216:12 217:6 218:6	reduced 361:7
recognizing 141:4	206:17 208:13,13	218:7 242:2,4	reducing 345:10
298:20	208:17,20,23 209:1	244:25 246:11,13	redundant 73:1
recommend 102:15	209:2,2,23 210:4,5	250:1 257:14,16	refer 106:24 198:4
103:10 219:24	210:15,15,16,22,23	259:4 270:21 274:9	198:8 201:25
303:12	211:17,21 212:12	294:5 312:9 358:1	reference 83:2
recommendation	214:24 215:4,5,14	359:14 360:1,11,14	225:8 298:16
113:13 127:21	215:19,22 216:1,8	recover 53:17	referenced 82:18
129:19 151:7	216:11 217:21	recovery 57:17	118:25 150:11,16
recommendations	218:9,20,21 219:17	322:6	references 31:6
14:19 31:16 100:19	219:18 223:23	recreation 324:1	referendum 32:25
137:13 254:5 262:4	258:14 271:13	recruit 29:12,17	33:7
321:3 326:24	272:2,8 361:10	298:18	referral 111:12
327:15,25 328:17	362:6	recruited 29:11	referrals 352:22
329:7,13 352:16	recorded 209:8	recruiting 144:9,17	353:4
355:12	213:10,10 361:6	144:23	referred 47:7 52:17
recommended	recording 208:21	recruits 315:5	173:4 224:9
331:17	209:8,16 211:18,19	red 50:4,9,18,20,20	referring 83:24
recommending	211:24 212:18	50:23,24 97:21	89:8 128:3 153:15
132:13 333:10	361:9 362:4	123:5,9 124:8,11	324:4 328:25
reconsider 5:10	recordings 175:8	124:23 125:7 159:9	refine 238:12
reconvene 223:13	recordkeeping	159:21 160:7	reflect 89:9
record 25:1 55:13	359:20	161:18 319:21	reflected 333:1
61:8 89:9 135:2,3	records 74:15	320:3 336:16	reflects 179:25
171:4 172:18	135:4 151:6 167:21	349:17,20,23 350:9	refreshing 220:24
173:14 174:24	168:6 170:3,4,6,7,9	redacted 173:14,24	refused 109:1
175:1,7,10,18	172:3,7,10,10,19	174:5	refusing 74:18
176:10,11,21	173:1,24 174:3,9		160:19
		val Calutions	

regard 66:9 70:2	reinforced 32:11	rely 73:2 190:12	report 3:8,15,21
357:22	83:6 86:8	220:14 295:13	29:22 35:15 36:8
regarding 59:3	reiterate 333:9	relying 76:1,7	38:5,8,23 50:14
64:11 70:6 71:4	356:23	158:15	52:14 67:1 71:12
75:16 77:3 271:13	relate 201:4 342:9	remain 47:18 80:5	76:4 92:7 101:16
292:6,10 310:10	related 33:11 67:18	342:15	117:5,9,17 118:16
313:16 322:21	78:4 110:25 148:9	remaining 48:9	119:13,22 126:5,7
331:12 332:12	172:12,14,16,19,24	remains 157:15	131:7 153:10
regardless 99:17	175:11 178:19	remarkable 304:7	155:25 269:19
103:13 124:9	181:23 203:1,23	remarks 12:7 26:11	286:4 287:4 290:19
308:25	204:6,7,15,19	60:12 67:16 116:25	308:7 318:22
regional 266:13	206:17 217:25	117:4 332:11	320:10,12,14,24
312:4 318:5	218:1 233:24	remedy 354:1	321:7,8,17,19,21
register 222:2	234:15,18 292:7	remember 74:21	321:22 322:8
291:12,22 292:4,8	297:23 310:5,13	82:10 93:25 94:2,2	324:17 328:1,9,13
296:16 300:19,23	312:8 316:4 361:12	176:25 208:22	328:21 329:7,9,12
301:9 302:7,10,20	362:8	244:2	329:14,19,21,25
302:23 303:21	relates 6:9 10:9	remind 54:5 85:20	333:17 349:11,13
regular 34:3 76:18	61:10 62:9,22	189:17 240:9	349:18,21
154:16	108:13 109:5 110:5	336:20,22	reported 150:22
regularly 39:12	142:8,12 161:11	reminded 28:18	243:25 244:3,10
regulation 197:1	182:8 188:17 299:7	remotely 55:14	295:23 359:13
regulations 175:22	relating 214:6	remove 54:2	reporter 223:22
175:23 176:19	299:20	293:17	reporters 358:9
190:21,22	relationship 161:23	removed 21:16	reporting 28:24
reid 223:23,25	relationships	105:20 122:20	29:24 36:13 38:25
224:4,15,17,20	346:20	123:23 286:10	163:21 211:9
225:11 226:20	relative 361:15	295:17	226:15,17 242:9
227:17 228:6 229:6	362:11	renamed 224:11	288:13
229:9,12,19 230:2	relay 303:6	226:21	reports 37:1 38:9
230:21 231:3,11,18	release 174:22	render 76:8	92:2 100:19 117:15
231:22 233:5,8	181:20	rendition 279:14	150:8 314:6,14
235:1,9,12,14,23	released 279:5	reopen 222:10	325:21
236:3,8,12 237:9	releases 274:14,19	repair 13:3	repository 224:10
237:12 238:1,7,10	relentlessly 29:12	repairing 13:1	225:17 226:7,19
238:18,21 239:9	relevant 156:18,24	repeat 83:5 172:9	240:11 243:9,10
254:8,10 262:23	157:7,10 298:2	repeated 43:1 56:7	248:11,16 251:4
263:7,16,19,23	reliable 158:16	repeatedly 27:5	265:8 266:23
264:8,15,19	reliance 73:13	39:9	272:13
reimbursement	reluctant 74:17	replace 32:16	represent 50:15
33:8		46:12 295:10	343:20 344:17

352:19 353:2	172:13 217:12	respectful 165:22	restraining 108:25
representation	222:23 267:11	218:24 261:9	restraints 353:6
323:19 347:4	318:14 337:12	respecting 52:22	restrict 170:4
representative	requiring 124:22	157:19	restrictions 175:19
41:18 313:17,18	297:19 299:14	respective 39:23	180:6 267:25
representatives	research 221:2	40:5	rests 27:3
39:10 310:22	268:20 355:18	respects 17:3	result 15:15 27:24
312:19 314:25	356:8	249:10	31:15 43:6 83:9,20
represented 227:7	researched 295:4	respond 32:21	170:24 258:17
354:2	resembled 351:5	48:22 51:3 53:17	295:8 317:5 343:13
representing 327:1	reset 6:13	56:5 65:17 92:18	352:16
represents 33:16	reside 202:9	124:7,21 134:9	resulted 84:15
reprieve 16:19	resided 147:23	154:11,12	results 49:9 88:21
republican 358:25	resident 53:14	responded 124:22	102:24 123:21
republicans 359:5	337:19	163:11	257:10,12,19
request 83:19	residents 37:12,13	responders 40:19	retain 74:7
118:22 175:2	resiliency 57:5,11	40:23	retained 155:1
requested 70:24	57:17	responds 161:16,17	220:1
93:7 278:17	resolution 77:3	response 20:17	retains 80:6
requests 173:23	resolve 60:6 104:5	40:25 49:5,7 57:16	rethink 79:17
require 179:23	353:25	116:7,9,11 124:2	retired 74:8 350:14
186:7 236:5 252:2	resolved 5:12 60:14	141:25 154:14	retiring 337:7
317:14	60:18,23,24	165:9 177:15	retrofitting 93:15
required 34:14	resource 13:12	274:18 293:8	95:21 96:5
40:13 44:15 50:14	25:14,15,19,20	295:22 302:8,21	reveals 151:6
62:18 93:9,12	33:9 42:1 65:8 95:4	322:4 348:9	reverse 202:10
123:15 164:8 223:8	95:14 141:20,24	responses 41:15,21	review 27:20 41:3,4
226:18 240:11	176:2 178:16	49:9 56:19 112:18	52:11 64:3,5 76:14
248:25 265:6,7	187:16 279:23	responsibilities	87:5 90:1 93:10,10
293:3 294:8 299:19	283:15 300:17	45:24 86:3	93:11 98:7,9
299:25 317:18	318:8	responsibility 15:6	119:25 151:6 230:6
320:11	resources 32:19	15:9 26:24 57:22	230:9 292:22 300:3
requirement 35:20	33:12 38:4 199:11	98:25 99:2 126:18	324:16
62:16 143:25 144:1	217:9 225:18 226:8	129:16	reviewed 41:16
144:7 147:10 293:6	265:24 266:2,7	responsible 10:1,10	118:2 156:23 170:8
requirements 28:2	268:3 318:3	15:2 97:14 98:1,4	reviewing 41:17
28:5 29:15 63:12	respect 167:1 168:1	98:15 260:13	156:15 182:25
75:11 222:17 313:7	168:3 169:1,7	269:15 271:15,21	230:14 323:1
316:11	179:12 202:22,23	275:21,22,24	reviews 37:4 71:24
requires 41:24	246:21 294:16	rest 70:16 222:5	revised 293:11
47:21 50:12 162:11	210.21 271.10	287:23	20,1000 273.11
T/.21 30.12 102.11		201.23	

[revisit - rural] Page 419

		T	I
revisit 171:23	238:24 245:24	200:5 254:14,18	69:21 70:4,10 71:6
revocation 135:24	246:8 247:14,19	256:1	71:14,21 72:3,11
revoke 27:9,12	248:12,12 251:14	roles 45:23 167:20	73:15,19 74:9
43:8 78:14 100:11	251:16 252:3	196:16 247:4 255:3	75:19,22 76:11
132:21 137:10	253:18 256:24	257:21 313:25	77:6 78:10,18
revoked 100:13	257:4,13 258:4	rolled 301:18	79:24 81:3,17,21
106:12 132:14,18	260:21 263:15	rolling 140:15	81:25 82:17 85:24
revoking 28:10	267:23 268:17	282:25	86:25 87:15,17
79:22	273:15 276:18,22	rollout 55:20	89:17 90:20 91:23
rich 272:14	276:25,25,25 278:5	roof 339:7	92:5,13,21,24 93:6
richard 1:13	282:4 286:16	room 8:1 48:22	94:2,16 96:1,9,14
richest 249:17,19	289:17 291:8	rooney 166:14,21	98:3,8 99:6 101:12
265:19	296:23 297:12	root 344:14 345:24	102:11,25 103:16
ridiculed 111:10	303:22 319:10	rotate 22:1	104:6,22 105:4,6
ridiculous 128:12	320:7 321:23	roughly 59:11	105:13,21 106:1,14
250:14	327:11 330:24	75:21 221:13	106:25 107:3 108:6
right 4:21 6:24	338:22 345:4,15,25	round 45:2 311:5	109:13 110:11
7:20 12:8 19:21	354:6 360:18	routinely 34:24	111:18 112:11
23:10 24:11 26:8	rights 169:21 170:1	rpos 298:17,21	113:8,23 115:3
53:1 58:23 59:13	170:12 350:20	299:16	122:2,15 124:7,19
60:18 61:5,13	352:18	rps 299:2	126:3 128:1 129:5
63:18 68:22 71:16	riot 358:22	rsm 87:4	129:15,17 131:16
72:8 80:7 83:4	rise 347:3	rubber 7:24	131:21 132:1,16,20
92:21,23 97:23	rises 217:12	rule 19:6 45:21	133:25 134:23
103:16 104:9	rising 346:25	152:10 176:20	135:5,10,13,17
105:15 111:22	risk 36:20 86:21,23	310:14	136:25 137:11,16
119:3 121:21 122:5	127:5,6,11 133:16	rules 359:19	137:22 138:13,23
125:15 126:1	134:13 155:2	run 20:22 34:12	145:17 147:1,4,17
131:19 134:23	299:14 311:17	68:21 125:13	147:21 148:1,4
137:16 143:7 145:7	352:9,12,17	130:25 253:4 268:4	149:12,15 152:6,18
147:21,25 148:3	risks 47:12	298:8,10,10,12	153:23 154:12
150:11 164:17	river 1:18	305:13 358:20	158:10 159:3 163:8
165:8,15 170:5,6	rivera 362:2,20	359:15	164:4 165:1,6,14
170:20 171:7 186:4	rms 266:15 316:2	runchie 332:6	279:16 332:3,6
190:2 192:7 211:25	road 239:8 264:17	runcie 2:3 7:5 8:22	335:10 338:12
212:22 215:8,8	302:4	15:11 24:15 26:9	341:9 359:2
220:7 221:6,7,25	robust 304:23	26:14,15 59:8 60:5	running 6:1 7:21
222:15 223:21	326:3	60:13,19,24 61:3	8:14 104:15 273:10
226:2 230:24	role 53:6 91:24	63:4 64:4 65:1	297:10,22,24
231:18,22 234:25	105:8,9 131:12	66:18 67:8,14 68:1	rural 326:1
235:3,9 238:10,16	167:17 188:15	68:18,21 69:7,16	

[rushing - school] Page 420

rushing 11:25	93:10 94:9 95:1	saved 148:3 289:17	297:21,22 298:2
ryan 1:21 304:2	101:23 106:23	saw 5:20,21 8:4	305:1
S	113:7 126:9 127:14	64:5 68:3,5 71:7	scene 351:3
	135:20 139:4	147:8 213:8 283:16	schachter 1:14
s 108:15 111:5	142:13 157:17	287:3 305:1 331:21	136:2 145:7,10
112:15	177:13 180:24	332:6 340:10	146:21 147:3,11,20
sacrifice 303:20	181:3 184:4 192:23	saying 2:14 25:7	147:25 148:2 149:1
sad 18:24 334:1,22	194:14,20 197:16	30:9 67:6 91:2	149:14,20 151:1,10
345:4	197:20 198:13,15	99:19 103:22	151:15,19 152:13
saddest 18:25	213:1,3 217:3	106:15 126:17	153:12,21 154:4
safe 13:5 14:11	218:3 224:6,16	128:4 133:15	155:5 157:25
19:4 21:17,20	225:3 226:16,21	135:22 146:24	158:17,20,25 159:5
24:20 27:10,23	227:11 228:4 240:1	154:9 192:13 215:2	159:12,15 160:3,14
31:23 33:5 41:5,25	240:6,8 242:7	223:24 234:20	161:13 162:4,17
43:3 58:19 68:9	255:9 289:7 321:24	236:19 283:10	163:16 164:14
78:22 79:1,7 94:20	322:2 323:1 325:12	341:25	233:1,7 238:23,24
103:7 104:25 111:1	325:15 326:13	says 21:14 93:6	262:9,10,16 263:3
113:4 114:20,20	335:3 338:1 347:14	120:10,22 123:11	263:11,18,21,24
131:1 133:14,17	353:8,11 354:23	129:9 165:3,3	276:20,25 277:4,11
140:17 147:8,16	355:3,4,11,18,20	183:2,20 184:5,6,9	277:19,24 278:2
155:2 224:6,11	356:4,8,9,25 357:1	193:10 196:19,21	290:4,5 302:11,12
331:9 343:8 344:5	357:13 358:4,5	196:24 207:16	302:22 303:12
344:10 347:12	sake 257:20	272:5	305:17,18,19
352:10 353:12	salary 298:13	sb 168:9	319:11,13 324:21
safeguards 186:11	sample 41:18	sb30 167:12	327:1,4,14 330:18
safer 47:5,7,15,23	156:21	sb7026 27:25	333:13 334:13
48:1,4,10,18,20	sampled 86:22	167:11,12 169:1	357:16
49:1,12 287:11 288:1 331:24	sanction 27:15	248:6,23	schedule 110:13
	189:23	sb7030 26:25 27:21	scheduled 92:9,15
334:17 saferwatch 36:3	sanctioned 189:21	68:3 167:11 169:1	313:24
safest 49:2 154:11	sanctioning 28:9	226:4 240:11 265:6	schedules 174:17
351:17	sandy 94:15,17,18	scan 207:24 209:15	174:19
safety 1:3 11:21	94:25 98:19	209:22 213:18,19	school 1:3,20,21
	sanitizer 88:8	scanning 208:6	3:2 4:6,16,19 7:16
31:19 32:15,23 33:1 34:5,16 36:18	sank 341:24	210:25	9:6,6 10:16 11:3,13
36:23 37:15,18,20	sat 25:17 86:1	scary 163:22	11:23 12:5 13:5,9
37:23,24 38:4,9,18	273:20 281:8	scenario 178:22	13:11,13,13,21,23
41:1 43:16 50:6	satisfy 359:8	181:2 205:20	14:2,13,22 16:4
51:16 54:3 56:1	save 120:15 241:4	216:12	21:17,20,22 22:23
57:1,19,21 68:13	303:17 359:4	scenarios 67:9	23:23,23 24:20,22
88:19 89:24 91:19		154:21 206:4	24:23 25:2,14,14
00.17 07.27 71.17		val Calutions	

[school - schools] Page 421

25:16,19,20 27:4,6	130:21 131:3,7,13	205:12,23,23,25	341:2,13 343:15
27:7,10,12,23 28:5	132:9,12 133:7,8	206:7,10,11,15,25	344:25 345:10
30:20 32:3,7,9,13	133:12,19,24	207:3 208:16,17	347:1,13 350:4,22
32:21 33:6,8,15,15	134:12,12 135:9,10	209:11,12,18,18,21	350:25 351:1,7,14
33:21,22 34:3,9	135:13,16,22,23	209:21 210:5,6,11	351:22,24 352:6,20
35:1,23 36:16	136:4,14,16,17,18	210:18 212:19	352:25 353:3,8
37:16,23 38:4,5,9	136:19,21,23 137:1	213:1,2,3,11,12,23	354:23 355:2,18,20
38:15,19 39:22	137:6,8 138:3,8	214:19 216:2,16	356:4,9,25 357:13
40:17 41:24,25	139:8,15,17,19	217:4,17,19 218:5	358:3,17
42:1,12,14,19,19	140:22 141:7,12,14	220:3,4 225:3	school's 38:12
42:21 43:3,4,7,8,9	141:19,20,24 142:3	226:16 227:11	179:19 189:6
43:16 44:20,23,25	143:16,16 144:11	228:4 231:4,14	190:14 199:25
45:1,10,19 46:13	144:13 147:8,9,9	232:9 233:24,25	208:12 235:1
46:15,18,21 47:6	147:16 148:7,8,15	234:1,3,8,16 235:7	schools 2:6 3:25
47:25 48:9 49:14	148:16,18 149:4,6	235:16 236:20,24	4:23 5:2 7:6,12
49:23 50:4,16,21	149:7,16,18,21	237:2,14,17 239:14	12:24 16:24 17:15
51:10,19 53:4,9	150:21 151:8,22,23	240:1,6,8,20 242:6	22:1 23:15 24:6,17
54:3,4,6,20 55:3,5	152:8 153:18	244:2 246:13	26:22 27:17,23
55:13 57:4,7,18,22	155:17 157:8,11	251:12,17 252:11	28:2,13,14,17,20
58:1 61:20,20 62:5	159:23 168:16	252:12 255:8 259:6	28:21 29:8,10
62:9,13 65:8,13,19	172:6,18 173:25	262:13,19 268:22	30:18 32:1 33:5,18
67:22 68:1,13 69:4	174:16 175:5,12	271:8,22 275:22,22	33:25 34:8 35:16
70:2 73:21 78:5,5	176:2 177:6,6,9,17	276:11,22 277:1,13	36:11 38:14 39:7
78:12,16,20,22	177:17,18 178:2,3	277:17 279:1,5,8	39:23 41:5 42:3,4,4
79:1,7,23 82:5	178:10,11,15 179:7	279:12,19,21,23	42:6,9,16,21,23
85:25 87:18,25	179:10,11,12,17,18	280:11 281:10,12	43:12,24 44:15,16
88:7,8,13 93:3,7,9	179:25 180:1,3,5	281:17,24 283:13	45:7,8,9 46:24
93:10,13,17,22	183:9,9,23,24	283:14,22 284:7,10	50:24 51:25 54:2
94:7,9,20 95:1,4,14	184:2,4,5,14 186:8	284:22 286:2,2,3,3	54:24 55:7,9,11
95:14 99:19 100:4	187:16,19 188:16	286:3,3,12 287:25	56:2 58:17 61:19
100:10,13 101:2	189:3,3,7 190:12	288:21,22 289:14	64:7,12 68:12 70:9
102:1,22 103:1,2,8	191:11 192:10,18	290:14,18 291:2,4	78:6 79:10,12,16
103:24 104:7,7,25	192:23 194:10,11	291:14 294:24,25	80:12,14 82:4
105:3,22,24 106:13	194:12,17,23 195:2	297:23,23 300:17	88:20 93:9,12,18
107:24 111:14	195:21 196:3	305:11 306:12	93:20 94:10 96:22
112:5,22 113:5,16	198:13,17 199:10	308:22 320:10	98:4 99:10,12
114:9,15 118:2,24	199:10,20 200:6,11	321:2,24,25 322:2	100:3,7 101:25
123:16,18 124:4	200:11,12,13,14,19	323:18 325:12,15	104:8,14 106:16
125:6 126:9,11,14	201:10,11,20 202:1	326:1,9,13 332:8	112:9 117:15
127:4,14,21 128:23	202:4 203:4,5,9,12	332:24 333:3,11	118:19 119:15
129:1,14 130:4,8	203:16,16,19	334:19 336:14,15	121:23 122:7

[schools - seen] Page 422

123:16 126:18,24	scrambling 5:13	secret 74:8	349:24 351:2
132:3 136:20 137:4	6:20 25:9 284:23	secretary 1:15,16	355:23
139:23 140:4	scraping 264:5	1:17,17 260:17	see 5:13 10:6 20:18
141:23 146:8	screen 62:21 229:4	section 223:6	30:14 35:11 50:5
148:19 149:22	256:7,25 258:5	242:14 269:14	58:9 64:8 67:2
152:5 154:7 161:10	scuffles 208:1	sections 286:17	87:21 90:8 100:22
167:19 170:12	scurrying 131:3	sector 332:23	104:16 111:24
182:9 186:18 197:7	search 88:4 188:3	secure 58:19 68:9	120:16,25 136:7
197:25 200:4	192:20 242:4	147:19	143:16 146:2
207:13,24 209:4,15	255:25 256:7,10,14	securing 34:19	147:23 153:9,9
211:5 224:6,11,16	256:16,17,22 257:5	126:18	169:7 177:21 179:1
226:21 233:2	257:7,18 258:8	security 31:19	179:21 182:7 187:5
234:25 237:22	259:1,8,14,24	32:23 33:1,11,13	187:10,11 194:2
238:3 247:17 263:9	263:13,15 264:6	33:17,18 34:7,15	196:14 201:24,25
271:2,4,5,5 272:16	274:11	34:16,17 35:1	207:15 209:9 212:5
278:18 282:11	searchable 241:24	36:18,23 37:4,8,15	212:6 213:6,6
285:7 287:3,12	242:6 314:7,15	37:18,20 38:7,10	214:8 215:16
288:1 289:7 308:2	searched 316:5	38:18 39:22 41:1	229:16 246:23,23
308:11,13 310:1	searches 20:2	43:22 48:18 50:7	246:25 247:2,9,19
313:4 326:2,6,6,9	231:2	51:16 53:8 56:1,11	250:4 252:20,20
326:10 331:9 335:1	searching 234:4	57:2,19,22 78:5	253:22 255:10
335:5 339:21,24,25	256:8,23 339:5	93:23,24 94:10	256:25 257:21
340:3 341:5 343:1	seat 7:21	95:8 106:15 115:6	258:16 270:4
343:8 344:4,9	seated 351:12	127:25 135:21	272:17 282:25
345:6 346:5,9	sebring 311:13	138:3,9,11,16,17	293:15 297:3,6
347:4,20 348:3	second 3:6 4:9	139:5,11,18 142:13	310:3 319:19 323:3
350:24 351:17	45:17 48:6 88:23	149:25 150:21	323:17 326:3,11
352:14 354:18,21	116:13 160:23	151:9 152:25 155:2	328:1,12 334:20
357:1 358:11,14,15	189:5,12 212:17	157:17 166:24	336:18 343:21
358:18,19,20,21	234:17 240:2	167:9,10 171:1	344:18 347:13
359:13	294:11 312:17	173:9 183:24	358:11
schultz 311:10	314:22 333:25	192:22 193:13	seeing 8:4 163:21
science 355:23	334:8	195:14 196:14,25	185:4,5 258:22,23
359:18	secondary 55:8	197:2,13,15,20	352:5
scope 73:10,12	171:13,13 172:6	198:13,15 200:17	seek 170:6 353:25
score 258:14	226:22	201:5,24,24 202:17	354:1,7 357:24
scores 336:24	secondly 64:24	202:19 204:8,19	seeking 343:18
scott 334:11 349:4	150:7	206:15 256:19	seen 14:12,13 16:14
349:16,18,22	seconds 265:3	293:19 312:4 314:2	26:5 90:8 126:8
scramble 3:13	342:10 350:10	323:1,5,7 325:6	149:13 182:15
	353:24 360:5	326:3 333:11,15	220:6 240:23 263:1
		<u> </u>	

[seen - sheriff] Page 423

281:10 288:20	senior 307:14	serves 317:1	seventeen 290:6
291:25 320:16,23	333:24 346:25	service 6:4 74:8	seventeenth 341:3
segment 97:21	347:1 350:19	320:2	seventy 5:21 22:21
select 34:11	sense 12:23 14:6	services 56:23 92:2	42:16 163:3,25
selected 25:18	16:15 17:1 77:25	117:13 169:4 175:5	353:5
selection 46:10	84:1 85:13 90:7	227:12 271:23	shame 14:5,6 287:8
selectively 20:12	91:5 103:5 114:23	282:10,14 317:20	shape 269:5
self 144:1 157:21	114:24 122:19	342:16 346:8	shaping 333:11
316:24,25	160:21 161:5 172:1	353:19	share 13:19 48:24
semantics 100:1	207:18,19 284:8	serving 37:12,13	121:9 158:5 219:17
102:20	322:23	247:12	261:13 287:1
semi 323:25	sensitive 171:4	sesir 226:17 241:21	308:19 314:11
sen 23:2 106:6,18	sent 27:6 28:3 43:1	241:22 242:4	shared 36:16 114:6
107:2,4 108:12	100:24 122:24	243:22,23 244:4,5	114:13 136:14
110:4,22 112:6,12	232:1 239:17	250:19 251:7,8,21	176:10 358:2
113:22 114:3	341:22	253:25 254:2,21	shares 275:10
115:18 214:4 215:1	sentiment 114:12	257:16 258:18	sharing 40:4
215:8,15,21 216:3	sentiments 82:9	259:7,18 261:16	157:18 169:2
216:7 247:23 248:8	sentinel 358:9	session 104:9	176:14 268:13
250:12 252:1,21	separate 17:4	116:21 128:16	310:9 312:11 314:3
260:15 273:11,14	79:13 201:2,3,16	130:24 135:6	316:1,3 318:8
275:3,16,18 276:5	203:20 216:12	148:13 221:20	357:23
senate 19:12	263:7 267:11	222:1,1,11,18	sharp 351:24
333:14	277:12,14 298:9	225:15 331:17	shawna 223:23
senator 1:20 23:1	300:20	sessions 286:20	224:5,20
94:6 96:3 97:10	separately 201:14	set 9:7 19:8 199:24	she'll 185:2 320:13
105:7 106:4 122:24	239:22 245:6	204:25 206:8,13,20	sheriff 1:10,14,19
165:10 247:22	september 36:17	206:22 234:17	4:22 6:16 7:14 10:7
272:18 275:2	54:5,5 55:5 59:11	263:7 277:8	11:9,12 12:9 13:25
send 18:10 30:15	151:12 292:9	sets 201:16 202:15	14:15 15:2 17:21
60:15 65:22 67:4	295:23 300:10	241:6 258:17	18:1,2 22:15 24:7
80:1 90:22 92:23	313:24 330:15	280:19	24:14 26:15,16
101:6 116:10	serious 130:10	setting 164:11,11	28:25 30:23 49:13
120:25 269:11,18	220:5 283:2 304:12	164:12 205:21	49:16 61:22 63:25
276:12 277:23	354:1	206:14 219:12	64:2 70:2,3 74:2
282:24 283:12	seriously 18:13	257:23 297:22	80:20 81:13,15
328:6 351:7	85:23,25 87:2 90:4	seven 17:13 42:17	99:15 101:22
sending 79:20	90:10 204:4	54:20 138:6 228:22	103:12 122:20
132:24 229:9	seriousness 130:12	272:19 273:11	123:22 124:6 126:1
sends 343:7	serve 40:16 57:8	333:23	133:6,21 135:1,20
	198:7 200:5		145:14 146:1,8,18

[sheriff - single] Page 424

147:12 158:23	298:3,11 300:2	75:24 76:22 77:13	significant 10:23
165:10 187:23	shooting 108:23	77:18 126:3 128:8	31:12,18 32:18
207:9 220:20	212:7 283:19	129:15 130:3	37:16 38:1,11,21
240:12 245:24	308:16 311:12	131:19,24 132:7,19	40:12 44:10 47:4
246:9 254:10	shootings 303:7,11	132:22 134:17	56:14 60:6 94:22
262:21 265:18	351:14	135:2,8,12,17	100:5 102:2 109:17
273:4,4 274:13	shop 209:17 246:1	207:11 209:4	110:12 115:9
278:12,14 283:23	shopping 248:20	210:10,21 212:1	138:14 141:6
286:10,16,25	250:9 252:19	213:14,16,21 214:2	189:11 190:17
287:21 292:8	short 7:12 70:16	220:22 274:13,17	288:23 289:21
303:23 304:20	136:13 160:9 182:4	278:17 303:25	significantly 87:23
305:17 311:9,11	228:25 245:17	328:22,24	144:10
313:17 315:17	272:11 291:12	shuffling 101:10,13	signifying 228:2
319:13 324:13	shorter 100:4	shut 27:5 43:1 79:5	signing 8:15
328:23 331:21	shortly 23:19 52:1	102:16 103:10	signs 141:4 336:18
333:12 348:8 349:4	56:25 94:15 145:25	104:8 160:25	silence 36:13
350:13,13	shot 114:15 115:13	side 46:14 79:20	336:12
sheriff's 6:2 7:17	308:17 338:8 352:1	115:4 157:5 209:21	silent 281:8 348:18
11:11 15:6 29:14	352:12	256:24 257:5	silicon 355:16
39:10,24 40:7,9	show 14:2 78:25	260:24 265:16	silos 250:8
59:17 70:11 83:1	129:23 130:11	268:24 271:22,23	similar 9:2 34:16
118:15 134:20	156:5 249:13,14	275:5,19	185:22 203:14
268:14 276:21,24	252:10 279:16	sides 359:5,9	297:5,7 317:15,25
280:3,5 286:13	281:7 290:20 291:4	sight 288:7 289:1	simone 1:16
293:5 295:12	296:11	289:12 347:9	simple 2:24 3:20
297:17,18,25	showed 139:19	sign 60:2 64:18	17:11 20:21 21:20
310:18 314:24	showing 7:25 155:6	67:12 85:18 100:3	123:4 343:1
337:21 349:16	155:7 257:16	118:10 119:12	simplify 125:12
sheriffs 14:21	shown 255:24	280:24 283:8,20,21	simply 31:6 98:14
119:10,13 146:11	257:25	signage 35:7 36:10	238:8 298:5 359:25
148:21 311:4,6	shows 151:24	signature 120:1	single 4:18 32:6
shirttail 128:14	163:17 242:11	128:17 361:21	33:20,23,25 35:3
shocked 161:3	261:6 276:8 287:20	362:18	48:2 63:16 66:22
285:9,11	287:22 307:22	signed 5:3,20,22,23	95:6 97:16,17
shoot 184:5,6 234:7	shrf 12:10 14:15,17	6:7 7:15,16 8:5,5	108:21 152:23
306:11	24:16 63:25 64:9	12:17,22 13:24	164:9 230:14
shooter 47:13	66:8 67:6,9,20	21:13 24:17,22	239:12 244:22
96:21 150:3,14	68:15,19 69:2,13	25:12 61:25 62:2	255:11 257:24
160:4,6 162:18	69:19 70:1,6 71:2	99:13,24 128:10	293:8 295:21 302:8
240:21 293:8	71:12,16 72:2,5	280:10,24,25	302:21 348:21
295:22,25 296:2	73:8,17 75:15,20	284:15	

[sir - sources] Page 425

sir 124:15 145:10	sixteen 28:14 177:2	granghat 294.5	194:5 201:15 208:4
		snapshot 284:5 sobel 94:6 96:3	212:6 213:4 216:15
301:1 303:21	285:21 295:21		
342:10 350:16	296:9 332:18	social 154:2 171:1	220:25 229:25
sirbola 357:15,21	348:20	173:9 183:24	231:4,9 243:8,8
sisters 66:10	sixth 1:12	193:13 224:12	244:16 248:3,4,5
sit 21:22 64:17	sixty 19:7 45:8,11	225:2 226:11,23	250:4 272:4 282:24
65:23 91:7 101:18	54:20 102:5 228:21	227:13,15,19 228:7	somebody's 100:14
155:21 156:15	272:19 273:11	228:8,10 230:23	someplace 4:12
229:23 275:25	312:18 337:5	231:16 232:15,19	somewhat 297:23
site 27:10 73:21	size 156:21 199:11	232:20,22 241:17	son 338:21,22,25
79:7 93:13 103:8	skating 150:11	244:11 251:23	339:18 351:7
104:25 118:6 204:7	skills 361:11 362:7	254:21 256:19	son's 351:1
204:18 255:11	skinner 1:15	257:17 258:19	sons 351:25
sites 232:12,13,14	skip 222:3 271:19	259:7 262:10	soon 8:10 69:10,10
232:15,19,21 317:9	324:6	263:10 264:13	84:4 92:12 102:23
sits 269:20 272:25	skipping 285:17	270:24 316:17,18	104:18 135:6
sitting 2:18 17:8	skirt 128:14	316:19 317:23	222:10 265:5 322:5
18:13,16,25 19:10	slang 238:11	339:16 342:24	sooner 61:16,17
19:11 97:1 122:20	slangs 238:4	343:11 344:3	sorely 354:2
125:19 165:21	slant 349:1	346:12,24	sorry 17:12,13 60:9
182:23 183:6 213:1	slide 188:11 196:17	socioeconomic	81:21,22 89:10
213:4 229:3,4,25	201:18,19 232:5	356:15	141:1 153:14
253:15 285:13	240:24 253:18	software 316:6	225:23 238:11
situation 2:22 9:6	268:15 293:15	sole 200:17,17	317:3
22:7 49:3 51:4,4	329:6	solely 19:3 318:1	sort 215:9 279:6
56:18 75:4 137:2	slides 167:1,3,5	solution 7:12 8:7	300:10
148:9 188:22	168:5 188:9,25	17:24 18:19 128:4	sought 353:19
218:15 298:3	190:3 199:16	318:9 358:13	soul 339:5
situational 48:21	219:11 323:10	359:10	souls 332:18
51:14 56:5 154:5	slow 8:19	solutions 18:11	sound 250:14
situations 20:23	small 200:4 358:22	227:10	sounds 152:15
49:6 65:12 67:15	smaller 197:25	solve 18:6	soup 75:3
81:4 91:4 111:15	265:25	solving 158:9	source 33:10 40:11
143:2,2,4 180:13	smell 50:5	somebody 4:4,8 7:7	51:12 196:10,10
195:9 298:21 303:4	smith 223:24	15:17 16:25 17:9	257:15,24 265:19
303:11	225:14 230:18	22:22,23 30:15	sourced 228:4
six 24:12 39:20	231:7,8 244:1	75:8 98:11,11,15	sources 169:15
103:21 162:17	smoke 104:19	114:19 119:2,3	226:9 240:16,23
253:15 290:16	smokers 207:25	147:7 160:8 172:1	248:19 249:12
305:3,4 309:4	smooth 39:14	177:24,25 187:11	253:23 257:1
319:5 350:13		187:12 193:10	261:23 273:22,24

[sources - start] Page 426

354:25	107:18 111:18	spouse 16:7	223:11 275:7 286:4
sourcing 36:21	112:24 120:22	spouses 16:7 77:1	296:8 353:15
south 294:24,24	138:25 153:5 164:6	77:23	staffed 34:19
354:20	173:5,5 177:7	spread 169:2	318:15
southeast 266:12	178:13 181:16,23	spring 49:16 72:13	staffing 37:22
southern 350:21	217:24 234:8,20	74:6 249:2 322:12	55:25
space 47:7 48:1,18	236:20 237:18	325:22	stage 52:12
48:20 49:1,2	295:4,9,15 322:13	springs 57:9	stairwells 204:3
spaces 47:5,15,16	324:5 328:12,17	squeak 304:7	stakeholders 34:24
47:18,21,23 48:5	specifically 41:17	squeezing 12:21	90:1 113:14 140:17
48:10 49:12 323:25	50:2 82:3 83:2 94:8	sro 34:10 42:9	242:25 356:4 357:6
sparse 359:13	122:17 128:9	60:10 84:20 99:20	stalked 108:16
speak 7:5 70:1 75:3	148:23 208:9	183:9,21 212:18	stamps 84:19
82:14,18 85:15	223:12 235:15	213:3 251:17 269:4	stand 16:12,13
125:24 166:19,25	294:12,19 340:17	274:4 276:11 292:6	24:11 74:12 75:13
224:2 233:8 262:25	355:19	292:17,18,22	77:12 84:3 94:4
306:18 327:6 348:7	specifics 84:11,16	293:11,23 298:9	220:25 248:25
348:25 354:15	85:15,16 106:9	306:3	249:8 333:13
speaker 349:10	108:6	sros 33:13 39:22	standard 39:3
354:13 357:17	specified 239:20	40:2 42:15 43:21	40:15 124:5 140:14
speakers 55:16	speculative 83:14	59:20 93:19 138:2	299:8,9,10,11,13
360:14	speeds 99:7	138:6 182:9 213:23	299:17 300:3
speaking 11:17	spelling 199:6	293:4 294:6,9	standardized 47:17
14:9 15:11 86:18	spells 182:16	295:9,15 301:3	310:5
86:18 130:16	spend 42:18 167:23	302:22 334:9 358:3	standards 52:18
170:23 236:15	spending 32:20	359:21	292:12,25 293:12
special 48:7 242:14	64:6 92:19 95:2	stack 270:9	296:20 298:6
280:16 282:1	317:6	staff 1:16,22 12:19	299:25 307:12
specialist 149:25	spent 58:2 94:23	25:22 29:20 32:3,8	312:13
specialists 38:8	107:6 108:24	34:21 35:5,9,9	standing 77:21
43:23 93:23 138:16	110:11 112:17	36:18 37:11,17	211:15
139:11,18 325:13	spirit 85:21	38:13 41:12,19	standpoint 75:1
325:15	spit 240:19	43:12 44:11 47:9	342:12
specialized 299:1	spoke 69:14 319:13	47:25 48:24 49:6	stands 102:4
specific 30:7,25	348:7	49:18 50:2,7,8,17	standup 133:11
50:19 64:12 70:5	spoken 149:20	52:4 54:2 68:9 73:5	stark 302:2
80:22,23 81:9,16	350:3	73:20 82:13,15	start 26:19 54:4
81:24,25 82:4,12	spokesman 337:22	87:3 91:21 97:18	71:17 75:1 85:25
82:16 83:22 84:3	spoons 75:3	107:23 111:1	126:4 221:25 226:1
88:1,3,5,13 89:13	spot 140:12 265:22	120:19 132:25	266:6 279:1 281:20
89:20 91:10 107:14	266:3	152:25 190:13	281:23 291:9

[start - structure] Page 427

302:13 312:1	311:20,24 312:19	stay 107:24 351:9	stories 30:11
323:23 324:23	314:19 317:24	357:18	storing 229:14
328:8 330:15	318:6 319:25	steering 310:21	230:17 236:10
348:16	325:24 331:13	312:21 313:23	264:5
started 12:21 13:2	337:1 338:5 344:4	step 18:9 126:10	straight 102:6
13:9,23 24:23 25:7	344:10 350:4,21	148:21 246:7 282:8	334:21
25:9,16 26:8 72:20	356:5	297:4 298:23	straighten 103:17
87:18 92:14 95:13	state's 95:13	331:25 336:19	straightened straightened
104:7,10 123:3	stated 80:21 94:4	stepped 95:12	103:15
130:21 166:10	148:11 231:25	288:23,25	straightforward
225:9 276:23	239:10 240:12	steps 80:5 94:8	255:19
279:12 280:11	254:10	299:6 327:16	strange 159:15
284:22 287:5 296:4		stepson 178:6	stranger 341:11
305:3 333:24 341:1	26:7 127:19 138:4	stepson's 178:7	strategies 57:12
starting 145:19	304:15	stereotypical	307:16
252:25 253:2 320:4	statements 116:16	193:18	
328:11 330:14	302:15	steve 74:7	strategy 75:7 300:25 306:25
starts 10:16 85:3	states 37:3 262:11	stewards 90:25	
104:7 131:4 281:24			307:5,8,17 308:4 308:23 310:21
	285:17 295:7 308:1	stewart 1:19	
346:6	statewide 146:16	stipulates 47:14	312:3,21 314:10,22
state 1:12 15:21	226:25 241:24,25	stoneman 1:3 12:14	streams 54:10
19:7 28:5 33:2 37:4	242:4,6 262:14	51:18 53:3,6,18	street 64:16 269:4
41:24 46:18 63:15	287:19 300:25	57:4 71:4 73:14	298:23
68:25 85:1 94:21	306:24 307:8 308:3	76:10 77:4 95:25	streets 347:10
95:1 124:10,24,25	308:23 309:20	96:7 97:16 105:19	strengths 36:8
127:19 129:21	319:18	121:13 123:1	stress 2:13 117:2
143:10 144:6 145:3	static 35:9	124:16 126:9	117:23 121:7
148:20 154:15	stations 54:21	138:22 149:24	242:21,23 266:6
167:14 223:7	statistics 351:14	155:5,18 159:6,16	289:9 344:5
232:10 239:22,23	status 7:20 8:12	160:14 204:1 235:5	strides 289:21
239:24 240:2	132:17 333:5	stop 7:24 8:14 22:6	strike 157:16
244:24 245:4	356:15	24:9 165:12 218:25	strive 121:24
248:24 249:21,24	statute 14:24 41:24	219:5 221:16,18	stroke 168:25
258:20,24 261:23	50:21 62:19,20	242:18 246:1,5	strokes 167:9
263:6 266:19 268:1	64:20 65:4,15	248:20 250:9	strong 53:21 79:21
272:20 278:20	69:14 79:3,4 223:4	252:19 305:14	80:2
287:7,24 288:12	223:6 226:11 265:6	322:5 338:4,10	strongly 20:7
289:3 290:11 297:9	statutes 42:23	339:7	strozier 311:9
301:15 304:3,18	statutorily 45:21	store 241:3	structure 38:25
307:18,21,23	statutory 35:20	stored 209:3	117:16 176:12
309:13 311:15,18	310:13		179:24 180:1

[structure - sup] Page 428

217:21 219:15	141:2,5 142:11,14	submission 123:14	summary 262:3
313:16 318:12	142:22 156:7	submit 320:11	324:17
structured 217:20	162:24 163:1 169:4	324:15	summer 34:13
structures 180:3	169:25 173:25	submittal 41:6	92:15 104:9 148:13
struggling 205:18	181:3 191:17 200:2	submitted 28:21	162:16 249:2
stuck 58:5	203:7 204:16	113:15 330:13	280:19 297:24
student 23:13	205:16 207:1 241:5	subordinate 117:7	355:15
24:16 88:7.10	256:22 279:7,9,10	117:10,10	sun 358:9
89:23 92:1 107:19	281:15 284:10,21	subpoena 73:24	sunrise 1:7
107:21 108:16,17	285:13 304:14	177:15 180:12,13	sunshine 222:17
110:19 111:6,9,11	332:5 336:14,15,15	192:20 218:14	223:5
117:19 150:3 151:8	339:20,25 340:5	subsection 173:20	suntrust 311:13
151:20 153:16,16	341:18,21 342:20	subsequent 28:23	sup 26:15 59:8 60:5
156:12,14 157:12	343:2,14,18 344:11	subsequently 83:19	60:13,19,24 61:3
157:14 158:13	344:15 345:17,19	127:23 278:21	63:4 64:4 65:1
163:7 171:25,25	345:20 346:20	substantial 41:6	66:18 67:8,14 68:1
172:3,4,12,14,19	347:16,22,24 348:1	substantive 76:1,4	68:18,21 69:7,16
172:24 173:9,13,17	348:1 352:19 353:1	substitute 19:15	69:21 70:4,10 71:6
174:8,21 175:11	353:5,15,16 354:5	189:2	71:14,21 72:3,11
182:25 183:1	354:17 357:4	substitutes 42:11	73:15,19 75:19,22
189:10 192:8,9,11	studies 44:24 313:6	succeed 355:6	76:11 77:6 78:18
193:2,10,11,12	studio 308:17	success 262:17,20	79:24 81:3,17,21
194:13 195:4 203:1	study 308:5 351:19	262:25 318:24	81:25 82:17 85:24
203:23 204:7,9,11	stuff 2:19 8:2 104:3	356:24	86:25 87:15,17
204:14,17 206:6,7	198:11 213:1,3	successful 310:4	89:17 90:20 91:23
215:3 216:10,10,10	218:1 251:1,6,7,8,9	311:18 342:14	92:13,21,24 94:2
241:25 242:3 246:3	251:10,20,21,23,24	successfully 57:20	94:16 96:1,14 98:3
258:13 271:23	252:3 253:6 261:3	341:17	98:8 101:12 102:11
277:5,9 334:21	287:2 359:21	sudden 5:12	102:25 103:16
343:17 344:5	stupid 101:20	sufficient 53:20	104:6,22 105:4,6
352:24 354:19	subject 171:22	55:1 126:14,23	105:13,21 106:1,14
355:1	175:18 180:6	suggest 63:12	106:25 107:3 108:6
student's 170:3	191:23 200:23	221:23 286:5,18	109:13 110:11
173:6 178:4 263:13	201:1 218:10	331:15	111:18 112:11
students 11:21 16:4	233:11,12,13,17	suggestions 131:17	113:8,23 115:3
16:18 32:2 34:21	314:23 321:12	suggests 15:1	122:2 124:7,19
35:13 43:11 47:9	340:20 353:5	suicidal 319:24	128:1 129:5,17
50:7 58:3 97:17	subjects 310:11	suicidation 157:22	131:16,21 132:1,16
107:23 109:19	314:5,17 316:4,11	suicide 336:17	132:20 133:25
112:2 113:2 115:5	316:15	343:2	134:23 135:5,10,13
122:8 129:1 140:22			136:25 137:11,16

[sup - systems] Page 429

137:22 138:13,23	gunomyigong 20.15	gummigad 66:0.14	306:16 307:1
′	supervisors 38:15 41:20 46:4 119:21	surprised 66:9,14	
145:17 147:1,4,17		surrounding	319:11
147:21 148:1,4	281:17	286:13	swims 271:7
149:12,15 152:6,18	supplement 33:2	surveillance 40:1	switch 337:10
153:23 154:12	support 11:9 16:22	152:24 167:24	sworn 9:20 73:2
158:10 159:3 163:8	16:22 53:16 71:11	168:2,4 172:23	352:3 361:6
164:4 165:1,6,14	129:12 130:1	175:8 194:3 202:23	sylvia 291:15,23
super 184:9	148:22 223:11	203:24 204:18,23	320:8,9,17 326:22
superintendent 2:3	332:20 333:2 336:2	205:7,20 206:14	327:9
6:15 7:5 8:9,22	338:12,13 356:2,9	207:7 219:13 344:4	sylvia's 292:3
15:11 22:15 24:15	supported 35:7	344:10	symbolic 283:17
25:13,25 26:9,13	297:5 359:5	survey 3:6 88:2,15	system 19:17 44:14
26:14 58:24 60:9	supporting 36:3	88:22 89:1,4,13,18	44:14 46:6,12,17
64:1 74:9 75:12	supportive 275:8	90:6 91:1 93:13	46:17,19,21 54:8
79:16 83:25 84:21	277:3	107:1,2 116:8	54:14,16 55:12
85:14 92:10 93:6	supports 211:3	123:20 162:22	66:20 80:8 84:18
94:1 98:1 99:1,6	217:15,17	163:19	87:9 90:12,25 95:8
101:25 105:8 106:7	supposed 69:1	surveys 90:7	105:3 110:8,13
116:7,9,12,14,25	161:20 285:25	survivors 353:21	115:1 124:4 130:25
122:15 130:4 131:9	294:10	suspect 120:18	158:11 206:15
134:24 137:13	supposedly 359:4	suspend 72:9	210:6 228:13,14
145:11 148:11	sure 11:9 12:5 19:3	suspended 108:18	232:3,11 239:16
163:5 165:15 275:7	58:16 59:14 66:15	156:3 173:21,23,25	241:19 246:5,21
275:9 277:2 281:6	68:10 89:2 105:10	195:14,16	250:22 252:8,8,9
281:11 282:22,23	108:2 120:13	suspension 30:1	252:11 253:24
283:1,11,12,22	121:25 128:1	67:17 174:2	254:8,11,15 256:3
290:14,23 291:1	129:24 133:17	suspensions 30:4	256:9,18 257:11,22
332:3 333:1	134:21 135:17	suspicious 226:14	259:22 261:24
superintendent's	142:25 157:2 159:3	226:16	270:21 274:9
25:22 118:21	169:12 192:5 193:5	sustainable 27:13	281:10 284:8,11
144:12	199:8 217:21 219:2	27:18 29:5 78:15	286:12 322:22
superintendents	219:4 221:21 229:2	78:23 103:9 104:25	337:11,12 358:19
14:22 28:4 220:3	241:1 264:11	127:15	systemic 91:5
288:24 335:15	269:16 272:10	sustained 4:7,18	122:22
359:2,3	273:13,16 278:14	5:4 17:22,23 62:24	systems 53:23 55:5
superior 359:7	296:13,13 303:17	sustaining 127:24	55:7 153:2 245:1
supervising 350:20	304:12,22 306:1	swearingen 1:13	250:2 252:7 260:13
supervision 120:5	329:9 334:14	122:13,14 124:14	262:14 266:5
supervisor 118:2,5	surprise 283:11	125:23 216:24,25	270:20 312:10
119:23 184:8	316:20	217:23 264:1,2,9	316:2,5 322:4
313:10		291:13,22 306:14	355:22,23,25

[systems - team] Page 430

356:17 358:17	205:1 290:3 293:11	325:13 350:2	teach 160:2 297:16
t	299:6 332:14	talking 31:10 60:10	315:5
table 274:7 276:1	337:18 361:3,14	62:23 75:17 106:8	teacher 53:14 88:9
311:6	362:10	106:25 114:6,18	89:1,4,24 110:19
	takes 126:10	136:5 143:17 151:5	112:2 155:13 156:5
tabs 268:12	202:22 221:14	154:8 162:9,16	156:13 157:8,11
tackling 319:20	267:3 329:20	167:24 178:15	187:10 215:2,16
tactical 184:10	talk 9:23 10:12	188:12 189:14	216:5,9
tag 58:14	83:5 90:20 100:23	192:7 200:3 224:25	teacher's 88:7
tail 128:15	107:7 109:2 110:23	240:8 245:4 302:20	89:25 108:10
take 9:2,22 15:15	112:19 114:17	304:9 324:2	113:11 116:8 335:3
15:17 30:10 31:2	122:15 126:16	talks 176:7 188:20	teachers 16:4,18,24
31:25 32:17 43:14	150:13 155:8 167:8	193:10 197:25	35:13 49:3,6 58:3
50:3 52:25 63:9	167:15,16,21	247:15 294:15	68:8 88:16,18
65:6 66:13 71:2	168:14 170:1	tallahassee 229:4	90:20,24 91:16
78:11,19 79:1,22	175:13,20 177:8,12	308:18 311:1	103:24 107:6 109:3
86:13,16 90:3,9,13	177:14 179:21	tamarac 111:14	112:17,19 113:10
100:12 102:1,18	187:1 190:3 224:5	tampa 297:10	113:15,24 114:5
111:24 112:4 115:8	225:20 227:14,17	tangible 187:6,7	127:5 142:10 152:3
130:2,5,7 131:11	228:9,18 232:4,10	208:21	154:8 155:9,21,22
131:16,23 134:14	233:9 240:1 254:2	tanner 354:13,14	156:4,10,13,18,24
141:11,15 143:16	265:24 278:9,16	354:16 360:6,13	157:1,3,12 158:3,6
165:25 181:10	279:17 291:5	taps 311:23	158:12 159:7,16
186:1 198:14,18	294:16 300:24	targeted 307:9	160:19,19 163:21
202:1 203:10,16	304:9,9 306:4	308:4,6,24 311:7	289:14 305:4,9,12
206:7,11 216:4	307:2,4 311:12	311:16 345:17	305:14 306:10
217:25 219:2,9	314:19 322:9	346:3 353:16	319:16 332:5
221:20,24 233:10	323:22 324:24	targeting 345:9	340:13 341:20
249:12 260:24	327:8 338:16,17	task 89:22 197:18	342:17 343:6,7
261:2 265:2 266:25	339:1 345:1 347:2	249:10 292:17	344:13 345:22
272:23,24 282:8,12	350:7 357:19	293:16 309:3 312:4	346:20
286:18,22,25 291:9	talked 9:17 18:2	314:7 318:2,5,8,13	teaches 336:15
291:18 298:23	24:12 64:23 66:19	318:18	teaching 293:23,23
304:1 307:18	83:18 89:18 102:3	tasked 195:13	team 10:5 11:5,13
312:14 326:10	109:6,7,8 110:24	242:25 250:11	64:17 107:25 113:9
347:21	115:20,22 140:13	321:7	122:5 156:13 158:9
takeaway 188:11	154:4 155:11	tat 192:18	167:18 177:23
190:4 253:6	162:21 251:13	taught 161:2	178:3,16 180:9,15
taken 10:9 31:18	262:2 283:5 292:21	293:21	181:18 182:24
41:22 52:24 53:24	299:22 311:8,10,19	taxpayer 285:12	183:7,16,21,22
111:13 112:25	311:22 316:17	1 0	187:17 188:12,15
141:10 150:9 171:3			
	Varitant I ac	val Solutions	

[team - thing] Page 431

192:18 195:2,19	teen 4:12	terminology	126:3 143:19 150:6
196:11 200:22	telecommunicati	248:12,13 280:13	165:16 166:15,17
201:1 217:5 230:4	317:18	302:15	166:17,18 168:13
239:21 247:5,12	telephoned 147:13	terms 78:2 114:18	168:24 213:14
250:22 251:12,18	tell 7:9 18:14,23	156:12 157:13	214:4 216:25 221:1
255:6 259:15,25	25:11 53:15 65:18	167:22 187:23	221:5,6 223:16
260:2,4 263:12	66:3 78:19 87:18	200:24 233:24	224:15,18 263:24
266:8 269:10,21	87:22 111:20	234:2,8 241:12	264:22,23,24
270:5 271:21 272:1	114:10 142:1 149:4	246:11 316:7	286:15,16 292:8
272:9 274:4,6,7	158:25 160:21	326:12 354:3	296:16 300:14
276:14,21 277:6,10	183:15,17 184:9	testament 304:24	302:10 303:21
277:13,14 294:17	192:9 193:21,22	testified 4:24 42:7	304:19,20,21
295:11 320:2	219:22 244:19	82:25 83:7 84:12	306:16 307:1 319:9
team's 218:20	259:7 264:17 280:8	84:21 85:11 349:22	319:10 320:25
teams 45:16,24	281:6 296:18	testifies 2:4	321:4 327:9,11
46:4 48:6 53:9	301:23 302:23	testify 8:9 149:10	331:7 332:1,2
64:11,14 118:8	303:2,9 304:1	testifying 99:10	333:19 334:2
176:1 182:10 190:8	306:9 317:2 328:14	151:2 290:23	335:18,19 337:13
190:10,15 217:2,18	328:18 329:12,14	333:14 361:5	337:14,17 339:10
217:19 225:1	332:19 338:22	testimonies 341:19	339:13 342:19
226:25 227:21	340:4	testimony 41:8	343:24 344:20
234:14 240:14	telling 30:13	52:4 64:2,10,23	346:21 348:3,6
246:19 254:16,24	100:21 149:14	73:2 76:15 80:21	350:16,18 354:6,12
268:18 273:18,25	tells 259:3	81:1 82:11 83:3,21	354:14 357:13,21
318:7 356:20	temporarily 104:17	84:14 106:10 119:8	360:12,19
tears 334:25	105:20	123:11 145:14	thankful 58:9
technical 40:13	ten 45:9 142:5	147:12 150:9,13,22	thanks 292:4
55:7 225:8 227:17	162:24 166:2	151:3 333:7 350:2	303:22 331:7
355:4 357:3	286:19 291:13,18	texas 295:6	360:18
technically 79:6	307:3 324:16	text 36:12 288:10	theft 171:1
354:24	342:10 350:10	textbook 298:19	theirs 19:16
techniques 139:21	353:24 360:5	thank 9:1 12:8,10	themes 122:16
293:23,24	tends 227:16	14:18 23:3,7 26:13	therapists 343:10
technological	tents 335:6	26:17 49:15 58:13	346:11
248:17,22 355:2,18	term 7:13,13 27:13	58:20,23 63:25	thereof 333:6
355:25	27:18 29:5 62:3,4	78:7,9,10 82:23	thing 10:19 11:16
technologies 323:6	62:11,14 78:15,23	89:11 97:8 106:6,7	15:13 19:21 23:18
technology 32:15	79:3 85:5 103:9	106:18 108:12	43:13 61:5 75:5
53:25 55:24 224:21	128:5 169:25 177:7	112:14 113:22	91:5 103:21 153:6
317:7 355:14,24	309:15 318:14	114:3 115:19 116:2	186:21 187:2,22
356:3,25 357:12		116:24 122:14	193:20 208:8

[thing - threat] Page 432

215:10 216:17	72:18 79:8,18,20	296:22 297:6	341:18,21 347:24
221:9 243:7 253:7	79:21 80:1,4 81:5	299:21 300:23	thousands 146:16
255:10 261:12	81:12 82:19,21	302:19 303:13,13	339:25
270:4 275:18	84:6 85:20 87:13	306:17 307:23	threads 323:2
289:17 296:25	88:23 90:8,18 91:7	308:7,14,15,23	threat 29:19 30:2
302:24 323:14	91:14,17,17 92:16	309:25 318:25	30:22 31:3 43:17
342:6 360:3	92:19,20 94:3	319:1 320:4,21	44:12,20,24 45:2,4
things 2:11 9:3,17	95:11 96:14,24	328:14 329:11,14	45:16,18,23 46:3,8
19:13,21 23:20	100:2 102:3 106:3	329:16,23 330:9,17	46:12 47:3 48:22
31:11 63:10 73:22	106:8,19,20,22	334:6 337:4 342:13	50:10,16 51:13
90:4,10 95:19 96:4	107:4,11 111:3,4	346:1 347:12 349:2	64:11,13,17,19
96:10,11 97:5	112:7,16,18,20	349:3,6 360:17	65:10,17,18,23
102:11 104:5 109:9	113:8 115:18,20	thinking 203:14	66:6,11,23 67:10
110:24 111:4 112:7	117:22 120:23	206:24 219:12	67:18 80:3,25 81:7
114:5,17,22 115:14	121:10,17,20	245:21 345:23	83:11 84:23 86:4,9
116:4 122:25 133:9	125:21,23 128:24	346:9	86:20 87:2,5 91:20
136:8 140:12 153:4	134:24 138:6 142:1	third 3:7 46:10	91:21 92:6 107:25
153:9 164:18	146:19 147:4,4,5	334:24 352:21	108:18 117:2,5,14
173:12,15 174:13	152:7,20 153:4	354:11	117:25 118:10,16
179:22,23 184:7	154:13 163:8,13	thirteen 5:25 8:7	119:24 120:7 121:7
186:7 198:14,15	167:3 176:24 177:4	163:2 285:20	121:17,20 136:20
203:5 214:5 219:22	181:15 184:20	thirty 52:11 222:7	137:20,24 140:11
219:23 226:18	185:4,17 187:22	237:22 265:3	151:12 153:2 154:2
232:20 234:20	188:10 190:4 194:1	350:13	155:12,14,15,19,23
238:12 251:25	196:9 201:20	thompson 59:3	156:11,13 157:9,20
253:8 260:15	202:17 205:3,5,21	thorough 52:22	157:21,21 167:17
266:16 267:16,19	206:21 210:1,4,19	304:25	176:1,16 177:22
279:18 287:7 289:8	211:2,5,8 214:8,14	thoroughly 29:11	178:2,16,20 180:9
293:17,20,22 294:9	216:19 219:8	thought 21:19	180:15 181:1,10,11
295:16 298:15	220:16 221:13	253:10,17 304:16	181:12,16,17,19,21
301:13 309:8 310:3	222:12 223:21	309:3 328:6 335:13	181:24 182:1,2,9
321:15 324:7	224:1 231:23	335:17	182:23 183:6,16,20
326:25 346:10,17	243:19 248:11,12	thoughtful 125:18	183:22 184:4,11
348:18 349:15	250:6,15,15,16,16	thoughtfulness	187:11,12,17
358:6	252:24,25 253:1	16:1	188:12,14,18 189:5
think 2:7 13:19	254:7 262:7,7	thoughts 8:20	189:11 190:7,15,17
14:10 15:8,12,19	265:2,4,11,23	328:19	195:2,19,22 196:11
16:2,5 20:3,4 21:1	276:7 278:6,11,12	thousand 48:4	200:18,22,25 217:1
22:25 24:25 25:10	281:8 283:1 284:5	50:22 54:20 124:12	217:2,4,8,12,18,25
37:17 51:7,7 58:6	284:20 288:5 290:3	162:24,25 244:8	218:3,8,19,19
60:7 63:2 68:3	290:8 291:10,15	291:3 339:19	221:19 222:9,19

[threat - tony] Page 433

225:1 226:24	54:20,22 67:15	167:23 181:7,9	26:18,19 37:1
227:21 230:4	86:6 103:2 108:19	182:5 186:1 207:13	58:12 67:16 77:24
234:14 239:3,21	109:20 139:15	207:18,19,20 208:3	78:10 81:11 82:11
240:14,16 241:9	146:14 165:21	207:18,19,20 208.3	83:25 87:14,18
240:14,16 241.9	177:5 183:5 191:2	211:12,13,20	99:9,23 100:6,8
247:5,11 250:21	204:1 216:12,13	211.12,13,20 212:18 213:5,6,21	101:11,15 106:7
251:11,18 254:4,15	221:14 228:21	212.16 213.3,0,21	107:16 109:9
251.11,16 254.4,15	243:25 244:6	221:14 227:19	123:11 126:4
	252:13 253:16		123.11 120.4
259:15,24 261:25 262:5 263:11,12	261:18 268:21	228:20,23 229:1,5 229:23 239:2	131:18 132:10,15
266:7 268:18 269:9	291:11 298:8	242:19 245:17	131.16 132.10,13
269:20 270:4	310:23,23 315:3,18	254:7 259:16 267:11 278:25	135:14 138:21
271:20 272:1,9	331:1,2,4 334:12		149:10 151:2
273:18,25 274:4,6	334:13,14 339:19	279:24 282:16	166:19,25 167:8 168:8 217:1 223:13
276:13,20 277:4,6	341:18 350:14,22	287:10,14 292:15	
277:8,12,14,18	354:17 358:9,23	293:10 296:25	223:25 224:19,23
300:15,24 301:5	throw 346:16	299:7 315:22	224:24 225:4,14
302:3 306:24 307:5	ticking 85:3	318:15 319:19	227:7 245:8 261:18
307:15 308:1	tie 30:19	325:21 327:17	262:2 279:5,6,24
309:14 310:10,20	tiering 322:21,22	331:15 332:10,14	280:7,25 284:2
311:3,5 312:1,6,23	ties 239:25	337:18 339:10	289:19 290:22
312:25 313:2,2,4,7	tiles 257:13 258:16	341:1 345:5 348:8	297:10 300:10
313:8,9,11,15,21	time 2:20,25 3:2	350:6,17	327:8 331:1 332:5
314:5,6,13,17,21	5:10 7:1 9:12 15:7	timeframe 182:1	334:10 338:3
314:22 315:6,11,14	18:12 25:6 39:21	timeline 27:20	354:16 355:10
315:19,23 316:4,11	39:24 52:15,24,25	timely 65:20 83:13	356:6
316:15 318:4,7	56:24 58:2,7,12,13	225:18 310:10	today's 167:4
319:3 320:1 322:3	59:5,18,19 63:2	times 31:6 107:16	token 187:14
322:5 350:25	67:22 70:7 74:13	107:23 108:17	told 4:23 99:15
351:11 356:19	77:20 82:1 83:12	145:22 185:20	101:3 111:8 249:9
threatened 108:16	84:18 90:21 92:19	189:19 261:18	279:15 282:18
threatening 228:2	93:19 96:3 100:5	338:23,24	290:15 300:9
threatens 107:23	100:11,25 104:1	timing 66:21	304:10 341:14
threats 50:15 80:16	107:7 109:18	tip 35:15 36:12	349:19
87:25 111:21 169:3	110:12 112:4,17	tips 244:8	tomorrow 18:17
227:2 237:7 262:18	115:5 117:11 119:8	tirelessly 338:1	133:19 135:14
307:9 312:8 317:10	120:15 122:6	title 339:20,25	162:19 280:7 281:1
323:6 344:12	126:14 129:22	340:3	282:13
353:17	139:24 140:1,25	titled 329:6	tonight 184:6
three 39:2 44:23	143:14 148:23	today 2:10 6:18	tony 4:22 30:23
45:6,7 48:4 50:22	151:18,25 158:22	13:19 18:17 22:23	70:2 80:20 81:13

[tony - trying] Page 434

81:15 99:15 101:22	360:19	125:8 138:24 139:8	treating 339:9
103:13 133:22	touches 354:25	139:12,13,20,20	342:3
135:20 330:24	tougher 304:16	140:5,9 141:1	treatment 157:14
331:21	tougher 504.10	148:24 154:9,10,22	168:6 175:4 286:14
tony's 64:2	town 338:6	155:4,7 159:7,13	336:21 346:5
tony s 64.2 tool 41:5,7,13 45:4	track 66:25 110:10	161:4,8 168:17	tremendous 74:14
225:2 226:15,23	214:15 222:6 241:5	220:17 222:4 263:8	74:14 163:18
227:13,15,23 228:7	291:16 296:23	280:18,20 281:23	trends 294:3
228:9,10 230:8,23	334:8	289:6 292:7,12,25	300:18
232:6 233:14	tracked 110:6	293:3,12 294:17	trespass 266:16
234:22,23 236:4	traditional 164:10	295:3,12 294.17	triangulated
237:13 238:9,12,25	traffic 54:13	296:18,20,21,23	156:15
240:13,13 241:5,18	tragedies 302:18	297:5,6 298:7,18	tried 72:17 281:22
244:12 255:19		300:2,15,20 302:7	
262:11 263:2,10	tragedy 52:1 94:15 95:6,11 123:1	302:8,14,21 304:5	trigger 174:2
264:13 317:24	124:21 138:21	304:11,18,22 306:7	triggering 241:8 trimeric 358:16
tool's 233:22	332:16 338:18,18	307:11,13,14 310:5	trimetric 358.10
toolbox 236:5	339:2 345:7	312:12,13 313:7	trouble 144:16
tools 28:13 35:14	train 13:25 297:11	312.12,13 313.7	253:5
35:16 68:24 69:23	297:13	318:19 358:5	troubling 126:17
224:25 227:1	trained 13:11	trainings 51:1 92:6	true 84:25 118:9
231:24 316:19	25:18,24 29:14	141:6 154:6 263:6	121:4 185:6 187:25
top 148:20 339:15	41:25 44:6,6 45:6	transcriber 362:1	202:11 361:9 362:5
topic 30:22 70:5	45:11 50:17 53:20	transcript 362:3,5	truly 252:18
83:10 87:24 88:1	138:20 159:8,17	transcriptionist	289:22
137:20 140:24	282:16 294:6 352:2	361:8	trust 142:16
161:7	356:20 359:4	transform 346:19	truth 338:15
topics 140:24	trainer 297:12	transition 35:6	348:23 349:2,5,7
293:21 312:3	trainers 297:8,13	transparency	try 56:25 121:3
313:25 328:12,16	training 7:8 10:11	157:18 356:7	136:12 141:7
329:8,11	10:18 11:1 18:22	transportation	160:11 182:18
toro 361:2,23	38:23 39:2,3 43:17	325:4	237:15 250:8
total 14:3 94:17	43:19 44:2,11,19	travel 290:11	253:12 272:19
138:7	44:22,23 45:3,13	319:25	285:7 295:1 307:3
totality 181:4	45:13 46:20 49:11	traveled 165:24	338:3
269:25	49:19 51:5 55:25	traveling 297:9	trying 3:13,13 16:2
totally 51:22 76:11	56:7 62:18 86:2,6	treasure 110:12	16:3 84:7 85:10,12
128:12 191:18	92:13,25 93:22	treat 338:19	85:12 96:8 146:22
267:11 352:7	95:24 96:21 97:17	treated 202:16	150:17 220:10
touch 273:6 278:6	97:18,20 98:5	344:12	234:19 252:14,17
320:13 340:13	117:13,16 121:17		268:19 272:13

[trying - unique] Page 435

284:24 289:23	85:17 88:10 89:21	typically 159:22	81:1 85:10 89:14
293:4 294:14	99:24 109:5,24	181:6	90:17 96:8 97:3
307:21 338:17	112:13 116:14		101:23 102:7 104:1
360:2	119:10 120:10	u	105:9 116:2 128:2
tuesday 4:10,14	124:11 126:7	ugly 215:3	143:21 147:20
135:11,12 137:4	130:21 132:24	ultimate 23:20 80:7	157:25 182:19
149:5 164:24 165:5	138:5,5,7,11	303:19	198:25 207:20
tuition 174:20	139:19 140:6	ultimately 52:17	220:15 292:1
tune 285:17	158:24 167:19	79:14 190:14 351:9	305:24 306:8 315:6
ture 25:24 58:24	190:3 195:7,8	umbrella 242:10	335:9
61:6 107:15,19	196:5 197:13 201:8	un 34:15 235:12	understanding
207:17,23 208:4	201:16,16,16 202:7	unable 65:22 81:19	64:10 70:16 76:23
209:11 214:15	202:15 218:25	81:23	111:21 134:1 136:4
225:24 331:13	224:25 230:7,7	unacceptable 20:17	199:4 207:18
347:7 360:15	234:22 237:22	119:5	219:19 229:22
turning 82:21	251:24 252:7	unarmed 138:11	231:23 235:18
turns 203:9	256:16 257:8	142:23	262:21
tutorial 263:5	280:18 284:2	unaware 336:1	understands 157:2
tutorials 256:3	286:17,20 290:24	unbelievable	165:9
twelve 33:13 45:7	291:3 294:5,9	332:19	understood 82:8
138:2,8 340:22	297:11 299:8,12	uncertainty 2:15	117:4 148:8 200:1
353:2	300:3,6 331:10	unclear 146:24	undertake 44:8
twenty 4:15 6:9 7:3	334:13 335:14	unconscionable	56:15
11:6 19:9,10 22:11	336:6 347:23	15:23	undertaken 47:4
22:20 27:16 29:9	349:18,21 358:10	und 14:15,17 63:25	57:2
55:22 61:10,19	359:12	64:9 66:8 67:6,9,20	underway 98:7
104:14 163:1	type 40:6 70:19	68:15,19 69:2,13	unfortunately
211:14 223:18	73:23 87:8,10	69:19 70:1,6 71:2	100:16 288:9
228:22 285:19	103:9 109:22	71:12,16 72:2,5	289:11
288:16 295:8,14,14	111:21 115:15	73:8,17 75:15,20 75:24 76:22 77:13	unified 243:13
308:10 333:23	146:5,6 154:19		248:13 251:3
340:25 352:24	163:10 186:25	77:18 274:13,17	306:24
353:3	234:6,9 269:8	underestimating 339:23	unintended 353:13
twice 100:8	296:23 298:2	underscore 128:8	union 89:1,4,5,25
twitter 263:21	types 71:23 114:21	284:13	90:25 108:10,25
two 3:9 5:21 17:3	140:8 174:13 184:6	undersheriff 1:18	113:11,25 116:8
18:18 22:21 30:3	308:20 313:13	understand 6:2	unions 75:10
42:15 45:8 47:24	typewriting 361:7	19:20,22 23:24	358:12,14
48:12 50:22 52:8	typical 197:23	24:10 25:10 47:2	unique 51:6,8
52:12 54:9,23 60:7	257:23	59:15,24,25 62:1	145:2
82:12 83:18 84:11		76:25 77:5,6 79:12	
		70.25 77.5,0 77.12	

[unit - videos] Page 436

40 10 40 7	75 22 202 6 14	257.10	220 12
unit 42:13 48:7	75:22 292:6,14	uses 257:10	239:13
121:24 167:18	300:9	usual 104:20,24	vendors 33:24
175:13,16 176:11	updated 41:6 140:1	utah 295:7	227:10
176:18 190:25	298:17 323:9	utilization 264:18	venture 352:8
191:11,12,22,25	updates 80:2	utilize 49:2,17	venues 293:25
192:21 194:7,8,18	upgrade 55:6,11	69:23 237:13 240:5	veracity 89:15
194:19,25 196:3,23	95:7	utilized 233:22	verbal 140:7
197:22 198:2	upgrades 55:20	262:24	214:10,18
199:19,23 200:2,9	upgrading 153:2	utilizing 33:2 42:9	verification 7:13
201:9,14 203:19	upset 253:10	42:12 233:23 263:2	vernacular 14:2
205:2,10,11 206:9	348:10	316:5	versed 352:9
208:10,11 209:17	urban 325:25	v	version 41:10
210:13,15 217:5	urgency 12:23 14:7	vacancias 146.12	253:21 340:24
313:12,21 315:12	16:15 17:1 77:25	vacancies 146:13 vacuum 14:23,25	versus 158:15
united 37:3	85:13 114:23,24	vacuum 14:23,25 valid 91:8 115:21	160:12 196:12
units 176:3 201:13	122:20 207:19,20		236:14
312:16 314:11	284:8 335:11	177:15 204:21 205:4	vest 299:23
318:5,14	usage 255:17	validates 163:20	vested 53:12
universe 275:16,21	use 21:19,20 28:25		vetted 25:24
275:23,25	35:14 47:20 56:10	valley 355:16	viable 10:15
university 171:17	68:24 118:7 159:8	valuable 121:18	vice 198:1 350:1
171:18 294:24	159:17 160:19	316:7 317:13	victim 1:14,21
354:20	168:20 203:25	value 91:8 157:3	302:14,25 347:8
unknown 59:7	207:25 210:17	309:14 315:13	victim's 332:20
unkown 72:9	226:24 227:23,25	values 157:18	victims 57:8 77:2
unnecessary 310:8	236:5,7,7 239:1	vandalism 206:6	77:23
unproductive	256:2 262:12,19	variation 296:1	video 23:14 35:10
345:11 346:6	263:4 266:8 294:20	varied 296:5	40:11 49:11 153:17
unquestionably	301:21,22 309:15	variety 164:5 176:9	153:25 155:6
274:3	314:4 322:24 328:9	226:9 240:15,23	167:24 168:1,4
unrelated 188:18	329:25 330:4	242:15 248:14	172:22 184:22,24
unreported 358:7	useful 234:15	252:15	187:3 194:3 197:18
unthinkable 22:25	246:18	various 49:4	201:25 202:19,23
unwielding 186:11	useless 238:6	140:16 152:20	203:15,18,19,24
unwillingness	250:20	246:23 309:12	204:9,18,23 205:7
114:25	user 255:1 257:23	vary 325:24	206:3,4,16 208:22
unwind 340:25	259:14 263:14	varying 3:24	214:14 296:14
upcoming 113:5	users 46:19 241:4	vast 119:1 174:9	304:25
331:16	246:22 254:12,20	vastly 292:19 296:5	videos 202:17
update 51:17,20	255:10,21 265:13	vehicles 54:22	207:4
53:2 58:21 59:8		vendor 227:12	
		237:12 238:2	

[vie - we've] Page 437

vie 200:7	waiting 41:9 70:22	253:11,14,14	watch 135:25
view 3:2 202:22	72:3 119:13 208:3	255:20 260:25	209:11 210:25
210:25 253:1,20	walk 31:17 169:12	261:8,8,9 266:6	212:13 213:24
305:25 359:17	245:14 341:11	267:1 270:4 275:11	290:19
violated 352:18	walked 168:21	278:11,15 286:24	watched 348:25
violation 30:20	walker 344:22,23	287:18 288:2 304:1	watches 213:11
119:4	344:24	304:10,11,21 306:1	watching 211:12
violations 76:9	walking 245:13,21	306:7 307:2,2,4	211:12,15,16,18,19
violence 163:18,20	304:9	309:2 311:25	211:20 212:5,10,17
307:10 308:5,6,24	wand 236:2 245:22	315:17 316:10	213:5
311:7,16 313:4	wanding 152:9	320:19,20 321:15	water 88:7 210:20
315:9 318:23	want 2:4 3:17 9:2	321:20 322:10	watson 260:17
violent 314:4 316:9	9:18,22 11:10	323:3,14 325:25,25	way 6:23 7:22 8:13
316:12,14	14:10,11 21:22	326:1,2,5 327:6	10:9 11:15 19:16
vis 200:7	23:2,7 24:21,24	335:24 336:19	23:6,7,24 36:7
visibility 110:17	29:18 39:18 42:18	337:16 348:11	38:19 54:23 58:17
316:14	49:15 51:17,19	349:7,24 354:22	67:21 107:21 110:7
visible 47:11	53:2 54:5 61:9	wanted 3:20 9:7,25	113:3 114:10
visit 49:16	63:19 77:17 80:9	60:22 75:5 82:3	125:18 133:3,12
visiting 48:8 58:2	80:18 81:9 83:5	89:10 168:13,22	134:9 145:6 158:16
visitor 35:4	89:2,8 93:1,1 107:7	197:11 198:18	180:14 189:22
visitors 33:24 35:8	107:14 109:2	234:12 278:5,15	192:17 200:14
35:14 50:8	110:23 112:7,23	304:8 322:14	217:22 219:16
visual 47:17	120:14,15 121:6,7	327:15 334:2,15,24	222:12 245:23
vocabulary 316:7	122:4,15 125:4	335:11	248:1,21 249:11,22
void 276:17	126:4 127:2 129:24	wanting 3:19	265:20 266:2 269:5
voids 275:11,12	131:11,14 134:17	142:21	272:21 273:15,23
voluminous 249:19	134:18,19 135:2	wants 8:22,24 10:2	276:9 277:7 299:8
volunteer 341:2	142:24 145:11	14:9 67:2 130:15	299:12 309:19,23
volunteers 50:13	150:13 157:6 158:3	157:8 185:18 206:7	309:24 310:3,6
vote 129:3	160:21 163:13	278:13 282:1	319:2 340:11
voters 32:24	171:8 178:7 183:3	wanza 38:16	356:21 357:10
vtu 163:19	183:18,23 186:1	war 351:6	ways 51:11 53:24
vulnerable 16:18	187:2 199:17	warning 98:19	176:9 198:25 199:2
W	200:21 209:11,13	warnings 266:16	199:9 248:14
wait 75:13 112:4	212:12,15 215:7	warrant 188:3	253:25 254:1
147:1,1 192:3	219:1,4 221:11	192:20	we've 3:6,21 24:12
209:14 213:17	227:4,25 229:2	warranted 169:14	25:13 26:5 29:15
330:10	241:1 242:20,21,23	washington 351:20	31:11 49:21 51:18
waited 71:7 72:17	243:25 245:12	wasting 20:19	51:20 53:3,23 57:2
118:15	246:21 247:23,24		61:12 66:3 71:23
Varitant Lagal Calutions			

[we've - works] Page 438

71:23 79:18 85:5	62:6 63:2,22	whoops 270:23	154:25 199:10
85:25 86:4,15 90:8	107:24 135:9,24	whs 237:7	220:10 222:12
92:14,14 95:2,9,9	146:19 228:22	wide 15:21 296:1	225:5 237:13
95:11,12,12 96:15	281:3 284:24	318:20 357:23	243:18 248:21
96:22,25,25 103:4	330:14	widespread 91:4	249:9 261:22 265:7
104:20 108:8	weekend 4:25	106:23 107:8,13	269:8 273:21
109:11,15,16,17	weekly 261:20	willing 142:17,18	280:16 282:1,20
112:1 116:20	weeks 18:18 19:25	142:19 143:17	283:14 289:24
140:15 141:22	41:9 45:6,13 52:13	willingness 78:11	290:9 304:7 307:24
145:19,23 146:3	52:17 75:23 92:10	window 47:12	331:8 333:18 335:7
155:1,11 159:14	99:25 183:5 341:14	128:20 160:17,25	338:1,3 356:5
162:15 165:17,20	weigh 19:13,14	windows 48:2,16	357:10 359:18
165:22 181:21	130:15	93:15 95:21 96:5	worked 39:8 70:11
185:7 193:19 199:2	weighing 143:5	125:16	96:19 265:16 280:2
207:16 209:19	welcome 58:21	winning 358:8	309:24 310:7
232:12 265:15	166:14 300:11	wiretap 215:9	341:17 355:15
269:1 271:19 281:9	319:7	wisdom 285:18	workers 343:11
285:4 286:17	wellness 57:3,12	wish 142:3 260:16	345:3 346:12
291:11,25 293:10	went 4:10 12:17,25	withhold 171:7	workgroup 254:4
298:3,16 303:8	13:1 21:10 25:13	189:23	262:5 291:24 295:1
308:19 320:16	27:21 30:2 32:24	witness 74:15	320:12 321:3 322:9
323:4 324:7 327:13	161:1 193:3 244:12	361:4	322:12,17 324:9,10
329:3 360:17	262:22 283:5	women 334:3	324:19 325:12,17
weakness 359:11	287:21 289:11	wonder 335:4	326:11,22 327:2
wealth 246:16	295:3,18 304:4	wonderful 332:18	355:10 357:17
weapon 143:12	305:2,14 306:10	wondering 94:13	workgroups
wear 195:7 201:8	326:8 334:20 337:6	woodwork 5:14	294:21 299:1
201:16 299:23,24	354:17 360:1	word 63:9 231:2	working 5:17 41:19
wearing 195:8	westfield 236:18	words 21:20 107:6	72:4 89:22 90:23
196:5	wexler 74:7	170:4 191:15	92:11 108:9,10
web 228:15 232:24	whatsoever 66:5	229:11,12,17 232:2	110:12 140:16
weber 333:20,21,22	whew 101:19	232:5,24 285:9,10	141:2 145:17,23
334:15	white 185:18	work 11:14 20:25	146:11 154:23
website 35:23	244:18 265:4	24:7 40:9 43:14	162:7 164:16
36:15	267:13,22,24	47:2 54:10 55:19	274:21,22,23,25
websites 36:10	whittled 126:21	56:1 57:18 58:21	284:16 285:1 328:8
wednesday 131:4	wholeheartedly	63:18 66:2 72:5,15	349:4 357:6
weeds 320:22	26:23	76:1,5,17 96:23	works 19:17 199:9
week 2:12 3:12,23	wholly 20:16	101:4 108:21	227:18 232:4
4:25 5:1,11 10:16	358:20	115:23 136:16	233:10 239:13
18:18 50:25 60:5		146:7 152:2,5,21	254:9,11 255:19

[works - zukowski] Page 439

		T	I
285:3 320:6 359:17	190:1 193:23 211:6	yearbook 203:4	Z
workshop 136:24	211:10 212:21,21	years 9:11 96:2	zero 244:3 359:13
149:6	216:18 220:20	97:5 107:22 155:7	zone 64:16 324:3
workup 269:16	265:4 274:21,22	161:6,6 189:18,19	351:6
world 12:25 15:16	275:2 276:6 277:7	189:25 283:20	zones 323:15,16,20
58:18 125:3 193:15	278:1 286:22 290:5	292:24 333:23	323:21,24 324:3
193:25 199:3	302:22 305:18	337:5,20 339:18	zukowski 282:5
worried 305:25	326:18 327:3	340:25 350:14,14	
339:22 343:19	328:23	yellow 159:9,18,19	
worry 198:21	year 13:6 17:16	159:20,21 160:4,11	
worth 66:14 86:6	20:1 21:10,17	160:18	
221:15	24:21 33:6,15,16	yesterday 5:8 9:4	
worthy 24:25	39:1 44:23,25	9:15 10:7,20 12:21	
wrap 136:2 145:8	50:22 53:5 54:4	13:23 16:6 19:19	
286:20 291:19	58:10 62:5,13	19:23 23:13 24:5	
320:7	67:22 68:1,16 69:3	24:24 26:21 27:11	
wringing 340:16	69:5 70:2 71:13	27:17 33:22 42:14	
wrinkle 194:24	72:12 74:6 76:4	58:1 64:2,7,10 83:6	
write 96:9 107:7	86:1 87:18,21	99:15 102:13 104:8	
112:17 328:5	97:15 98:19 100:4	104:10 108:14	
writing 93:25	101:2 102:3 105:11	109:8 110:5 114:13	
written 98:23	105:22 108:17	115:20 127:12	
116:11 223:8	110:21 113:5	132:17,19 147:12	
336:14	118:24 123:17	151:21 279:1,6	
wrong 13:2 111:9	124:12,15 127:4,10	280:11 284:22	
289:11 302:15	127:25 128:5 139:8	288:13,15 289:25	
wrote 93:6 94:6	139:9,10,12,14,17	325:13 327:22,23	
96:3 111:6 349:12	139:17 140:10,15	329:2 331:20	
X	141:7,12,23 148:8	yesterday's 83:20	
x 213:8 230:18	151:24 153:17,18	yield 125:25	
231:4	153:22 161:6,12	yielded 48:3	
y	188:8 228:21 244:3	yoga 308:17	
yeah 7:6 60:5,24	248:24 249:1,5	york 166:22	
69:7 77:18 81:17	261:19 279:11,12	young 115:11	
82:19 89:11 90:20	281:19,20,24	343:22 345:12	
105:13,13 106:3	288:21,22 293:13	346:4,10	
115:3 129:5 133:25	299:4 307:6 323:13	youth 342:23 344:2	
13:5 129:5 155:25	331:13,14 333:24	344:3,16,24 346:24	
134:11 144:19	334:4 338:21 341:3	346:24 352:23	
143:24,24 147:3	341:3 350:25		
170.1 104.1/ 170.1			
L	l	1	l